# SEC8

Page 1 THE UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION In the Matter of: ) ) File No. HO-13388-A ) KIK INTERACTIVE WITNESS: Edward "Ted" Livingston PAGES: 1 through 314 PLACE: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20549 Wednesday, November 7, 2018 DATE: The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:48 a.m.

Diversified Reporting Services, Inc. (202) 467-9200

	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1	CONTENTS
2	On behalf of the Securities and Exchange Commission:	2	
3	BRENT MITCHELL, ESQ.	3	WITNESS: EXAMINATION
4	JAMES MURTHA, ESQ.	4	Edward "Ted" Livingston 5
5	JEFF LEASURE, ESQ.	5	· ·
6	STEPHAN SCHLEGELMILCH, ESQ.	6	EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED
7	DAVID MENDEL, ESQ.	7	197 Subpoena 8
8	REID MUOIO, ESQ.	8	198 E-mail 271
9	Securities and Exchange Commission	9	
10	100 F Street, N.E.	10	
11	Washington, D.C. 20549	11	
12	(202)551-4683	12	
13	mitchellb@sec.gov	13	
14	·	14	
15		15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 3		Page 5
1		1	Page 5 PROCEEDINGS
1 2	Page 3 APPEARANCES(CONT.):	1 2	
1 2 3			PROCEEDINGS
2	APPEARANCES(CONT.):	2	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This
2 3	APPEARANCES(CONT.): On behalf of the Witness:	2	PROCEEDINGS  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc
2 3 4	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness: LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.	2 3 4	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record.
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness: LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ. BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.	2 3 4 5	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m.
2 3 4 5 6	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on
2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005    (202)879-5270	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005    (202)879-5270  Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005    (202)879-5270	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. LEASURE: Q You can put the hand down. Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005    (202)879-5270  Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. LEASURE: Q You can put the hand down. Thank you, sir. A Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005    (202)879-5270  Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. LEASURE: Q You can put the hand down. Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005    (202)879-5270  Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. LEASURE: Q You can put the hand down. Thank you, sir. A Thank you. Q Can you please state and spell your full name for the record?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005    (202)879-5270  Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. LEASURE: Q You can put the hand down. Thank you, sir. A Thank you. Q Can you please state and spell your full
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005    (202)879-5270  Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. LEASURE: Q You can put the hand down. Thank you, sir. A Thank you. Q Can you please state and spell your full name for the record?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005    (202)879-5270  Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. LEASURE: Q You can put the hand down. Thank you, sir. A Thank you. Q Can you please state and spell your full name for the record? A My legal name is Edward Livingston. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES(CONT.):  On behalf of the Witness:    LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.    BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.    PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.    Cooley LLP    500 Boylston Street    Boston, Massachusetts 02116    (617)937-2480    KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.    Kirkland & Ellis    655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.    Washington, D.C. 20005    (202)879-5270  Also Present:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good afternoon. This begins sorry. Good morning. This begins Disc Number 1. We are now on the video record. The time on the monitor is 9:48 a.m. MR. LEASURE: On the record on November 7th, 2018, at the SEC's D.C. office. Sir, can you please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. Whereupon, EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON was called as a witness, and having been first sworn, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. LEASURE: Q You can put the hand down. Thank you, sir. A Thank you. Q Can you please state and spell your full name for the record? A My legal name is Edward Livingston. Do you want me to spell it? I go by Ted, though.

	Page 6	Page 8
1	Edward.	1 MR. DEJARNETTE: Brett DeJarnette from
2	Q Understood. I'm a Jeffrey. I understand	2 Cooley, representing the witness and Kik.
3	that.	3 MR. MITCHELL: Can you just run the list?
4	Can you spell your last name just for the	4 MR. DEJARNETTE: Sure. So Cooley and
5	record?	5 Kirkland jointly represent Kik, Tanner Philp, Phil
6	A Yeah. L-i-v-i-n-g-s-t-o-n.	6 Yang, Peter Heinke, Eileen Lyon, and the Kin
7	Q Good morning, I am Jeff Leasure. And to	7 Foundation. Cooley represents Eran Ben-Ari, Fred
8	my left are Brent Mitchell, James Murtha, Steven	8 Wilson, Union Square Ventures, and Erin Clift.
9	Schlegelmilch, and David Mendel. We are members of the	9 MR. MITCHELL: Thanks.
10	staff of the enforcement division of the United States	10 MR. LEASURE: Thank you.
11	Securities and Exchange Commission and officers of the	11 (SEC Exhibit No. 197 was marked for
12	Commission for purposes of this proceeding.	12 identification.)
13	This is an investigation by the United	13 BY MR. LEASURE:
14	States Securities and Exchange Commission in the matter	14 Q I've marked a copy of this subpoena as
15	of Kik Interactive, Inc., File Number HO13388, to	Exhibit 197. Is this a copy of the subpoena you're
16	determine whether there have been violations of certain	subpoena you are appearing pursuant to here today?
17	provisions of the federal securities laws. However,	17 A Yes.
18	the facts developed in this investigation might	18 Q Thank you. Is there any reason you'd be
19	constitute violations of other federal or state, civil,	unable to give complete and accurate testimony today?
20	or criminal laws.	20 A Not to my knowledge.
21	Prior to the opening of the record, you	Q Okay. How did you prepare to come in for
22	were provided with a copy of the formal order of	22 testimony today?
23	investigation in this matter as supplemented. It will	23 A I met with my legal team last week for two
24	be available for your examination during the course of	24 days in Waterloo. Other than that, that's the only
25	this proceeding.	25 thing that specifically comes to mind in preparing for
	•	
	Page 7	Page 9
1	Page 7	Page 9
1	Have you had an opportunity to review the	1 today.
2	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you
2	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo?
2 3 4	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days.
2 3 4 5	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we
2 3 4	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it
2 3 4 5 6 7	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me
2 3 4 5 6	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this notice?	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this notice?  A I do not.	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Roughly how many? Do you have a ballpark? 16 A I think there were roughly 100.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this notice?  A I do not.  Q Are you represented by counsel today?	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Roughly how many? Do you have a ballpark? 16 A I think there were roughly 100.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this notice?  A I do not.  Q Are you represented by counsel today?  A I am.	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Roughly how many? Do you have a ballpark? 16 A I think there were roughly 100. 17 Q Okay. And did they help you remember past
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this notice?  A I do not.  Q Are you represented by counsel today?  A I am.  MR. LEASURE: Would counsel please identify	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Roughly how many? Do you have a ballpark? 16 A I think there were roughly 100. 17 Q Okay. And did they help you remember past 18 events?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this notice?  A I do not.  Q Are you represented by counsel today?  A I am.  MR. LEASURE: Would counsel please identify themselves?	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Roughly how many? Do you have a ballpark? 16 A I think there were roughly 100. 17 Q Okay. And did they help you remember past 18 events? 19 A They did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this notice?  A I do not.  Q Are you represented by counsel today?  A I am.  MR. LEASURE: Would counsel please identify themselves?  MR. GIBBS: Patrick Gibbs from Cooley, LLP,	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Roughly how many? Do you have a ballpark? 16 A I think there were roughly 100. 17 Q Okay. And did they help you remember past 18 events? 19 A They did. 20 Okay. Documents to do that sometimes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this notice?  A I do not.  Q Are you represented by counsel today?  A I am.  MR. LEASURE: Would counsel please identify themselves?  MR. GIBBS: Patrick Gibbs from Cooley, LLP, on behalf of Kik and Mr. Livingston.	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Roughly how many? Do you have a ballpark? 16 A I think there were roughly 100. 17 Q Okay. And did they help you remember past 18 events? 19 A They did. 20 Q Okay. Documents to do that sometimes. 21 A Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this notice?  A I do not.  Q Are you represented by counsel today?  A I am.  MR. LEASURE: Would counsel please identify themselves?  MR. GIBBS: Patrick Gibbs from Cooley, LLP, on behalf of Kik and Mr. Livingston.  MR. LYNCH: Kenneth Lynch, Kirkland &	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Roughly how many? Do you have a ballpark? 16 A I think there were roughly 100. 17 Q Okay. And did they help you remember past 18 events? 19 A They did. 20 Q Okay. Documents to do that sometimes. 21 A Yeah. 22 Q Okay. To start out today, I'd like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Have you had an opportunity to review the formal order?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions?  A I do not.  Q Prior to the opening of the record, you were provided with a copy of the Commission's Supplemental Information Form 1662. A copy of this notice has previously been marked as Exhibit 1.  Have you had the opportunity to read Exhibit 1?  A I have.  Q Do you have any questions concerning this notice?  A I do not.  Q Are you represented by counsel today?  A I am.  MR. LEASURE: Would counsel please identify themselves?  MR. GIBBS: Patrick Gibbs from Cooley, LLP, on behalf of Kik and Mr. Livingston.  MR. LYNCH: Kenneth Lynch, Kirkland & Ellis, LLP, representing the witness today.	1 today. 2 Q Great. Roughly how many hours did you 3 spend with your legal team in Waterloo? 4 A Roughly two eight-hour days. 5 Q Great. I gave you this caution before we 6 went on the record, but I'm going to reiterate it 7 throughout the day. If you're about to tell me 8 something your legal team told you, pause and look to 9 your right to your lawyers, and they can help you with 10 the appropriate instructions. Okay? 11 A Thank you. 12 Q I can ask this: Roughly how did you 13 review documents with your legal team? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Roughly how many? Do you have a ballpark? 16 A I think there were roughly 100. 17 Q Okay. And did they help you remember past 18 events? 19 A They did. 20 Q Okay. Documents to do that sometimes. 21 A Yeah. 22 Q Okay. To start out today, I'd like to 23 get a we always ask witnesses for sort of their

Page 10

your education and then work life is obviously tied up with the founding and growth of the company, Kik, K.i.k

Can you maybe give us a sort of summary level of sort of you went to school and then moving on from there to the start of Kik?

A Sure. So I think probably the right place to start is, you know, I went to college in 2005 to the University of Waterloo for engineering. Lots of things cool about Waterloo, but the coolest thing from my point of view is in order to graduate, you do six four-month co-ops.

## Q What's a co-op?

A So a co-op is a full-time internship. So it's basically like going into a real job for four months, but then leaving after four months. I think it's the largest co-op program in the world.

#### O Wow.

A So I did my third, fourth, and fifth co-op term at Blackberry. And so I started at BlackBerry, I think, May 2007. So just before the iPhone was announced, I started at BlackBerry. It was awesome. They were right beside the university. They hire about 2,000 interns every term, if you can imagine.

So 2,000 kids come in and leave every four

Page 12

inside the company. I got that job. I spent two co-op
 terms in it. And I worked part-time in between, but
 it's really more full-time. I was working about
 40 hours a week while doing full-time engineering.
 And I was -- sort of me on a team of maybe

And I was -- sort of me on a team of maybe me plus eight other people, the youngest one in the team by ten years, roughly. Got to work with all of the product managers. That was an amazing job. I did well. They liked me. I liked them. This is now 2008.

And they offered me to drop out and come on full-time and be the youngest product manager in the history of the company, which I thought was super cool and I was definitely prepared to do. But my boss pulled me aside, he said, "Ted, you're really good at this." And at the same time, I think BlackBerry is in trouble, because, again, iPhone was just sort of starting to, like, hit its stride.

"I think you shouldn't drop out and come in full-time. I think you should go back to school and start your own company." Best career advice I ever got in my life. I am very thankful to that boss. So I did that. So I went back to school in January 2009.

Wanted to start a company. I tried starting a couple of companies before, you know, with friends. It never really worked out. So I wanted to

#### Page 11

months. That was sort of the high times of BlackBerry.

And the coolest thing about that is they gave us all

BlackBerries with full data plans. So I got to live in

the world where literally everybody I would converse

with on a daily basis outside my immediate family had a

smart phone that was always on, always connected. And

this is like a world before iPhones.

### Q Right.

A So I got to live in this, like, world we live in today where everybody is always reachable through a smart phone early before most of the world. Started there in sort of a process job by day. I did that process job by night. I wrote a piece of software to replace myself. They really liked that. So they brought me back part-time. They gave me a team of developers. I did that.

After that, they were like, "You're really good at this. Here's our binder of every internship co-op job we have in the company. Which one are you interested in?" So I went through. I was like, "Wow, this is awesome." And what caught my eye was a consumer product management job.

Again, iPhone had just come out. This is when they thought, like, what consumer would want a smart phone sort of thing. So it's a very small team Page 13

take is seriously this time. And so I went -- they just started a new dorm called Velocity. It was the -- it was only four months old when I moved into it. It was basically 80 kids roughly who all wanted to start companies.

So it was sort of cool because you knew you could go live there with 80 other kids who were like you wanting -- like, we're taking it seriously, wanting to start tech companies. So that's what I did.

Originally, we were building a music app, but it turned into a chat app. And it sort of went from there.

# Q Okay. The University of Waterloo sounds very cool, by the way.

A Yeah, it is very cool.

15 Q Yeah.

16 A It's the one school, I think, outside the
17 U.S. Bill Gates would go talk at and...

Q Right. Okay.

A It was cool. It's a great school.

Q Yeah. So moving ahead to Kik, then.

You said you started working on a music app that transformed into a chat app.

A Yeah.

Q Can you walk me through that?

A Yeah. So we -- like, we loved our

Page 14

BlackBerries. I loved BlackBerry. There was a bit of a hometown thing at the time with the iPhone coming out, the BlackBerry could compete. Here we are today as I have my iPhone. So I looked at -- I looked at my BlackBerry. Again, I was coming from consumer product management. And I said, this BlackBerry does a lot of things great; messaging, e-mail, calendar, et cetera.

2.0

But the one thing it really sucks at compared to iPhone is music. So we could — like for myself, I would want to build for myself a better music app, but probably a lot of other people would want it as well. So that's what we started with.

We're like, hey, we're going to build a music app for BlackBerry. We worked with the labels to get licensing. Tried to change for the model for how music was tried and purchased and owned. As we were working on licensing with the labels, which takes a long time with very cool technology, so we started in Canada, but then became Canada and the U.S. That sort of slowed things down.

We realized two things. So one is people would want to share music with their friends, obviously. And two, those friends would be on multiple different phone platforms. So we said -- we went to BlackBerry. Our original plan was to share music

Page 16

world.

You know, like Tencent, the big tech company in China, said, hey, why don't we build WeChat, one of the biggest apps in the world. That's when we saw Kik go viral. I remember the time my mom calling me and saying, you know, Whoopi Goldberg is like talking about Kik on The View. And this is so cool.

And so just chat took off. And we had all these investors fly in. They're like, you know — and isn't there a music thing as well? And we were like, no, there's no more music thing. We're just focused on chat.

### Q Okay.

A So from then on, we were building a chat app.

### Q What made it take off, looking back?

A I think they were -- yeah. So, you know, part of this is like getting a little lucky. And we definitely got a little lucky. But when I go back and think about, you know, trying to explain -- because it was the fastest growing thing in, like, human history at that point in time. Nothing had grown faster. No disease, no spread of information.

It was like -- like, it blew up globally.

It was surreal. And I think it was, one, we were the

### Page 15

through their messenger called BlackBerry Messenger.

So we had a BlackBerry app, they had a BlackBerry app, we would connect the two. But we realized, hey, people won't just want to share music with their friends on BlackBerry, but also their friends on iPhone. I think Android was just coming out at the time as well. And so if that -- if we were going to make that work, BlackBerry Messenger needs to be on the iPhone as well.

And they said, "No, we're never going to do that." And we said okay. And they said to us, "If you want that, you should build it yourself." So we built chat functionality into the music app. But we were waiting for licensing, waiting for licensing. And so we said, hey, while we're waiting for licensing, which is, you know, always right around the corner, why don't we break out the chat app and launch that as an independent app.

Then you can have chat, you can have music. But if you have both, they'll work together. So that's what we did. We broke out the chat app. We worked on that for a while. And then while we were waiting for licensing, we relaunched the chat app, and it just took off. Went zero to a million users in 15 days, million to 2 million users in seven days, you know, the whole

Page 17

first chat app with great support for all three platforms, including BlackBerry. So, you know, you had apps that were okay on iPhone, but almost always -- you know, and I would even go so far to say as pretty much always -- they were terrible on BlackBerry.

Why? Because BlackBerry was very hard to develop for. It was a very, like, archaic platform. It was never built with, like, third-party apps in mind. So if you want to build a great app, it was very, like, almost impossible to do so. So Kik was the first app that was great on iPhone, great on Android, and great on BlackBerry.

People had never seen an app like that on BlackBerry before. It was smooth. It was beautiful. It was like a real app. It wasn't just a bunch of text boxes. So I think that was one part. And then you combine that with BlackBerry users who knew the power of BlackBerry Messenger, loved BlackBerry Messenger, but at the same time were sort of getting made fun of by their iPhone friends at this point for being on, you know, yesterday's platform.

And then maybe third, actually, is we were also the first to make it really easy to find your friends using your phone number and your address book. So you had -- we made it really easy to come on board,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 18

1 and we built a great experience for everyone. And 2 these BlackBerry users basically became our advocates, 3 like saying, hey, you have to get this. It's great for 4 not just for you, but also for us, for the first time 5 ever. And it just blew up. 6

Q Got it. So the Kik app was created and blew up in 2010; is that right?

A Yeah. October 2010.

Q Okay. Let's take that half of the decade, 2010 to 2015.

A Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

18

20

1 2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

12 Q Did competitors come in, competing chat 13 apps?

14 A So you want the history?

15 Q Yeah.

16 A Yeah. Yeah. I can give you the rest of 17 the story --

Q Oh, yeah, go ahead.

19 A -- if you want.

Q Yeah.

21 A Competitors definitely came in. So what

22 happened at that time, you know, 2 millions users,

23 everybody in the world is talking about us, is in

24 hindsight -- we didn't realize this at the time. In

2.5 hindsight, BlackBerry was viewing Kik as a threat to Page 20

It's a cross-platform chat. And so it basically wiped us out. That was, like, personally very tough for me as well because there was a lawsuit, so, you know, you can't say anything. But they implied a lot of things about me personally. I had been at BlackBerry.

I worked at BlackBerry. I signed a nondisclosure agreement. I signed an IP agreement. It's like, so what are you saying, you stole this? No, we're not saying that. It was, like, a tough time personally for everyone. Fortunately, some top investors in the U.S. realized that this is an amazing team. This is just a big incumbent being threatened. These are the guys to back.

So in March 2011, even though we had almost no users at this point, we raised \$8 million U.S. from Union Square Ventures, Spark Capital, and RRE. And that saved the company.

O What was the last one?

A RRE.

Q Okay.

21 A It's an acronym.

Q Sorry.

23 A Robinson, Robinson and Ellis, maybe. So

24 here we are in March 2011. I think we announced it

April 2011, something like that. So we have money, but

Page 19

BlackBerry Messenger, a competitive -- a competitor.

And they probably saw it in their data. I don't know

3 if they did or not, but I assume they did, with seeing

BlackBerry Messenger users using BlackBerry Messenger

5 less when they became Kik users.

> Now, we had worked with them for years. I had worked there. I knew a lot of people there. Not at a senior level, because it was a massive company at this point, and I was just, like, some intern kid. But we had worked for them -- with them for a while. And basically, they tried to kill Kik at that point.

So they kicked us off their platform. They turned off push notifications. So messages would take about an hour to deliver. They took us out of the app store. They took away all access to their tools. And they sued us for patent infringement.

And so basically, what that meant is at the time, we had about a third iPhone, a third Android, and a third BlackBerry users. And we lost 99 percent of those users over by about three weeks. So we went from literally the hottest thing on the planet to being, like, yesterday's news in a matter of weeks. Because even if you weren't on BlackBerry,

23 24 the odds are you were talking to somebody on 25 BlackBerry. That was the whole value proposition. Page 21

1 we have no users. And so how do we get back in the 2 game? And so what we focused on was, one, okay, we're 3 never going to be SMS for free because we don't have 4 BlackBerry anymore. And so over time, WhatsApp took 5 that position. But at the time, we owned it.

It was sort of unfairly taken away from us, from my point of view. So we couldn't be that. So we focused on the differentiation of while in Kik, we'll give you complete control over your identity. We won't tie it to a phone number. We'll tie it to a username. So if you just want SMS for free, we can't be that,

12 because we are sort of fundamentally handicapped there. 13 But if you want to come in, connect with

14 people all over the world, but have sort of complete 15 control over who you are, how you present yourself, you 16 should get Kik. So that was one of the things we 17 focused on, and then the second was becoming a

18 platform.

Do you have a question?

Q I don't know. Got it. So you -- it sounds like as a result -- correct me if I'm mischaracterizing this. As a result of BlackBerry cutting you off of their platform and what you perceived as sort of unfair threats, shutting --

A Unfair actions. I don't know about

Page 22 Page 24 1 threats. But unfair actions, yes. 1 So what we said is Kik from day one was not 2 Q I didn't want to mischaracterize it. It 2 based on a phone number. It was based on a username. 3 3 sounds like you -- Kik pivoted its value proposition to So we could say to them, if you don't have a phone 4 users to attract them. Am I getting that right? 4 number or you don't want to give away your phone 5 A Not quite. Let me try to explain. 5 number, you should get Kik. So it wasn't that we 6 Q Go ahead. Yeah. 6 changed our focus. It's sort of we focused more within 7 7 A So Kik was a messenger. our focus. 8 8 Q Yup. So of everybody who wanted to use a 9 A And so basically, if you want to chat with 9 messenger, someone wanted to use it for this reason, 10 your friends for free, really fast group chats, all of 10 someone wanted to use it for this reason. We focused 11 these different things, you should get a messenger. 11 on these people. 12 And Kik is a messenger. There are two different groups Q Got it. And why did that matter, the 12 13 who adopted Kik as a messenger when it went viral. 13 ability to just sign up and use Kik just with a 14 There was the people who are adopting it as 14 username and not a phone number? 15 an SMS replacement, and there were people who were 15 A There's lots of people in the world who 16 adopting it as something better than SMS, different 16 don't have phone numbers. 17 than SMS, not tied to a phone number. 17 Q Sure. 18 Q Got it. SMS being the text message 18 A So that was part of it. But there's also a 19 19 function on your phone? lot of people who don't want to give up their phone 2.0 A Text message, yes. 20 number, want to connect with people. They come from 21 21 all sorts of different backgrounds. And, you know, Q And people would like to not use that 22 because I think at the time you had to pay for text 22 some people have great lives, and some people have a 23 messages? 23 less fortunate hand dealt to them. 24 A Correct. 24 And for those people to sort of eschew sort 25 Q And so some people saw apps like Kik just 25 of, like, the physical reality that was dealt to them Page 23 Page 25 and they had no say in, and then basically take on this 1 as a substitute for paying for text messages, right? 1 2 2 digital reality where they can be who they want to be 3 Q But you're saying, no, there was another 3 was a powerful and is a powerful proposition. 4 4 value proposition being offered aside from just being a Q Other people suggested to us something I 5 5 substitute for SMS? just want to run by you --6 A Correct. 6 A Yeah, yeah, yeah. 7 7 Q Okay. And now, tell me about that value Q -- and see if you agree. That one of 8 8 proposition. the -- one of the key features you're accounting for 9 A So -- and, you know, I think this is more 9 the messenger app's growth, I guess particularly in 10 clear in hindsight than it was at the time, but we 10 this 2011 period going forward, was that it was a safer could no longer -- all of those users, the 99 percent 11 11 place for younger people or --12 left, right? Because they were looking for something 12 A Yeah. 13 to chat with their friends no matter what phone they 13 Q -- other people to express themselves 14 were on for free, quickly, group chats, all those 14 without having to, you know, have a phone, use their 15 different things. 15 phone number, that part of their identity. 16 We couldn't offer that anymore because we 16 Does that sound right to you? 17 couldn't -- BlackBerry at the time was like what 17 A Yeah. So within the -- within the "I don't 18 18 want to use a phone number," it can be for two reasons. Android would be like today. It was a huge part of the 19 ecosystem. So we couldn't do that. WhatsApp 19 It can be because "I don't have a phone number," and 20 ultimately, from my point of view, won that race. 20 that's typically younger people. Or it can be "I don't want to give out my number." And that could be young 21 But for those people who stayed behind, 21 22 what did we offer them? It's that, you know -- a big 22 people or older people. 23 part of SMS is it's based on your phone number. So you 23 Q Sure. 24 need a phone number and you need to give away your 24 A So yes. I think it's both those things. 25 phone number to everybody you want to talk to. 25 Q Okay. And I think I keep cutting you off.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Page 26

# Can you roll the camera forward on the Kik story from that point?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yup. So maybe -- that was one thing. And I -- and I think it's fair to say we only recognized -- like, really understood the power of that later on. Okay? So what was our hypothesis at the time? So we go viral. We show the world what's possible. Everybody in the world is talking about Kik.

From our point of view, we get unfairly taken out of that race. But we raised the money. And so I'm thinking, how are we going to get back in the game, how are we going to show the world that this wasn't just a fluke, that we deserved it. Okay?

And the hypothesis at the time was, well, we don't have BlackBerry anymore, but what's the one -so anything we can do, WhatsApp, for example, could just copy and put it on BlackBerry. So anything that's trying to be SMS for free is going to be a losing game because we had this fundamental handicap.

But the one nice thing about not having BlackBerry is it's really hard to develop apps for BlackBerry. So if we were to become a platform that other apps could, you know, integrate into and spread through and connect into, and those developers only had to build for iPhone and Android -- because that's the

Page 28

### Walk me through the history.

A So we spent a long time working on how to become a platform. First with plug-in, native apps, and web apps, and bots. So while all that work is going on, Kik is starting to grow again at the same time. And this is what I talked about, you know, that we didn't really understand the power at the time.

We do now. But it's just this segment of the world that doesn't want SMS for free, but wants SMS without a phone number for various reasons. So that started to grow. It started to grow really well, culminating in 2014, Tencent investing \$50 million at a billion dollar valuation.

### Q So you had more rounds of investment?

A Yeah. So that was our, like, fourth -like, our Series D, to use the terminology. We raised our Series A in March, April 2011. Then we had a B, a C. and then a D in 2014 with Tencent at a billion

# Q Okay. What happened after that Series D in 2014? Just a broad history of the company, what happened after that?

A So I think as we were coming into our Series D, our growth chart had flattened out. We were no longer growing. And we were very open about this

Page 27

only platform the Kik is on, Kik is only on iPhone and Android, and not BlackBerry -- we could build a platform in a very compelling way that maybe WhatsApp couldn't because they'd be trying to score all these BlackBerry users, too.

So when you send them something, you know, iPhone and Android is fine. But on the BlackBerry, they'd be like, wait, I can't use this, this is broken, this doesn't work. So maybe we could turn it into an advantage.

#### Q Okay.

A And so I think a big part of our story is becoming that platform. We were the first chat app in the world, to my knowledge, to launch an API so that apps could plug into a mobile messenger. We launched that in July 2011. And, you know, we launched a web platform a couple of years later. We launched a bot platform a couple of years later.

And so we've been, you know, trying to turn chat into a platform to get back into the game for a long time.

Q Okay. And what happens next? I think I understand the pivots or the changes that happened following the BlackBerry challenge, if I can call it that. What happens after those pivots?

Page 29

with Tencent saying, like, hey, you know, we're competing with Facebook. Seems like everything they do, they just -- everything we do, they just copy.

And so, you know, we want to become this platform. We've been working on this platform for a long time. It looks a lot like the platform you guys are building in China. And so what they effectively said to us, they might have literally said to us, but, you know, the culmination of the investment was, We think chat is going to be hugely powerful in the world. We see it ourselves firsthand in China. We think the same thing is going to happen in the west. And right now, if we had to guess who would make that happen, it would be Facebook. But if there's anybody else who could compete with them to make it happen, it would be you guys. And so we'll invest, even though your growth has plateaued, and try to help you to make it you guys -- to make this happen in the west, because, you know, you're really the pioneers here, and they're just copying and crushing you.

So they made that investment. Turned out it was harder than I think either of us thought. We started to lose users. And as we lost users, we were sort of, like, scrambling to try to get growing again because as consumer company, the number one thing you

Page 30

must do is grow. So you lose some users. Everybody gets more tense. They get more tense.

2.0

They scramble a little bit more. By scrambling a little bit more, you make mistakes, you lose more users, and on and on and on. And it sort of became a negative reinforcing cycle of things not working. You try to hire different people. And sometimes they make it better, many times they make it worse. And we were -- you know, I remember -- we didn't realize at the time this was actually a systemic thing in the mobile industry.

When people look back, almost -- you know, Snapchat is losing users at this point. Everybody is losing users except for these monopolies. So everybody behind closed doors was saying, "We're losing users. What are we going to do?" We didn't know that. We knew we were having those discussions. We didn't know how many other people were at the time.

But we were the first ones, I think, in the world to admit it in the press. You know, a reporter asked me, like, how is growth? And I said, we're not growing anymore. And I remember he looked at me, he was, like, shocked that I would say this on the record.

And then like -- did almost like a double-take, and then went back, wrote the article, and

our screens looked like and what their screens looked like, and it was almost a pixel-for-pixel clone of what we had done.

Same thing with the bot platform. We put out the bot platform. I think it was 9 to 12 months later, they put in an identical platform. So it was on a -- you know, same things happening with Snapchat, you know. Snapchat puts out stories, super successful. Instagram and all Facebook products put out an almost identical clone to that product.

# $\label{eq:Q} \begin{picture}(20,20) \put(0,0){\line(0,0){100}} \put(0,0){$

#### How does that crush then?

A The copy piece is — there's two things you need to do as a consumer company. You need to grow. You need more and more consumers using your products. And you need to monetize. The only way to monetize in a consumer product or in any business, consumer business, is to either sell something to consumers or to sell their attention to somebody else who wants to sell something to them, which is advertising.

So the copy and crush is anything you wanted to create to monetize, say sell it, they would copy it and just make it free. So that was no longer an option. And so then your only option was to do

Page 31

then a bunch of people picked up the article. And at the time, that was a tough thing as well. Like, "Why are we admitting it?" Like, "What's going on here?" It's like, "Listen, it's just the truth."

So it was this, like, tough time. And, you know, I think what we didn't realize at the time is -- I think we put a lot of the blame on ourselves at the time. But I think in hindsight, what we realized is we were playing an impossible game.

Q And if you had to -- I think I've seen you talking about this over the years.

The core challenge that Kik is facing -- are we in, like, 2014, 2015, 2016, that period?

A Yeah.

Q Okay. The core challenge is that a behemoth company like Facebook -- I think the phrase you used is "copy and crush"?

A Uh-huh.

Q Walk me through that. Copy, Facebook introduces its own messaging function. Is that it, or is there some other aspect to the copy?

A Copy is like at a feature level. So we rolled out our native platform, for example, in July 2011. About two years later, they rolled out an almost identical platform. I remember actually putting what

Page 33

Page 32

advertising, just like them. The only way to get
 advertising to compete with them -- Google and Facebook
 have a duopoly on advertising -- is get to a
 significant scale where you become interesting to
 advertisers.

The only way to do that is to take on significant investment, significant growth to try to get to that scale. And so the copy and crush is as you're trying to get to that scale where you become viable and your business becomes viable, they're trying to do anything they can to cut off your growth. They're trying to copy and crush you.

Anything you do, let's copy them and let's crush them. Let's put in maximum, sort of, torque there to make them -- to make them lose.

# $\label{eq:Q} \begin{tabular}{ll} And the crush idea is they have scale -- so \\ many users that they can cut off your growth and users? \\ \end{tabular}$

A Anything we can do, they can copy and make free.

Q Right.

A So either we -- it's already free on us.

But at a minimum, they can copy and then promote it to their much bigger audience base. So, you know, the majority of people, when they see it, they're like, "Wow, Facebook is so smart. Look at what they've

Page 34

built." Whereas, you know, they didn't build it.

So anything that you can build to get your consumers excited, and then you want to take it to new consumers, you know, Facebook gets there first. So --

Q Got it. I'm sorry to interrupt.

A Yeah

2.4

Q You used the term "monetize."

A Yeah.

Q Like monetize the app? Can you just define that for me? What does it mean to monetize an app?

A To make money. To generate revenue.

Q And Kik, is it right that up through 2016,

# Kik wasn't significantly monetizing its app?

A I think that's absolutely correct.

Q Right.

A And, you know, this is -- you know, we're going to talk about Kin in a second, I'm sure, or at some point. This was always the looming challenge, which is how are we going to make money. Because -- you know, I talked about copy and crush at sort of a consumer level in the consumer app space.

But then within messaging more specifically, nobody was making money. And even today, nobody makes money. iMessage does not make money directly. Facebook Messenger does not make money.

Page 36

by one drop out trying to make money. And so our thing was we just keep raising money and keep going because these -- until we figure it out.

### Q Why not just advertise?

# Why not just sell user data to advertisers and let them advertise on the platform?

A I think we always had a problem with advertising from a philosophical point of view. We never collected data on our users. We always believed in privacy. That's why we chose usernames over phone numbers originally. Like, you shouldn't have to give away something that you could never take back. That's sort of where we started.

So we never -- we sort of were always philosophically opposed to collecting data. We were philosophically opposed to advertising, which is basically trying to manipulate somebody to buy something they wouldn't otherwise buy most of the time. And so we never liked advertising.

But at a practical level, it -- for two reasons, it wouldn't work. One, we didn't have the scale to be interesting to advertisers. Yeah, they'll do trial things, and we did do many trial things with different advertisers and brands, but not enough to be interesting versus Google and Facebook's duopoly.

Page 35

WhatsApp does not make money. Telegram does not make money. They all have rich parents who make money from somewhere else.

And so anything we could put in and then sell, we knew our competitors would just copy and make it free, making us look bad in a way in front of our users. You know, "Oh, Kik is trying to charge you money for that. They're such bad people. Look, we'll give it to you for free."

So we couldn't sell anything. And anybody who tried to put in advertising into a messenger, not a feed-based app like Twitter or Snapchat stories or something like that, but into a messenger, we saw quickly disappear, to the point where there's no significant advertising in any of the prominent messengers today.

And so the question is: How are we going to make money in a world where all of our competitors have, you know, for lack of a better word, rich parents, and we do not, and none of them make any money on their messengers. And if we try to, we've seen many -- you know, there was thousands of messengers at one point, all competing to try to get to this end game.

If we put in -- you know, we saw them one

Page 37

But then, at a practical level, to do advertising, what's been shown in consumer applications is the most effective place to do that is you need them to do some sort of passive consumption. You know, you're scrolling through your Facebook feed. Like, oh, that was an ad, and you just keep going. You're scrolling through your Twitter feed, that was an ad, just keep going. Going through your Snapchat stories, oh, that's an ad, you just keep going.

Whereas, in Kik, we didn't have any form of passive consumption. It was all active messaging. I say "hey," you say "hey." There's -- never are you ever a passive participant. And that was by design, you know. We didn't want a passive consumption mode because they you have to put in some sort of the broadcast-type sharing; you know, Tweeting, posting on Facebook, posting a story on Snapchat.

And we found that we felt that when you added that to a product, it sort of turned into a popularity contest, you know. "I want to post things on Facebook so I look good and I can get a lot of likes, and my friends can say how great I am." And then people start filtering themselves. They start becoming less authentic.

And that was part of the reason we started

Page 38 Page 40 1 Kik to begin with because we hated that. We wanted Kik 1 A I think early on, another guy who was very 2 to be the one place to go where you didn't have to 2 influential was a guy named Adam Ludwin from RRE. 3 3 worry about how you were coming across to other people. Q Would you mind spelling that? 4 4 Ludwin? You could just come in and connect on a human level. 5 So for sort of all reasons, advertising, 5 Yeah. Q 6 despite we did spend some time trying it, we never 6 L-u-d-w-i-n. 7 7 liked it. But it seemed like the only -- of the two Okay. 8 8 options historically, it was -- you know, we can't sell A He was a -- not yet a partner, but an --9 stuff because they copy it and make it free, so this is 9 I'm not sure if the right word is "associate" or -- I'm 10 10 the only option left. So we did try it, but we never not sure what one level is down from a partner. But he 11 11 was one of the employees of RRE. And he was one of the 12 12 first ones up in Waterloo when Kik was first taking Q Got it. 2016. Before -- some period 13 before the Kin project gets started, how would you 13 off. Like, he literally was there. 14 14 characterize the messaging app's user base? And I remember asking him, like, "So what 15 A In what way? 15 brings you to Waterloo?" And he goes, "What do you 16 16 mean? You guys." And so it was sort of, like, this Q Demographics, age, nationality. 17 At a high level, what did it look like? 17 idea that somebody would come to Waterloo just to meet A Global, declining, all ages. But the 18 18 us was sort of a novel concept. But he is a very smart 19 largest demographic would be sort of teenagers and 19 guy. He graduated top of his class at Harvard Business 20 20-somethings in the U.S. 20 School. 21 21 Q Okay. Fair enough. And you had mentioned And so he eventually left the board to go 22 some -- when we were talking about financing, you had 22 start his -- left the firm, and therefore, also left 23 mentioned some early backing from venture capital 23 the board just to go start his own company. But I 24 24 firms. Union Square was a key backer; is that right? would say for the first three years, he was influential 25 25 A Correct. as well. Page 39 Page 41 1 And then we've had other partners over the 1 And still are, correct? 2 2 years, you know. We had a guy named Anamitra. I can't Yep. 3 Q Foundation Capital, when did they get 3 remember how to say his last name. I'd say Banerji, 4 4 but I don't think that's quite correct. But he was a involved? 5 5 A I want to say 2012. partner at Foundation Capital. He had been an early, 6 Q Like, a Series B or C? 6 if not the first, product manager at Twitter. 7 7 A They got involved with the Series B. So we spent time with him, time with his 8 8 partner, Paul Holland. Series C was a guy named Danny Q Got it. Okay. Who would you say -- I 9 9 Carrerian, I believe is how you say it. He's a -- I understand a lot of tech firms have key venture capital 10 backers. It's not just about money. It's about 10 think they called him partner at the private equity or 11 guidance and experience. 11 hedge fund. 12 Do you have venture capital backers like 12 Q Okay. 13 13 A And then -- yeah. I would say those are that, sort of that have been guides for you over the 14 14 years? sort of the key guys. A Yeah. We definitely had many different 15 15 Q And in terms of -- let's take USV. 16 mentors, advisors, over the years. I would say the one 16 Because Fred Wilson is a partner of some 17 that sticks out is Fred Wilson from Union Square 17 sort at Union Square; is that right? 18 18 Ventures, who invested and joined the board in 2011. A Correct. 19 19 Q Did you deal with other people at Union Q Okay. And he's been sort of a mentor or 20 20 guide for the company over the years? Square? 21 A Yeah. Him and -- yeah. He's been an 21 A Yeah. Like, I would say, I met with other 22 advisor, I would say, a very active and very smart 2.2 people, his other partners occasionally, like, a 23 advisor over the years. 23 handful of times. But by far, I spent the most time 24 2.4 Q Any other firms or people at the firms that 25 had that role that you'd think of? 25 Q So the other people at Union Square didn't

Page 42

play the same role as sort of advising you --

A No.

Q -- and dealing with the business as Fred?

A I wouldn't say so. Like, they -- like, I

5 met them at -- like, at Union Square Ventures, they

6 would have CEO summits, for example. I would meet them

there. I might have done the odd phone call with them

8 over the years. But, like, nowhere near to the degree

9 that I would spend the time with Fred.

Q Okay. So we're going to talk about Kin very shortly. Right before that, is it -- right before then, I take it -- let's go late 2016.

Kik was still struggling to -- is it fair to say, to find a business model to compete with larger competitors like Facebook?

A I think we were struggling to make money and struggling to grow. And the answer of "we'll figure out how to make money later" was no longer an option with investors. And I think, at the same time, Facebook and others were realizing how important messaging was in the consumer space and were massively ramping up their investment to compete with us in the space.

So on the growth side, we were becoming --we were outgunned. And we were becoming quickly

are we going to beat Facebook. And it got to the point where it was like, I don't know.

Page 44

Q And you said it was never explicit. Did you have a sense that investors -- investors in Kik were clamoring for you to have an answer to that question?

A Yeah. I think, like, 2014 to 2016 were, like, some of the toughest years of my life by a mile. And when I say some of the toughest, by far the toughest years of my life by a mile. Why? Because when you're winning, everything is great and everybody is happy. And, you know, everybody is excited to take on the next challenge.

When you're losing, it starts to become the opposite. And that becomes a self-fulfilling prophecy. And people sort of get more scattered, less trusting. Not just outside the company, but even inside the company. People start seeing like, wait a second, we might die here. And to manage a team and to manage an investor base when it looks like you might die and to try to turn that around is one of the toughest things I think you can do in human existence.

Q I think I read somewhere -- it might have been a statement you made.

A Maybe who, sorry?

Page 43

massively outgunned in terms of money being spent,

employees being deployed, to build a compelling product. And then on the monetization side, we hadn't

yet found something that would work.

Q And you said -- on the monetization side, you said that it was no longer an option for your investors just to tell them, "We'll make money eventually"?

A Yes.

Q Is that right? Tell me about that.

A I think -- like, I -- I don't know if it's,

like, that explicit. It's just this -- it became this

increasing realization that we were driving at a wall

and not -- you know, not just us, but, like, almost

everybody in the consumer space, you know. We weren't

going to be able to deploy more people and resources

17 than Facebook.

Facebook wasn't making any money on it.

But they didn't need to. So we're competing with people who have billions of dollars to compete with us with. They view this as critically strategic to their strategy. And they have zero need to make money from

23 it. 

So it's not like -- you know, when I'm going and talking to potential investors, like, so how

Page 45

Q That you might have made.

A Uh-huh.

Q That when Snapchat went public, that seeing their financials, was an aha moment or was revealing for you guys. Does that ring a bell?

A Yup.

Q Tell me about that.

A There was a period inside -- there was a period in time where we thought we were unique in our struggles, you know. We said publicly to the press, like, we're struggling. Nobody else said that. So we assumed nobody else was saying that because nobody else was struggling, you know.

Maybe that was -- that certainly was naive, looking back. But -- so for a long time, we thought it was unique to us. We thought it was because we didn't know what we were doing. And we didn't realize it was because what we now realize in hindsight is we were playing an impossible game.

And so when we saw Snapchat's IPO documents, what we realized -- because we had this increasing sense that it wasn't just us, that it was other companies. Actually, it was a lot of companies. And then with Snapchat's IPO documents, we realized it was all companies.

2.2

Page 46

Like Snapchat, of all consumer companies to challenge the incumbent monopolies, had executed better than anyone. They had raised billions of dollars of capital. They had thousands of employees. And yet, even they were struggling. And so for me, it was this realization where I could say, listen, it's not us. It is not just us. It's not just most companies. It's pretty much all companies. If we are going to survive, we need a new game.

And so for me, it was an aha moment, that this new game I had been thinking about since 2011 was not so crazy enough of an idea, and that now is the time to put it into motion.

Q And you're talking about crypto?

A Correct.

Q Okay.

MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Can I ask a quick question. Jeff?

So is it fair to say that sort of going into 2017, was there sort of a fear within Kik that Kik could die?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

23 BY MR. LEASURE:

Q And I promise we're going to talk more about crypto because that happened in 2017, right?

Page 48

We only got one term sheet at our Series B, one term sheet at our Series C, and one term sheet at our Series D. And so what would we have done if we didn't get those term sheets at each of those points? You know, I don't know. It didn't look good.

But yet, we've always been able to figure something else out. So in hindsight, it's sort of, you know, it didn't feel like we had other options. But we also have a history of finding other options.

# Q Right. Like how you approached the BlackBerry challenge in late 2010?

A Yeah. We have a history of figuring it out, finding options other people miss.

Q Okay. Let's talk money and financing just for a minute because we are at the SEC. We've seen the term "runway" used in connection with Kik's cash situation.

If things didn't change and there wasn't a move to crypto and Kik didn't raise additional money, how long did Kik have to operate in late 2016?

A I can't recall exactly. But I think the rough calculation was we weren't making money, we were spending money, and we had a -- we had money in the bank. And so, you know, runway is the calculation of how much money you have in the bank divided by the

Page 47

A Uh-huh.

Q Let's imagine that a pivot to that didn't happen. Run through the scenarios otherwise facing Kik. What could have happened to Kik in 2017 or 2018

5 absent the crypto project?

A Going into crypto?

7 Q Yeah.

A It's hard to speculate on the future.

Q Particularly now that it's passed. But yeah, I understand.

A Now it has passed?

Q Yeah.

A Like, at the time, like, it was very tough, you know. One of our values is we're constantly — we look at all of the options. So we were constantly looking at options over and over and over again. And one of the options we were looking at is, like, calculating severances, you know, and how much money do we need to legally set aside for severances.

So it was a dire time. We faced many dire times at Kik, you know. We've been trying to come back from this fundamental handicap, you know. What is it now? Like, over eight years ago. We've been trying to get back in the game and get back on top. So we've faced many sort of situations like this before.

Page 49

1 amount of money you spend every month.

And so I think the calculation around our runway was somewhere in the end of 2017.

Q Got it. And that was a helpful, I take it -- let's call it a fraction of money in the bank by how -- your spend rate. Taking that fraction in turn, were there efforts in late 2016 to increase the numerator, that is how much money is in the bank?

In other words, to bring in more money?

A In 2016, I'm not sure. I can't recall exactly what the efforts were. But I think they weren't that big. Because for me, it's very hard to sell a story that I don't believe. And so if I were to go out and try to raise money, you know, and they asked me the question like, but how are we going to take on Facebook, I didn't -- like, with the traditional means, I didn't have a good answer.

Q Got it. So if you were to answer, "We got a Facebook killer here. We got a way to build scale and to take on Facebook on our terms," you wouldn't have believed that at that time?

A Since 2011, when I first started thinking about crypto, I had this what I would call crazy plan in the back of my head of something that might be a third way to generate revenue as a consumer company.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 50 1 But it was something that was fundamentally new, had 1 2 fundamentally never been done before. 2 (Discussion off the record.)

And so I knew that, especially at this time when Kik was struggling, that bringing that option up at the wrong time would -- like, I'd look crazy, you know. Like, "Who is this guy?" Like, you know, "We're struggling. We're trying to figure this out. And he's off talking about some cryptocurrency thing." It's not like today where everybody is talking about

cryptocurrency. Back then, nobody was talking about cryptocurrency. Bitcoin was viewed as a big failed experiment. So I wanted to make sure I would exhaust all of the other options first. So I could say not only do I believe crypto is an amazing option, but good news guys, it is also the only option, from my point of view. So I think we should do it. But I think if we do do it, we can do it without having any regrets.

O Got it.

20 A And maybe -- can I add?

21 Q Please.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

1 2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22 A And at that time, we looked at raising

money. We also looked at selling the company, you

24 know. And we looked around. All of our competitors

25 are spending millions, if not billions, of dollars Page 52

Page 53

question because it felt like Facebook had won.

BY MR. LEASURE:

Q All right. Let's start again. We see a

process -- we just see documents.

A Yeah.

Q But it's helpful to talk to a human being about them. We see a process with the investment bank,

Credit Suisse --

A Yup.

Q -- looking around for financing. Does any of that ring a bell? Do you recall that?

A Yes, I do.

Q And what were they looking for? An acquirer, a source of new capital, all of the above?

16 A Technically, they were looking for both. 17 But primarily, they were looking for an acquirer.

> Q And is that the process you were just describing of --

A Yeah.

Q -- even with an acquirer with good

resources, you'd still have to answer fundamentally?

A Yeah. I'm sorry, what's the question?

24 Q The question was: Credit Suisse was

looking for an acquirer, and you met with -- or they

Page 51

1 discussed the possibility of Kik being acquired by

investing in their products with hundreds, if not thousands, of employees. And they make no money on 2 someone, correct?

3 those products.

> So it is fundamentally impossible for us to compete with them as somebody who does need to make money, has tens and, you know, barely 100 employees and only has millions of dollars. So we also went out and said, well, maybe an option is to look for our own, quote, unquote, rich parent.

And so we went out and we talked to all of the big tech companies and big companies around the world that saw that messaging was strategic but didn't have their own messenger. But the killer -- you know, we had a great story of why we were the innovators, why we were where we were, all of these pieces.

But the question I couldn't give a good answer to at the end of it is, "Okay. We agree with you it's strategic. We agree with you that you guys are leading experts in this field. That you have an amazing team, amazing technology, amazing product. But Facebook continues to copy and crush you. If you had our resources and our team and we had to go head to head versus Facebook together, how would we win

I did not have a good answer to that

A Correct.

Q And you described earlier the process where even in discussions with acquirers -- potential acquirers, the question would still be, okay, how are you going to compete against Facebook?

A Even with our money and our team.

Q Right. And that was the Credit Suisse process?

A Correct.

Q Was there ever a valuation prepared for, like, the value of Kik during that Credit Suisse process?

A I think there was a model prepared. I seem to recall that, you know, looked on comparables and looked at a bunch of different ways of what Kik hypothetically could or should be worth.

Q Was it in the same range as it was for Tencent in 2014, I think, of a billion?

Was it less than that?

A I can't recall.

Q Okay. Early in 2017, was there a possibility that Kik might have to reduce costs and fire employees?

together? What would we do?"

Page 54 Page 56 A Say the question one more time. 1 A Investors, early employees. But I'm 2 Q Sure. Early 2017 --2 certainly -- I believe I'm the largest. 3 3 A Yeah. Q Okay. And the other major holders are some 4 4 of the early -- or the --Q -- before the pivot to crypto was 5 announced, was there a possibility that Kik would have 5 A My co-founder, other investors, et cetera. 6 to cut costs and fire some of its employees? 6 Q Have you sold stock, Kik stock, over time? 7 7 A I believe I did sell some Kik stock at our A It was one of the options we were 8 8 Series C financing. But I believe that's the only time considering. 9 Q I see in the documents sort of head count 9 I've sold Kik stock. 10 10 listings and scenarios. Q Okay. And moving ahead a bit to Kin 11 A Yeah. Like, one of our values is we look 11 tokens. Do you personally own any Kin tokens? 12 at all of the options constantly. That's how we 12 A I personally bought \$10,000 worth of Kin 13 13 discover things that the rest of the world misses. And tokens in the token sale. But I haven't claimed those 14 so one of the options we were looking at, because it 14 tokens yet. And I haven't done anything with them yet. 15 was an option, you know, raise money, sell the company, 15 Q Okay. So if I were to try to understand, 16 lay off a bunch of people. These were all options. We 16 you know, your own skin in the game or financial 17 17 were looking at all of them. interest in the Kin project --18 Q It's hard to tell from cold documents, 18 A Uh-huh. 19 19 right? I'm reading board decks and things. I had the Q -- is it right that you indirectly have an 20 impression from the cold documents that the idea of 20 interest in Kin as a 30 percent or so owner of Kik, 21 firing employees really did not appeal to you or that 21 which in turn holds some amount of Kin? 22 you were -- you would seek out other options well 22 23 before you'd be wailing to let people go from Kik. 23 Q Okay. Is there any other way you'd think 24 Is that fair or a misreading of the 24 about just your financial interest in the project, the 25 documents? 25 Kin project? Page 55 Page 57 A Let me step back. Like -- nobody likes to 1 A I think what I recognized back in 2011 is 1 2 fire anybody, obviously. I did have experience firing 2 that launching our own cryptocurrency could be a 3 people, you know. We shut down our LA office with six 3 fundamentally new way to monetize the business, a new 4 4 or seven employees in there, and laid off other people way to build a consumer experience, a new way to 5 5 as well. We had done a layoff before. So I wouldn't compensate our shareholders, like, you know, realize 6 say -- I don't like doing it, but I don't -- I wouldn't 6 that it was sort of the ultimate win-win-win. 7 say I wouldn't do it. 7 So I would say, yes. You know, part of the 8 8 I think what I would do, if it was in the reason why we went into crypto is because we would hold 9 best interest of the company, if I thought laying off 9 a piece of an asset. And the shareholders would own 10 or firing, to use your terms, was in the best interest 10 that asset. And I was one of those shareholders. 11 of the company, meaning that it maximized the chance of 11 Q Got it. Was it also a way to obtain 12 12 Kik succeeding, then I would have done it. financing for Kik? 13 13 A I think it was a way to bring money into Q Okay. In terms of your own holdings and 14 14 interests in Kik -- Kik is a private company, correct? the company, yeah. Again, back then, like, crypto was 15 15 A Uh-huh. a totally, totally new thing. So I wouldn't call it 16 Q Do you own Kik stock? 16 financing. I would call it, like, revenue. It was a 17 17 A I do. way to make revenue. 18 18 Q What percentage -- do you know roughly what Q Okay. Early 2017 -- I just want to talk 19 percentage of Kik's outstanding stock you, yourself, 19 about the Kik org chart for a second. 20 20 hold? 21 A I don't know exactly, but I could guess. 21 Q Who were the key managers in place? 22 22 A Early 2017? Q Please. 23 A Somewhere around 30 percent. 23 Q Yeah. Right before the announcement of the 24 Q 30 percent. Who are the other major 24 Kin project. 25 holders of Kik's stock? 25 A Like, are you asking for, like, the org

Page 58 Page 60 1 chart at the high level or --1 A So maybe the -- we'll go way back in the 2 Q The highest level. Who were the key people 2 history of Kik. I always -- I didn't like -- like, 3 3 that you had in positions? "How are we going to make money one day" is obviously a 4 4 A So it was myself. It was Peter as our CFO. question you get as a business. As a music app, it's a 5 Early 2017. Eran as our chief product officer. Alim 5 little bit more obvious. Hey, you sell the music 6 as our chief people officer. Erin, I believe, as our 6 because you have to sell the music. And everybody 7 7 CMO. sells the music. So nobody can offer it for free and 8 8 just undercut you. So that was the original revenue Q Erin Clift -- I'm sorry to interrupt --9 A Erin Clift. 9 10 10 -- is the chief marketing officer? Then Kik Chat takes off. And so now we 11 Marketing officer, yep. 11 lose our music model because we're not doing music 12 12 anymore. But at the same time, it's like, well, that Q Okay. 13 13 A I'm forgetting other people for sure. doesn't matter because now you're a hot consumer app. 14 14 Q Let's take Erin Clift. And consumer app, the -- or consumer startup, the 15 Did you think she was doing a good job? 15 playbook is always grow, grow, grow now, monetize A I think we were all trying to figure it 16 16 later. 17 And so when we made the shift from music to 17 out. You know, I think at the time it was -- like, lot 18 of those people were new managers, like, new leaders 18 chat, we were growing. We didn't have to think about 19 inside the company. Like, Erin was brought on somewhat 19 revenue because that wasn't part of the strategy for 20 recently. Eran was brought in through an acquisition 20 how to grow a consumer company. That's something you 21 21 recently, put into that role recently. Alim was can always figure out down the road. But I knew 22 brought on somewhat recently. 22 personally, as the CEO, that one day down the road 23 So it was -- I would say it was, like, the 23 would come. And I knew that there were only two 24 second leadership team I had at Kik. I had the same 24 options. The only two options are either you sell 25 25 leadership team for roughly the first seven years or something or you sell advertising. Page 59 Page 61 something like that. And so I don't think there's, 1 And I knew that selling something probably 1 2 like, good job or bad job. I think it was we were all 2 wouldn't work because I've seen the competitive 3 scrambling, looking at where we were as Kik through our 3 dynamics. And, you know, what I would do if I were one 4 4 own lens and trying to figure out how to save it. of the competitors that are just copying what we were MR. LEASURE: Got it. Let's take five. 5 5 doing or make it free. So I knew that wouldn't be an 6 Can we go off the record. 6 option. And both philosophically and practically did 7 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. not like the option of advertising. 8 8 The time on the video monitor is 10:48 a.m. So really, if you had asked me back then, 9 9 like, what are the options before I learned about (A brief recess was taken.) 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 10 Bitcoin, I would say I don't know. But our investors record. The time on the video monitor is 11:04 a.m. 11 say, it's fine. We can figure it out later. So we're 11 BY MR. LEASURE: 12 12 going to figure it out later. 13 13 So I was always on the look out for another Q Back on the record after a brief break 14 14 during which, Mr. Livingston, did you have any business model. It was always my dream to build a big 15 substantive conversations about this investigation with 15 independent company, not to just sell it along the way. 16 SEC staff? 16 And if we're going to be a big independent company, one 17 A No. 17 day, we would have to make money. But the only two 18 18 options that seemed to exist didn't appeal to me. Q I'm going to ask that every time. 19 19 A Okay. So I was always on the lookout. And so 20 20 when I first read about Bitcoin, which I believe was in O I'm sure there's a reason I ask it. I 21 don't particularly know, but there's -- I will ask it 21 2011, I almost immediately realized that this could be 22 22 a third way to make money. Because what I realized on each time we come back on the record. 23 23 one side is that Bitcoin was this fundamentally new So we've been foreshadowing a pivot to 24 crypto. Tell me, in your head, how the idea of Kik one 24 technology where for the first ever, you could

25

guarantee the scarcity of a digital asset.

day maybe entering the crypto space came up.

25

Page 62

So before you can guarantee the scarcity of something, by choosing something physical, like gold, nobody can create more gold, it's a pain to move gold around. But on the other side, you got something digital, like points or dollars, easy to move around. But whoever controls the database can make more whenever they want.

Now, with Bitcoin, for the first time ever in human history, you could have both of those properties at the same time. So what that meant is, you know, if Bitcoin -- the supply was fixed. There would never be more than 21 million Bitcoins. That was guaranteed by math and cryptography.

So that if the demand for Bitcoin grew, then the price of Bitcoin would grow, right? Supply stays fixed, demand grows. Price is a function of supply and demand. So now, really, price is just a function of demand. So that if — you know, if we had created Bitcoin, and we had set a big chunk of it aside for ourselves at the beginning, then this could be a fundamentally new business model where instead of using our community to try to sell them something, or using our community to sell their attention to somebody else through the form of advertising, we could use our community and get them to start transacting in this

Page 64

in January 2012. It's me and, like -- I think there were 12 other people there, something like that, 13 of us. One of them was the lead developer of Bitcoin. And I was like, "Guys, this is going to change the world. This is an incredible innovation that so few people understand. But how are we going to get people to use it?"

And everybody sort of sat around in the room and was like, "Well, we don't know. But right now, that doesn't really matter because we're focusing on the technology." Most of these guys were engineers. And that's where I said, "Well, you guys focus on the technology. That's fine if you want to do that. But I'm going to go focus on the go-to-market."

And my thesis was -- you know, Bitcoin was trying to focus on the digital world. But the digital world -- or, sorry. Let me correct that. Bitcoin was focused on the physical world. But the physical world already had a currency. But in a way, we had our own digital world, you know.

In a way, we had our own digital country, a country where millions of people are showing up every day and interacting with each other. But that digital world, one, did not have a currency. And two, we could, as a the owners of that digital world, give them

Page 63

currency, creating demand for the currency, growing the value of that currency; and therefore, growing the value of the currency that we held. Okay?

And so that was what got me excited about Bitcoin as a technology. And there was another thing that got me excited about Bitcoin, which was at the same time, while Bitcoin was amazing, I also thought it was fundamentally flawed. And I thought the flaw was is that it had a very bad go-to-market as a heat currency.

You know, everybody is talking about Bitcoin. Oh, we're going to buy coffee with it and plane tickets and all of these different things where I could already use dollars. So as a consumer, why would I want to buy a currency -- a different currency to buy something when I could just buy it with the currency I already have?

And as a merchant, why would I want to accept a currency when I could just accept it in a currency where — the same currency that all my expenses were in. And so for me, there's this, like, fundamental flaw where Bitcoin was this amazing technological and economic innovation, and yet, it was fundamentally flawed in its go-to-market.

And I actually went to a Bitcoin conference

Page 65

and choose which currency they were going to use.

Q So the idea of -- because you, Kik, ran a

digital world, that solved the flaw you saw in Bitcoin?

A Yes.

Q Why would people spend in a physical world?

A Correct.

Q Okay.

A Like, what would be the fastest way to get everybody in the world using Bitcoin every day, if the United States government said, "Hey, from now on, the only way to buy coffee is with Bitcoin." And then everybody would go, "Oh, fuck. Okay. How do I" -- sorry. "How do I get Bitcoin? Because I really want coffee." Right?

Boom. Bitcoin would be super used overnight. But, of course, the U.S. government is never going to do that. They already have a currency. We could effectively do the same thing, but within our digital country. We could say if you want all of these things, the only way to get it is with what became Kik Points. And so everybody would say, "Okay. Well, how do I get Kik Points?"

Q So by 2011 or 2012, you had this vision or idea that you see as a potential to monetize the Kik community; is that fair?

Page 66

A I got very excited about the idea that this could be a third option as a way for consumer apps to make money.

Q Got it. Why not introduce a crypto in 2012 then?

A Or 2013, '14, '15, '16.

O Sure.

A I think for a few reasons. So back then, there wasn't the pressure to make money that there was later. So I think that was one of it. Second is this Bitcoin thing was a brand new thing. Like, very few people in the world understood what was happening with Bitcoin. I was in a room with 12 other people, like the who's who in Bitcoin. And there's -- it's less people in the room than at this table.

So it was a very new and novel idea. So I think while I viewed it as it could be a one day solution, that it wasn't required today. And, in fact, you know, I get excited about different options and about the future. And that's something I'm very good at is sort of seeing the future early. But then I want to confirm it for myself that it's viable.

### Q What did that take with crypto?

A So the logic for why crypto could work as a business model, the logic was very good. And the logic

Page 68 when I think back to who I went to inside the company,

when I think back to who I went to inside the com
 I'm not -- like, a lot of this, I was just doing the
 thinking on my own. I might have talked to my
 co-founder. I haven't asked him since then.

He left the company sort of two years ago. I know I brought it up to him at some point, but I'm not even sure when that was. Because the idea that you're going to invent the third, meaning there were only two before it, business models for consumer applications in history, and that business model is you're going to launch a new economy around a new currency, you're going to own a piece of that currency, and that piece you own will be your ultimate economic reward, today it seems straightforward, but even a year ago, it was, like, a crazy idea.

# Q It wasn't a tested or thought about business model in 2012, '13, '14?

A Even today, when I talk to people in the cryptocurrency industry today, lots of people do not understand this.

Q Okay. But I also take it part of what made the project emerge in 2017 and not 2012 is you were facing more pressure for monetization and pressure from investors; is that fair?

A I'd say in 2012, it was a very crazy idea.

Page 67

back then is the logic today, and it has proven to me to be totally true. But people always say, "Well, what data do you have to validate your hypothesis?"

You know, I'm a very gut-driven person, a logic-driven person. But when you're trying to convince a group of investors, a team, all of these different people, that, hey, of all our very small amount of resources that we're trying to allocate to win and survive and build a business, that we should spend some of those resources on this, you know. It's not just a "Well, I thought it was a good idea."

And that becomes especially true when you're struggling. Then it's even more important to have a bulletproof argument for why this is a good idea because people both outside and, more importantly, inside are naturally skeptical.

Q Let me make sure I understand that.

Is it fair to say that in 2012, had you
gone to Kik's backers, its investors, and said, "I want
to pivot to crypto," it wouldn't have been a known
tested thing in the world that they would have heard
about and embraced at the time?

A I didn't go to any of our investors at the

time. In fact, the first investor I went to, to the best of my knowledge, is Fred Wilson in 2016. And even

Page 69

It's not a crazy idea we needed to pursue yet. It was just sort of like what could be a third option one day. So for all of those reasons, I could sort of slowly build my own internal case for why this made sense.

Q And it was -- it was you building the internal case within Kik; is that right?

A Correct.

Q Were there any other people who were championing the crypto project other than you?

A Internally?

Q Yeah.

A I'm -- it's possible -- again, I'm -- I believe the first person I told -- the first person I told of our investors was Fred Wilson in 2016. Before that, I probably told Chris Best, but I'm not even certain of that. And then outside of him, I'm fairly sure I didn't tell anyone, or at least not until right around when I told Fred.

Q Chris Best was the co-founder of the company?

A Correct.

Q Okay. You were the driver of the Kin project, what became the Kin project?

A Correct.

Q Okay. There's a project that I think you

Page 70

mentioned publicly before called Kik Points.

A Uh-huh.

Q What is Kik Points?

A Kik Points was the first version of a

currency inside of Kik. And what made it different

versus other currencies was -- there's lots of

currencies in apps, in-game currencies. But all of

those currencies are really just a proxy for dollars,

you know. You buy 100 gems for a dollar, and then you

spend your 100 gems, you know, one penny worth at a

time.

Whereas, what we want to do with Kik Points, what I wanted to do with Kik Points primarily -- my primary goal, which I did not share with, I believe, anyone else at the time, but if I shared it with anybody, it would have been Chris, was to test this idea of launching a currency inside of our community where it wasn't a currency you would buy and spend, but a currency that you could only earn and spend.

So there was no way to buy Kik Points. It was like its own little digital country. You could earn it and you could spend it, but that was it. It was its own internal currency. That was my primary goal of launching Kik Points. But, again, I'm -- you

Page 72

Q Right. So what was different between the future Kin project and Kik Points?

How were they different?

A Got it. Like just -- they're different in a bunch of ways. Like, where do you want -- that's a big question. Like, technically, philosophically, strategically?

Q Yeah. Let's talk strategically. I take it Kik Points, it was decided not to keep investing engineering resources on it, correct?

A Kik Points was, again, these -- was testing these two hypotheses. One was that you could get a community transacting in a new currency that you didn't have to buy, you would earn and spend. And two was it was a way to generate advertising revenue. I would say it disproved the second hypothesis.

It was not a good way to drive advertising revenue, at least not to the degree we needed to become profitable. But it was great way with smashing success of getting people to adopt a currency that they would earn and spend.

Q Yeah. I think I saw maybe some of your public statements saying that the velocity of transactions on Kik Points exceeded Bitcoin transactions, right?

Page 71

know, we have a long history of doing more with less as this little company that keeps surviving and keeps playing against these giants.

We have to do more with less. And so I knew that alone would not be enough. It was too crazy of an idea to convince people that this was worth spending engineering and product resources on and legal resources on and all these different things. So there is a secondary benefit to Kik Points, which is it was also a way -- a better way to get people to opt into advertising. And that could generate revenue.

So, hey, we don't have a feed where we just show ads. We don't want a feed because that sort of goes against our philosophy for what we want Kik to be as a product. But what if who launched a currency that the only way to get certain things within the product was with that currency. And the way to get that currency on day one was to watch advertising.

So in effect, you know, now it's not just you're watching ads and you see none of that value for yourself, now you're choosing to watch ads and you're getting paid to watch ads. And that could be great for our users because they're opting in. It's their data. They're monetizing their own data. But it could also be a revenue model for us.

Page 73

A Correct.

Q People were using it. Was there a way to transfer Kik Points into the physical world outside of Kik? In other words, trade Kik Points for dollars?

A There was not.

Q Was that a difference between Kik Points and what became the Kin project?

A Yes.

9 Q And is that important?

10 A What do you mean by "is that important"?

Q Is that difference, the transferability to the outside world, did that make a difference in the success of the two projects?

A It made them different.

Q Okay.

A So if Kik Points was a cryptocurrency but could never be traded for dollars, then it wouldn't work as a fundamentally new business model for developers. And it would also inhibit consumers because, you know, the goal was to prove that we could get the majority of people earning a currency.

But then, certainly, there would be some people, like, "Hey, I don't want to watch ads. Is there just some way to buy this?"

Q Right.

Page 74

A And we would want to offer that as well.

Q And I take it Kik couldn't -- just with a project like Kik Points, other than generating limited advertising revenue, Kik itself couldn't monetize its app, right?

A Sorry. I'm not sure I understand the question.

Q Yeah. Sure. I'm trying to explore what --Kin is transferable outside of the Kin project, for dollars or other currencies, right?

A Right.

Q Kik Points were not?

13 A Correct.

Q I'm trying to understand if that -- if that difference mattered or -- between the two projects.

A I think it did matter. I think, you know, the fact that Kik Points was not transferable made it not a business model for us or anybody else and also slowed down the growth of the economy. The other piece that comes into the factor here is, like, the money transmission laws.

You know, Kik Points, we could transfer because there was no in or out. And so that let us do that and yet not be a bank. Whereas, now, with blockchain, you can allow that transferability and also

Page 76

the end of every of those interactions, you needed to
pay money. And so we looked at all the options. How
can we get money into the system, looked at all the
different partners we can integrate, like Stripe, et
cetera, or companies like them, to add payments into
the system, add your credit card.

We realized a lot of our users didn't have credit cards. We looked at doing our own credit card. I still have this very cool Kik branded Mastercard that we were considering launching. But what we realized with all of this is the current financial infrastructure was so complicated that we would show Facebook, for example, how to make bots work, how they could change the world. But then we'd be so dragged down, and all of the things we would need to do to make the financial side work, that by the time we got those working, they would have long copied and crushed us.

So I think the other very cool thing about Kik Points and Kin is it was a way to add transactions to the ecosystem that we knew we needed to make the platform succeed, but in a way that was much sort of more efficient and cutting edge and we could move quickly on, and so that we could actually get in front and get to this escape velocity before Facebook could copy and crush us.

Page 75

not be a bank. So I think that was part of the -- that was part of the equation, too, is that it's not that we didn't want to launch transferability of Kik Points, but that we could not with the financial technology.

Q Got it. I think this is outside of Kik Points, but was there ever any discussion or exploration within Kik of the idea of using some kind of dollars or Kik cash?

A Yeah.

Q Okay. Tell me about that.

A You're discovering why Kin was, like, the killer win-win-win solution to a bunch of challenges we had. Because as we were -- you know, we talked about, you know, this is just, like, a totally separate arm of a different problem we needed to solve. So I talked about we were building this platform.

We're building a native platform, a web platform, a bot platform. And all those -- many of these interactions for -- especially for bots would end in, like, an exchange of money. We talked about being able to walk into restaurants, scan the Kik code, tell the bot what you want for launch, say, "Yes, I'll pay," and then, boom, your lunch just magically shows up.

This bot platform actually was a big reason why Tencent invested in Kik. But the challenge was at

Page 77

MR. MITCHELL: What does "escape velocity" mean?

THE WITNESS: Escape velocity means that you get to a point where -- so you're trying to launch something new. In software, anything can be copied. Increasingly, these big companies are blatant about copying and aggressive. It used to be a bad thing. Oh, you don't want to copy. But now, they're like, yeah -- like, you know, when Instagram launched Snapchat's stories' clone, they were like, "Yeah, we just copied it." They don't even pretend anymore. It's just like, "Of course we would do that."

So escape velocity is this idea that you can set up a new product and it starts going, meaning it starts generating its own momentum. The network effects start to kicks in such that by the time a competitor realizes what's happening and starts putting in place with their mass of resources of money and people, it is too late, they cannot catch the network effect, and so you have reached escape velocity.

MR. MITCHELL: So just before I ask my question, the product you were talking about was the Kin product, the Kin tokens?

THE WITNESS: Kin was a tool. The product I'm talking about in this case was the bot platform.

Page 78 Page 80 1 BY MR. LEASURE: 1 A I would characterize that period as having 2 Q But the same concept of achieving escape 2 increasing conviction that crypto was not only a great 3 3 velocity to avoid being copied and crushed applies to option, but would also become the only option. 4 4 the Kin project, right? Q For Kik? 5 A In this example, if one restaurant had this 5 A For Kik. 6 killer experience where you walk in, you scan the code, 6 Q Okay. There were more people -- there were 7 like click, click, click, your food magically shows up, 7 more people interested in crypto in 2017 than there 8 8 and then it's like an Uber, you just walk out at the were --9 end, it was a killer experience. We had ran trials 9 A Yup. 10 10 Q -- right? And there were a lot of new with McDonald's and Burger King, for example. It was 11 killer. 11 digital projects on top of what Kik was exploring, 12 But at the end of those, you need to pay. 12 13 Okay? "You want fries, a burger? Okay. It's 13 A Correct. 14 five bucks. Yes, you'll pay? Okay. Great. It's on 14 Q Okay. Would you say it was easier in 2017 15 its way." So in this case, you know, we could do one 15 than in 2012 to raise money for a new token project? 16 restaurant. But then we would need all of the 16 A I would say there was much more interest in 17 infrastructure in place to be able to get all the 17 cryptocurrencies in 2017 than there were in 2012, and 18 financial infrastructure in place so that people could 18 that there were certainly many more people buying 19 pay for these things, get all the restaurants on board, 19 cryptocurrencies in 2017 than 2012. 20 show them, "Hey, look at what's happening here." 20 Q That's fine. And in terms of that 21 21 They come on board. So now consumers are interest, I want to see how you would characterize what 22 going into more restaurants and seeing Kik codes. So 22 was driving that interest in new crypto projects in 23 that's bringing in more consumers. More consumers 23 2017. 24 brings in more restaurants. They'll say, "Hey, look at 24 A I'm not sure I understand the question. 25 all of those other restaurants with all those 25 Q You said there's new interest in crypto in Page 79 Page 81 1 consumers." 1 **2017**, correct? 2 2 And you're achieving this network effect A Much more people were buying 3 and this escape velocity before Facebook just goes to 3 cryptocurrencies in 2017. There was much more interest 4 4 all the restaurants and say, "Hey, yeah, what Kik is in the industry in cryptocurrencies in 2017. 5 doing is really cool. We'll give you a billion dollars 5 Q That's fine. Let me ask it in a better 6 if you can do it with us." Crushed. 6 way. We see a term in some documents called "crypto 7 7 Q Right. So we talked about your early enthusiasts." 8 interest in crypto and applying it to Kik. You're in 8 A Okay. 9 the wilderness in 2011 or 2012 with a handful of people 9 Q Have you ever heard that term? 10 at a conference, I think you referenced, right? 10 A I have heard the term. 11 A Okay. I went to the conference because I 11 How would you characterize a crypto 12 was so excited about Bitcoin. It's not the other way 12 enthusiast at that time? 13 13 A Somebody who -- again, the industry was 14 14 Q Oh, no, I got you. Yeah, yeah. I'm trying just forming. Many people had many different terms for 15 to move the camera forward to how the world changed by 15 many different things. I would describe that term as 16 early 2017. 16 people who were excited about the promise of blockchain 17 A Okay. 17 technology and what it could do for society. And I 18 18 would describe it as people who were also buying Q Around the time the Kin project is being 19 explored. How would you characterize the change that 19 cryptocurrencies. 20 happened from 2011 to 2012 to 2017? 20 Q Okay. And they were buying because they 21 A How would I characterize the change? 21 thought the future of crypto technology was bright? 2.2 22 A Yeah. Which change? 23 Q Sure. Good question. The change in 23 Q And there was more money coming into the

24

25

24

25

interest in crypto, change in interest in new digital

assets, how would you characterize...

token market in 2017, would you agree with that?

A If the statement was there was more people

Page 82 Page 84 1 buying cryptocurrencies in 2017 than the amount of 1 A Okay. 2 money buying cryptocurrencies in 2016 and so on and so 2 Q There's a concept in the documents and some 3 forth, yes, I would agree with that. 3 of the things you said called an ecosystem or the Kin 4 4 Q It follows from people being interested? ecosystem. Is that a term you're familiar with? 5 A Yeah. 5 A Yes. 6 Was that -- in terms of timing, because we 6 Q Okay. Tell me about the Kin ecosystem. 7 7 talked about how you were thinking about crypto for How does it -- how does it work or how is 8 8 half a decade by that point, did that timing matter for it envisioned to work? 9 you in terms of a market being developed and a lot of 9 A The vision of the Kin ecosystem is hundreds 10 10 or thousands or -- hopefully, we'll see how far this interest in crypto assets in 2017? 11 A I think the most important thing it did for 11 goes -- tens of thousands of digital apps that all use 12 me was it allowed me to explain the idea to my 12 Kin as their native currency. 13 13 investors and my team with appearing less and less Q So give me an example of an app and what it 14 14 crazy over time. would do with Kin. 15 Q Okay. In 2017, it didn't sound entirely 15 A So Kik, for example, is an app. Anything 16 you wanted to do inside Kik, like the different chats 16 crazy to pivot to a crypto project? 17 A Yeah. 17 you wanted to get into, different content you wanted to 18 18 buy, if you wanted to get advice on something, anywhere Q Like it would have maybe in 2012? A It didn't pivot to a crypto project. It 19 where you're interacting with other people, Kin would 19 20 didn't sound entirely crazy that crypto could be our 20 be the currency you would do to facilitate the exchange 21 21 business model. of that value. 22 Q Okay. That's one thing I want to be --22 Q And is it solely -- in this vision, is it 23 this wasn't -- I'm going to refer to it as the Kin 23 solely digital or does it also interface with the 24 project if that's okay. 24 25 25 A Yeah. A I'm not sure where Kin will end up one day Page 83 Page 85 and how much of it will be digital and how much of it Just to not get hung up on terms. 1 1 will be physical. But certainly, it feels like the 2 Yeah. 2 3 Q But this was a transition of Kik's business 3 best go-to-market for a cryptocurrency from our point 4 4 of view is to focus on digital worlds. model, correct? 5 5 A This was increasingly going all in on a Q Why is that? 6 fundamentally new business model that was powered by 6 A It's the place where millions of people get 7 7 together every day to exchange value with each other crypto. 8 8 Q Got it. And "all in" was actually the term where they do not have a currency, but where the owner 9 9 of that digital community could choose to introduce a I was thinking of. It wasn't sort of a side business 10 that you'd see would or wouldn't work. It was putting 10 currency. 11 the company into this new business model? 11 Q Got it. And the ecosystem requires there 12 A I think it started as a side business, 12 to both be apps and producers of services and also 13 right? Like, with Kik Points, it was a side business. 13 consumers of those services, correct? 14 14 A Correct. But as we got increasing conviction on the power of 15 this option and increasing conviction on the futility 15 Q Like any market? 16 of the other options, it became increasingly clear to 16 A Yeah. Well, maybe just -- why did I pause? 17 me that this was the best option. 17 The cool thing about Kin is, you know, before -- like, 18 18 what's the difference between Kin and in-app purchase, Q Okay. 19 Α And so at -- and then at some point, it was 19 right? Why not just in-app purchase, like all of these 20 other iPhone apps do? 2.0 21 Q We're going to walk chronologically through 21 In Kin, you no longer have to think about what services you can offer as the community owner that 22 2.2 2017 mostly. 23 A Okay. 23 people would pay you money for. But now, you can 2.4 O But I want to first talk about this sort of 24 simply set up marketplaces where consumers can exchange 25 big picture concepts behind the Kin project. 25 value with each other and do so in this cryptocurrency,

Page 86 Page 88 1 and you can take nothing for yourself. Right? 1 O Okav. 2 Like, hey, you know, you give fitness 2 A One of our values is we work hard to find 3 3 advice to you. And in return, you gave 50 Kin to do the win-win. 4 4 that. And we, Kik, we facilitated it, but we took none Q Got it. 5 of it. But in doing so, you're getting people to use 5 A And we work very hard to find win-wins. 6 this new cryptocurrency. 6 Q To make sure I understand that in this 7 7 In doing so, that's creating more demand context, is it right that the win-win-win there is that 8 8 for this new cryptocurrency. And in doing so, because Kik's interest as a holder of Kin are aligned with 9 the supply is fixed, that's making the cryptocurrency 9 people who might hold Kin, that is with fixed supply, 10 10 more valuable for everyone, including us. people benefit when there are more transactions and the value goes up? 11 Q Got it. And so the business model for Kik 11 12 is you don't have to get paid for that 50 Kin transfer 12 A I think this is -- there's many wins, and 13 13 directly, correct? we can go through them one at a time, but this 14 14 A Right. Right. Because 50 Kin might be, certainly is -- one of the wins is it's a currency. 15 like, a fraction of a penny. 15 The supply is fixed. Nobody can create more. So not 16 16 Q Right. just if Kik has some of that currency, not just if 17 A Right. We're like, oh, great, we got one 17 anybody else has some of that currency, but everybody 18 percent of a fraction of a penny. You know, good news, 18 who has that currency, as the economy grows, they all 19 we're profitable. Like, that wouldn't work. And that 19 win together. 20 20 was the problem with digital world is, like, the Even, you know, Billy here who just 21 21 transaction fees were too high, the friction was too transferred one Kin for fitness advice, even he --22 high. And the money, the percentage or the value of 22 like, he has one Kin, there's only going to ever be so 23 those transactions was too low. 23 much Kin, so he forever has one piece of the whole 24 24 But now, with cryptocurrency, by getting 25 25 millions, hundreds of millions -- hopefully, one day, Q How do you -- but -- so in terms of Page 89 Page 87 billions -- of people to use this new cryptocurrency as 1 building out this project, how do you attract people to 1 2 their primary currency in this digital Kin ecosystem, 2 use this ecosystem? Why should I accept Kin as opposed 3 that would create a ton of demand for the 3 to anything else? 4 4 cryptocurrency. Supply would be fixed, and that would A Why should I accept Kin as who? 5 5 make our piece of that cryptocurrency very valuable. Q Let's say I'm a supplier of a digital 6 Q Right. And so Kin -- Kik itself doesn't 6 service. 7 7 A As a developer? have to take a piece of any of the transactions. It 8 8 sits, hopes that there is more demand. And because Q Sure. 9 9 A I think there's -- well, maybe let's take it's, correct me if I'm wrong, sitting on a large pile 10 10 Kik, for example. Why would Kik accept Kin? And then

of its own Kin holdings, it can one day hope to make a profit from that?

A It can hope to -- like, its Kin, that piece it owns, becomes more and more valuable. It can sell off pieces of that along the way to be revenue for other things it wants to do. And then whatever it has left at the end of the day, once this open source ecosystem is built and runs itself like Bitcoin, whatever is left is the financial reward for the shareholders.

Q And you used the phrase, I think, "win-win-win"?

A Yeah. Yeah. Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q I want to make sure I'm getting all the wins.

A Yeah, yeah. Lots of wins.

11 we can --

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Yeah.

A -- generalize it to anybody else. One, it's a fundamentally new business model for Kik. That's part of it. It's a new way to generate revenue. As the asset gets more valuable, you can sell pieces of it to make revenue. But two, you make revenue in a way that is fundamentally aligned with your consumers in a way that advertising is fundamentally not.

This was the piece that was very exciting to us and to me, is not only could we make money, but we could make money in a way that was fundamentally aligned with our consumers. In advertising, if anybody says, "Hey, would you take Facebook without ads," every single person would say yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 90

Whereas, with Kin, again, we're not taking any piece. We're not taking transaction fees. We're not even selling things over time. We're just facilitating the exchange of value between consumers. And so it is actually now our goal not only not to extract value, but for -- to enable consumers to find ways to extract value for themselves.

People could only spend a currency that they've earned. And it's only if they spend that the demand is increased. And only if demand has increased that this is a successful business model for us. Ergo, our goal is to now get our consumers paid. That is our fundamental goal now with this business model, is we are only successful to the degree that we can get consumers paid for the value they contribute.

And that was, like, the killer win, is not only is this a new way to make money, a way that the monopolies cannot just take for themselves, but it's also in a way that makes the consumer experience better. We can do a service to direct consumers, not extract something for ourselves.

# Q But, again, leave aside Kik, you want third parties to --

- A So let's generalize it now.
- 25 Q Please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

5

6

13

Page 92

capture -- you know, when they grow demand for this currency by having it be the currency inside their app, they need to get the value from that. Otherwise, it's not a viable business model for them.

How could we set that up? How could we set it up? Yes, you could go launch your own cryptocurrency. But if you adopt Kin, you'll actually make just as much money, but you'll be part of a bigger ecosystem. And so that was the Kin Rewards Engine. We said let's set 60 percent of all Kin aside for any developer who helps us come build this economy.

Because as we said, like, when we say to a developer, hey, if you put this in your app, you'll grow a demand like this. That will grow the value of Kin like this. And the Kin Reward Engine will look at that and give you that value back. So there is no financial reason to do your own, and there's also a very practical reason to band together and to compete as one. I would think that was one thing.

But two is we also realized that if Kin were only ever used in Kik, it wouldn't work. Why? As a business model. Why? Because while Kik Point -while Kin is on the blockchain, and therefore, there could never be more, if only one, quote, unquote, digital country is accepting it, and one day we decide,

Page 91

Page 93

- A Is that your question?
- 2 Q Yes.
- 3 Okay.
- 4 Q Let's do.
  - A So killer solution for Kik, right? Like,
    - it's a fundamentally new business model for us in a way
- 7 that fundamentally aligns with what our consumers want.
- 8 Win-win. Okay? But we realized two other things. The
- 9 first thing we realized was that if Kik needed this as
- 10 a billion dollar consumer app, that there would be many
- other people who would need a similar third option. 11

12 It wasn't just us who was struggling,

- failing to compete with this monopoly called Facebook.
- 14 It was actually everybody. And so if we needed this
- 15 business, this new business model for ourselves, there
- 16 are probably lots of other developers that would need
- 17 it -- out there that would need it for themselves also.
- 18 And we said, well, okay, so probably a lot
- 19 of other consumer apps would get to the point where
- 20 their only option is to launch a cryptocurrency as
- 21 well. Well, that's not going to be good because then
- consumers will have all these different currencies in 22
- 23 all these different apps.
- 24 But at the same time, for it to be a
- 25 business model for themselves, they're going to have to

- hey, Kin is great. There's never going to be more. 1
  - But now we want a different currency. We're creating
- 3 Kin 2, the value of Kin would go away.

There would be no more demand, nobody is

5 using it because the one country -- digital country,

that was using it is no longer using it. So we realize

7 just from a business model point of view as well, this

8 needed to be an ecosystem where there were many

9 different digital countries all adopting it. So that

10 if any one digital country decided to no longer support 11

it, that it wouldn't matter to the overall ecosystem.

So, again, a win-win where -- another example of a win-win where every other developer -almost every other developer out there needed this as a business model as well. But by doing that, it made Kin as an ecosystem and as a currency stronger overall.

And then the third piece is it would also let us all compete together as one against these monopolies like Facebook because now we're all trying to drive demand for the same currency. We're all working together to do that through our own independent efforts. And if we -- so we can all take on Facebook as one. And if we do that, we all win as one as well.

Q So all parties in this ecosystem have an interest in -- including Kik, have an interest in

Page 94

increasing the velocity and the amount of transactions that happen over time?

A Correct.

2.0

Q Okay. And their -- and the goal of the Kin project, correct me if I'm wrong, is to, through network effects, build up such interest in this ecosystem that it's immune from a copy and crush by a larger competitor?

A This was the -- I have ten years' almost at this point experience of being copied and crushed, and it's painful. And every time somebody has tried to tell me, "But they won't copy this," every time, you know, for years, "Wow, that's amazing. It's crazy. It's amazing. It's so innovative. They're such a big company. They're not going to copy this," and every time, they do. Every time, they do.

And so this time, people -- "Facebook is not going to get into crypto. It's crazy. They don't need to. They have advertising." Blah, blah, blah. "They won't copy this." And I'm like, if there is a big opportunity to build value for consumers, to build value for themselves, it doesn't matter how crazy it is, they're going to try to copy and crush.

And so Kin has been set up from day one in anticipation of the moment when Facebook enters the

Page 96

1 I call him, I say, "I feel very bad for you. Good

2 luck," click. That's all I can do. All I can do is

3 say, "I feel bad for you. If you need a shoulder to

cry on, give me a call. I'm here for you, buddy. But
 good luck."

Q I have to ask: Did you make that call?

A I did not make that call.

Q Okay. Continue.

A I don't think --

Q Are you sure?

(Discussion off the record.)

THE WITNESS: Why is crypto so exciting?
Why is Kin so exciting for me? Because for the first time ever, we can work and defend as one. Let me explain. Facebook is going to launch its own cryptocurrency one day. I can almost guarantee it. I would be shocked if they don't launch Face Coin one day or whatever they'd call it.

And I bet you it will be set up very similarly to Kin in almost every respect. But this time, what is so interesting about this is we can work together as everybody else, as everybody who's been screwed by Facebook over the years. Because before, it's like there's nothing I can do to help you except say "good luck."

Page 95

ogoin

# ring to try to copy and crush once again. O And how has it been set up?

A This is the most beautiful thing. At the end of the day, you want the expert level understanding of Kin and crypto. Kin is a new currency. Kin is a new business model. But Kin is a new way to work together. Crypto is a new way to work together. Let me explain.

Historically, anything we can build,
Facebook can copy and has. Our app platform, our bot
platform, our web platform, many of our features inside
the app, copy, copy, copy. Snapchat, they built
stories. It's a new thing. Now stories are growing
faster than the feed in Facebook. Like, it was a
fundamentally new innovation that would change the
world. Copy, copy, copy.

In each of those instances, the company being copied -- Kik, Snapchat, whoever it is -- would have to defend themselves against the monopoly on their own. Okay? Let me -- let me explain. Snapchat. Instagram puts stories into Snapchat. Stories has now wiped out Snapchat. Snapchat is now declining in its users. What do I do? How can I help Evan?

I feel bad for Evan Spiegel, the CEO of Snapchat. I feel bad because I've been there myself.

Page 97

But now it's saying, listen, Facebook is putting their currency into all of their apps. But now we can put our currency into all of our apps, and our combined economy across all of these apps can compete with their economy. And actually, not only compete with it, but potentially beat it. And I think the analogy here is this is the way the Euro competes with the RMB.

It's saying -- the RMB is like, listen,
that's the currency of that major economy over there.
But if we all band together, together, combined, our
economy can be even bigger. And so what's so exciting
to me that this is not only just a new business model,
it's not only fundamentally aligned with our consumers,
all those things could be also true for Facebook or
anybody else.

But who -- which currency wins and which currency loses will be decided by the digital communities that adopt it. And so now, I can say to all of these other digital communities out here, you can make just as much money, build just as great a consumer experience for your users.

But by adopting, you make just as much money. The only difference by adopting our cryptocurrency versus their cryptocurrency is that in

Page 98 Page 100 1 our world, we win if you adopt ours. And if you adopt 1 O Right. And that was one of the marketing 2 theirs, in their world, they win. And so it's like you 2 pitches of the Kin offering, right? 3 could -- like, everything is the same. Same user 3 A That's one of the reasons we thought this 4 experience. Same money you're going to make. The only 4 was a killer business model, not just for us, but for 5 difference is Facebook wins or loses. 5 other developers as well. 6 And all of these guys are going to say, 6 Q Got it. But the key to defeating a future 7 "Right, it's identical, and the only difference is 7 Facebook Coin --8 Facebook loses. Yeah, I'm going to take that on if 8 A The evil empire. 9 Facebook loses." And so this to me is like -- the 9 (Discussion off the record.) 10 thing that's emerging, that people are starting to BY MR. LEASURE: 10 11 understand, like, we have all these developers gone 11 Q The key to defeating it, though, I take it, 12 live with apps now, is it's in everybody's best 12 from what you're saying, is network effects, building 13 interest to work together. 13 up a strong enough community that through self-interest 14 Why? Because you own a bunch of Kin. I'm 14 would rather not adopt Facebook Coin, but would rather 15 going to work selfishly to get Kin more adopted in Kik, 15 keep with Kin? to make my Kin more valuable. But in doing so, I will 16 16 A Correct. 17 make everybody else's Kin more valuable as well. And 17 Q Okay. We're going to walk through time. 18 the same is true for them. And so it's the first time 18 But does that community exist today in your view? forever that all of these consumer communities that 19 19 A I think it's a gradient. Like, the 20 have been unfairly copied and crushed for years by 20 community keeps growing and growing and growing. Like, 21 Facebook can band together and win and compete as one. 21 community members who are helping, like, evangelize Kin 22 BY MR. LEASURE: 22 to their friends, community members who are developers, 23 Q But based on what you're saying, this 23 who are building apps. Like, the community today is 24 vision of a group banding together and enough scale to 24 bigger than it was yesterday. 25 compete with Facebook, it seems like you're in a race 25 And I hope that will continue to be true Page 101 until this is one of the largest ecosystems and against time, then, to achieve that scale before F Coin 1 1 2 communities in the world. 2 or Face Coin is introduced, right? 3 A It's always -- everything in life is matter 3 Q Okay. Comparing it to Kik Points, is one 4 4 of time. of the differences between Kik Points and the Kin 5 5 Q No, I understand. But to press on that, ecosystem the ability to transfer value out of the 6 Kin is introduced in September of 2017 to the broader 6 community, that is to fiat dollars or other cryptos? 7 7 A That is a difference and one of the key market, correct? 8 8 things that makes Kin a viable business model where Kik A Correct? 9 9 Q And at that time, it was not capable of --Points was not. 10 there wasn't an ecosystem in place to compete against 10 Q Right. Both for Kik, I take it. A For Kik and for every other developer and 11 F Coin or Facebook Coin, if it came out in 11 12 12 September 2017, right? also for consumers. 13 Q Right. A developer ultimately might want 13 A I think it's a gradient, right? It's like 14 14 a network effect thing. Like, the more people that to extract the value it gets and turn it into dollars to pay their employees? 15 join, the more people that will join, the more people 15 16 that will join, and on and on and on. And this is the 16 A Yes. 17 exciting thing about, like, cryptocurrency as a 17 Q Okay. How would you characterize the role 18 business model. It's like the more people that join, 18 of Kik, the company, in the Kin ecosystem? 19 the more enticing it is for everybody else to join. 19 A I think what we were to Kin is what Satoshi 20 And that was one of the exciting things 20 Nakamoto, whoever he, she, or they are, is to Bitcoin. 21 with Kik and Kin, is we're saying, hey, if, you know, 21 This was our brainchild. You know, we wrote the first 22 Kik -- Kin has a bunch of things, it's a cryptocurrency 22 lines of code. We launched the ecosystem. We were 23 on the blockchain, and it has one consumer app for sure 23 sort of the first ones in. 24 that is all in on it, and it's a big consumer app 24 O Is that it? 25 called Kik. 25 A Yeah. I think there's a lot of

Page 102 Page 104 1 similarities between the two. 1 group? 2 Q No. Obviously, he or she or they are 2 A Yeah. That was one of the programs that 3 3 important people in the history of Bitcoin. I'm employees of Kik put together. 4 4 asking: Is that the only role Kik, the company, has in Q Got it. Who runs that? 5 the Kin ecosystem? 5 A There's different people involved. But I 6 A The question is what other roles does Kik 6 would say -- so it's sort of like a transition. I 7 have, if any, in the ecosystem? Kik also owns Kik, the 7 think it was first run by a woman by the name of 8 messenger. So they could say, "Hey, this is going to 8 Natasha. She's since gone on a mat leave. So I don't 9 be our currency in our app. We're sort of" -- "We're 9 know for sure, like, who would be the official leader 10 going to go first." 10 of it now. And then we have different squads. 11 I think that's, like, a powerful statement. 11 One of the squads is building the developer 12 12 experience. That's run by a lady by the name of Q So an early adopter? 13 13 A Yeah. That's a good way to put it, I Ayelet. One of them is like -- almost like running a 14 14 consultancy. It's run by a woman by the name of Noa. 15 Q Okay. What else? 15 MR. LEASURE: Okay. We need to take a 16 A I think we were going to help set up the 16 brief break. 17 Kin Reward Engine. We set up an independent -- what 17 MR. MITCHELL: Actually, one more question. 18 became an independent foundation to actually oversee 18 Why is Kik doing that? 19 the Kin reserves, the 6 trillion Kin. But like all of 19 Why is Kik trying to recruit developers? 2.0 these things, they have to start somewhere. So we 20 THE WITNESS: I think this is, like, the 21 could help -- we could propose a Kin Reward Engine 21 cool thing about cryptocurrencies, is when everybody algorithm, which they could choose to fund or not fund. 22 22 has a stake, if they're operating in their own selfish 23 So I think that's one of the things Kik is 23 best interest, they're trying to get more people to use 24 doing. And just be all-around advocates for the 24 the cryptocurrency because that will make their 25 project. 25 currency that they own more valuable. Page 103 Page 105 Q And in terms of being all-around advocates, 1 But in doing so, they're also benefitting 1 2 2 everybody else who owns that currency. So we are does Kik have a role in -- not only in just being an 3 earlier adopter, but in otherwise evangelizing or 3 operating in our own selfish interest, but everybody 4 4 spreading the word about the ecosystem? else is independently operating in their own selfish 5 A I think on that one, everybody has a role. 5 interest as well. But in doing so, we are actually all 6 I think this is, like, one of the powers of 6 operating in our collective self-interest and best 7 7 interest. That's the coolest thing about crypto. cryptocurrencies, is everybody owns some of the 8 8 MR. MITCHELL: This recruiting effort is currencies. So everybody is evangelizing it. 9 9 part of that? Q But Kik does that? 10 A Yeah. Kik does that for sure. 10 THE WITNESS: We're operating in our own selfish best interest because if Kin is the most used 11 Q Kik has a program to do that? 11 cryptocurrency in the world, then our Kin is going to 12 A Kik creates programs to do that. 12 13 Q I'm sorry. Let me ask. Program is a --13 be very valuable. 14 14 A Yeah. MR MITCHELL: Thanks. 15 Q It can mean different things. There 15 MR. LEASURE: Let's take a video break. 16 is a -- is there a business unit within Kik, the 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends Disc Number 1. 17 company, whose job is to evangelize or promote the 17 Going off the record. The time on the monitor is 12:01 p.m. 18 ecosystem to third parties? 18 19 A There is a division within Kik whose job 19 (A brief recess was taken.) 20 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins Disc it is -- there's multiple divisions within Kik to get 21 developers to adopt Kin. 21 Number 2. We are back on the record. 22 2.2 The time on the video monitor is 12:03 p.m. Q I'm literally blanking on the name right 23 23 BY MR. LEASURE: now. The developer --24 A Program. 24 Q We're back on the record after a brief 25 Q -- program. Is that the name of that 25 break during which, Mr. Livingston, did you have any

Page 106 Page 108 1 substantive conversations about this investigation with 1 developers? 2 SEC staff? 2 A I'm sure we probably had -- I can't 3 3 A I did not. remember anything specifically. I'm trying to think 4 Q Okay. We've been talking about the Kin 4 back. I'm sure we had goals, you know. Again, this is 5 ecosystem. Has Kik ever tried to identify in writing 5 not -- Kin doesn't exist in a vacuum. One day, there 6 the specific criteria for the Kin ecosystem? 6 will be Facebook Coin. And so we want to put as much 7 7 A I'm not sure what you mean. pressure on ourselves to contribute as much as we can 8 8 Q Sure. Has it ever tried to put down in a to Kin to get it to the escape velocity before Facebook 9 document what the Kin ecosystem will look like? 9 enters the ring. 10 10 A I think we've tried to explain our vision Q Has there ever been any desired -- I'm 11 for what we think the Kin ecosystem could look like, 11 talking about desired metrics for the Kin ecosystem in 12 what we would want it to look like. I would say we've 12 terms of numbers, what it looks like. Has there ever 13 13 been any such desired metrics shared outside of Kik? 14 14 Q And what document or documents do you have A Maybe you have something specifically 15 in mind? 15 you're thinking about, but I don't know. I can't think 16 A Like, I think we, for what, a year and a 16 of any specific metrics. Like, we want, you know, 48 17 half now, or however long it's been, have been trying 17 developers with Kin by this date. Like, we want as 18 to get the world to see what we see, the opportunity 18 many as possible as fast as possible. And so I'm sure 19 here to make money as developers in a way that's better 19 we set goals at some point. I'm not sure what those 20 for consumers, and then all work together and compete 20 goals were, who they would have been shared with. So I 21 21 against these monopolies as one. can't recall specifically. And so in doing so, we've done lots of 22 22 Q That's fine. And I have no -- I'm not 2.3 conferences. We wrote a white paper. We created a 23 hiding a specific document I have in mind, just to be 24 website. Various things. 24 25 25 Q And so those laid out the vision of a Kin Kin project has been public for a year and Page 107 Page 109 1 1 a few months, correct? ecosystem? 2 A Yeah. I think, you know, there's like --2 A It was announced in May of last year, May 3 for example, the white paper, right? The white paper 3 or June. I think May. 4 4 was created by different pieces by different people. Q And then tokens were distributed in 5 5 So it's sort of different people's interpretation of September, correct? 6 the vision at that time in their area. 6 A Yeah. 7 7 Q Okay. So in that year and a few months, do But, you know, the vision is an evolving 8 8 thing because this is a brand new sort of technology, you think the goals of the Kin ecosystem within that 9 9 business model, economic framework, et cetera. time frame have been met? 10 Q Understood. And aside from vision, were 10 A The reason I'm thinking about this is, 11 like, you know -- and your question, like, which goals, there any documents ever created that laid out things 11 12 12 like metrics for a Kin ecosystem? what goals? Like, the goal of Kin is to write a new 13 13 A In what way? business model for developers to provide a new way to 14 14 Q Sure. So, for example, a desired number of create value for consumers, and for them to share in 15 15 suppliers of goods and services, a desired number of that value, and to be the most used cryptocurrency on 16 buyers or transactions that would happen. 16 17 A I'm not sure. Maybe there were. I'm just 17 So in terms of achieving those goals, it's 18 18 laughing because, like, the answer to those is the not a binary thing, like check, we did that. Like, I 19 desired number is all of the developers. And the 19 think it's -- like, it's, you know, something we will 20 desired number of consumers is all of the consumers. 20 always be striving for until every developer on the 21 And the desired number of transactions is all the 21 planet is using it. So have we achieved that goal? 22 22 transactions. So I'm not sure. Is every developer on the planet using Kin 23 23 as their business model and every consumer on the Q Okay. But prior to conquering the world, 24 has there ever been a document that lays out by 2019, a 2.4 planet is using it as the medium of exchange in all 25 goal would be this number of transactions, consumers, 25 those apps and it's the most used cryptocurrency in the

### Page 110

world? Like, no, we haven't achieved those goals yet.

Q Understood. How do you feel about the progress of the project so far?

A I feel amazing about it.

Q Okay. And what do you think has contributed to the success of the project in your view today?

A I think the number one thing that is contributing to the success of Kin is the amount of time we have spent, I have spent thinking about this, understanding it, and being able to explain that vision to the rest of the world so that the rest of the world can say, "Holy shit" -- sorry.

So the rest of the world can say, you know, "This could work, and I want to be part of this."

That's the number one thing. I think a lot of people -- you know, again, let me rewind, right? I've been thinking about this since 2011. There was only one cryptocurrency in 2011. That was Bitcoin.

I think Ethereum came out in 2013, 2014. I have been thinking about this longer than most -- like, almost anybody in the world, not just from a hypothetical technology point of view, not from some anarchist point of view, but from a very practical point of view of how this could fundamentally change

Page 112

think it's -- we're actually used more. Why? Because a lot of the blockchains above us are just doing test transactions back and forth or just doing needless operations. Whereas, Kin is only reporting real user-driven earn and spends, consumer-driven earn and spends.

I think if -- for example, if you could separate out all of the people just speculating on cryptocurrencies, not the people actually using it, if you could look at just the number of people that spent it each month, spent it, that Kin would already today be the most used cryptocurrency in the world.

Q Got it. And that was what I was going to ask. The data wouldn't distinguish between people speculating on a cryptocurrency versus buying and using the cryptocurrency?

A On most blockchains, no.

Q Okay. And are you otherwise aware of a data source that would break out trading in Kin between speculators versus users of the cryptocurrency?

A Am I aware of -- so on the Ethereum blockchain, no. On the Kin blockchain, because it's a test blockchain right now, all of them would be utility usage, I believe.

Q There is a test Kin blockchain right now?

#### Page 111

the game for developers and consumers. And so I
 think -- like even today, I talk to people in the
 industry.

I listen to podcasts with other projects.

And I say, "Buy how are you going to get people to use it?" And to this day, almost nobody has an answer.

And so I think the biggest thing is we explain how we're going to get people to use it. People go, "Wow, I've never actually heard somebody explain how you could get a consumer using a cryptocurrency before."

And I think that's been the most valuable thing.

# Q Okay. In terms -- do you know how many transactions are taking place with Kin today?

 $A \quad \text{Not off the top of my head.} \\$ 

# Q Do you have access to that data?A It's a bit of a complicated question

because today Kin is being used across two blockchains. One is the Ethereum blockchain, and one is a fork of the Stellar blockchain. But, for example, there's a website, blocktivity.info, that looks at transactions. It's looking at transactions not on the Ethereum

blockchain, but just on the fork of Stellar blockchain.

And I think in there, Kik is the number

10th or 9th most used cryptocurrency. Actually, I

Page 113

1 A Yeah, which is the fork of the Stellar 2 blockchain.

# Q Got it. And who is -- it's a test; it's not in use right now?

A It's in use. But it's sort of like, you know – so Kin was launched on the Ethereum blockchain as an ERC-20 token. People could start using it there. People did use it there. But the problem with Ethereum is it has very low scalability and very high fees.

So back to our fitness advice. Example, I want to tip you 50 Kin. On Ethereum, I would have to pay a transaction fee of something like 50,000 Kin, maybe more, ballpark. So I'm going to give you 50 Kin, and then pay this 50,000 Kin transaction fee. Oh, and by the way, if more than just a handful, like a couple of thousand people try to do that, the whole thing would grind to a halt.

So what we said is, okay, well, that's -you know, that's -- we started there, it worked. But
it's not going to get us where we need to go. So what
are all of the options? So we looked at the other
blockchains. We said Stellar is the best for sort of
high scalability. But we said -- but there's still
problems with fees on Stellar. It's very complicated
for a consumer.

Page 114

So we took that code. We called what's -forked it. Meaning, we copied and pasted it and
basically tweaked it now and changed it. We needed it,
so that's now fee-less. And now, for example, all of
the apps in the developer program, their Kin in those
apps is running on this new blockchain.

O And just so we're clear, who's the "we" in

Q And just so we're clear, who's the "we" in that? You said "we."

A There's a team in Tel Aviv who are employees of Kik Interactive, Inc. --

O Got it.

A -- who set up that new blockchain.

Q When we were talking about personnel earlier as of 2017, in my imagination, Kik itself, the company, has somewhat had to change personnel-wise, I imagine. Let me put that in a better way.

Have you guys hired blockchain technologists?

19 A We've hired lots of different people, yeah.

O Sure

21 A Yeah. Some of which are blockchain experts

22 for sure.

**Q Yeah.** 

24 A But I -- the only reason I'm hesitating,

25 we've hired lots of people. Some of those are

Page 116

thousands of developers, Ethereum could not handle that and there was no foreseeable path when it would be able to handle that.

MR. MITCHELL: And that was the path that sort of you had laid out in the white paper to get lots and lots of people to use it?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. We -- I think -- I am not exactly sure what we said in the white paper. I remember the advice I was giving to the person who was writing it, who I can't even remember who that is right now, is like what are all of the options, is the exercise we're going to go through. Like, we can start here. It will work here. But as of right now, we're probably going to have to iterate on it, as any technological project would have to do.

MR. MITCHELL: And the "work here," you mean work on the Ethereum blockchain?

18 THE WITNESS: Sorry. When did I say "work 19 here"?

MR. MITCHELL: You just said "work here."

What did you mean by "work here".

THE WITNESS: Kin as a cryptocur

THE WITNESS: Kin as a cryptocurrency could work as a currency. It could run as a currency that people were using to -- and it's way similar to Kik

Points. It would work on Ethereum.

Page 115

engineers. Some of those engineers have experience with blockchains, some do not. Like, there's lots -- when people say "blockchain," it's, like, a very big word. It means a lot of different things. And a lot of people are claiming they're experts.

Like, really, we look for smart people who have a passion about blockchain because, you know, we're doing things that are different than most of the projects.

Q Got it. And I don't want to use a loaded term. I take it, though, Kik has hired some people to work on blockchain-related tech projects?

A Totally.

Q Okay.

MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, I think you said that people got an ERC-20 token at the beginning, and that it worked on Ethereum, but that wouldn't get you where you wanted to go?

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

20 MR. MITCHELL: Did I -- do I have that

21 right?

THE WITNESS: It validated that we could build a currency that people could use and that currency would run on the blockchain. But if we wanted that currency to be used by millions of people and

Page 117

1 MR. MITCHELL: But you would probably have 2 to make changes?

THE WITNESS: That -- we wouldn't have to make changes. But if we wanted it to -- for example, like, Ethereum hasn't made changes. There's apps on the Ethereum platform. You hear about CryptoKitties and Augur. Those apps have hundreds of active users.

Like, we could all get CryptoKitties today and we would have a significant impact on the number of people using CryptoKitties today.

MR. MITCHELL: Just a dozen people?

THE WITNESS: Just a dozen people. And so it could work if we just left it on Ethereum. But it would not be able to achieve the vision we had, the very big vision we had for what Kin could become if it were to stay on Ethereum.

BY MR. LEASURE:

Q So correct me if I'm wrong. We've been doing a high-level discussion of the vision and how the ecosystem does or will work. Is it right that a goal that Kik shares with its partners in this ecosystem is to increase the velocity of transactions using Kin?

A I'm not sure if the goal is velocity of transactions. The goal of Kin -- like, the value of any cryptocurrency is a function -- the value of any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other projects.

#### Page 118

asset is a function of supply and demand. We lock the supply in cryptocurrencies. That's the fundamental

3 innovation. So price is a function of demand.

1

2

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the Kin that we all hold at the end of 5 the day is a function of demand. So our goal 6 economically is to maximize demand. How do we maximize 7 demand? There are two ways to create demand. There is 8 people buying it to use it, and people buying to 9 speculate on it. Almost every other cryptocurrency

10 project out there is purely focusing on speculation 11 side. If you look at Ethereum, nobody is using it. 12

So how could there be real demand? With Kin, we said we want to be the one project that is purely focused on driving real demand. Real demand can only come from two places. It can come from people buying it to use it. Hey, instead of me doing all this work to earn some Kin, can I just buy it somewhere and take a shortcut? Or people who earn it from somebody who bought it to hire them.

watch my ad. There's millions of people in this Kin thing, but the only way you can hire them is you pay them in Kin. How do I get Kin? Oh, you can go buy it here. So it's only when people are buying Kin for dollars in order to use it or to hire somebody who

So as a brand, I'm going to want people to

Page 120

flashy websites, flashy white papers, lots of Ph.D.s, things that are very complicated that you can never understand, but just trust us. Most of the projects, like, what I listen for, you know -- because I can listen to podcasts with a lot of the founders of these

Yes, I listen for it. But how are you going to get people to use it? And it seems that almost all of these projects, nobody talks about that.

# Q Because you assume they don't really have an idea or a plan for the people who use it?

A They're very smart, nice people, so maybe I --

### Q You're not giving their name.

A I'm not sure if they know -- understand that that's important, but -- like, it might be a case of sort of, you know, "Yeah, you're right. But right now, we're focused on the technology. And once we have the technology, then we'll think about that, but it's not yet ready."

And this is what, you know, the lead developer in Bitcoin told me in 2012. This is what people had told me all the way through. Well, how can people use it when the transaction times are so long?

How can people use it when the fees are so

### Page 119

wants to use it that it's creating real sustainable 1 2

demand, and therefore, real sustainable increase in the value of Kin.

# Q So if it was just speculators buying it to hold it, that wouldn't create increased transactions and increased demand?

A Correct. Maybe -- if only people were buying it to speculate it, which is the truth for 99 percent of these projects, one day, those projects, like in the dot-com, from my point of view, will get wiped out.

## Q At some point, there has to be actual transactions and demand?

A Even the people in crypto, the large majority of the people in crypto do not understand

# Q And so you said 99 percent of the other projects going on were being bought by speculators; is that correct?

A I said 99 percent of the other projects are focused on speculators.

### Q Focused on. I see.

From the people selling the tokens, they're focused on identifying speculators?

A They're focused on hyping their projects;

Page 121

1 high? How can people use it when these are experiences 2 so poor? Once we solve those things, then people will 3 use it. But there is another thing you need to solve, 4 is, like, the logic of Bitcoin is flawed. People are 5 not going to spend Bitcoin on things that they could 6 more easily get with dollars.

# Q I understand that. But you were seeing in 2017 a lot of projects going on around you, crypto projects being pitched to speculators?

A I saw a lot of projects showing their vision for the future of what could be possible, creating new cryptocurrencies, and selling some of those cryptocurrencies. And for the most part, I thought, like, most of them really didn't understand what was going on.

# Q And you thought those projects, unless there's actual use and demand in future, would go to

A I thought that if there was no real demand at some point, then, like, people, as they are today -like, we're in a, quote, unquote, crypto bear market today. Like, to me, that makes total sense. In fact, I think it should be way lower as a cryptocurrency market today. Ethereum, people are saying that currency is worth \$20 billion, or whatever it is, and

Page 122 Page 124 1 nobody uses it. 1 anything is the world needs this. 2 Q Right. 2 Q Okay. 3 3 A So what I'm -- like, already today, we're MR. MITCHELL: When you say the world needs 4 4 seeing people realize, wait a second, nobody is using it, you mean the world needs something that can compete 5 these things. 5 against these monopolists, duopolists, large companies? 6 Q Right. And absent -- again, just to finish 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 7 BY MR. LEASURE: the thought, absent some day where people are using 8 8 these things, these things don't have intrinsic value? Q So there -- you would agree that there were 9 A If people aren't buying them to use them or 9 people with all sorts of motivations to buy 10 10 buying them to hire somebody who wants to use them, cryptocurrencies in 2017, right? 11 then there would be no real demand, and therefore, no 11 12 real value. 12 Q And you can't possibly know what every 13 Q Got it. But the speculators out there in 13 single person wanted to do, right? 14 the crypto market, would you agree that they're betting 14 A Yes. 15 that one day there will be demand for one or more of 15 Q And you would agree that there were some 16 16 these projects? people who for better or for worse were speculating on 17 A Maybe we should define the term 17 the hope that they could make money off of a "speculators." 18 18 cryptocurrency, some? 19 19 Q Sure. A I think there were some people who would 20 A There's a lot of people buying 20 buy one cryptocurrency. They would see the value of it 21 21 cryptocurrencies. And I think for many of them, they go up. They would say, "Wow, that was great. I'm 22 don't know -- like, it's unclear what -- I think 22 going to buy another cryptocurrency." For sure, those 23 it's -- their motivation for doing it is they're sort 23 people existed. 24 of, you know, looking around and saying, "Well, other 24 Q But you also think there were some people 25 25 people are buying it, and I should by it, too," and not who believed in a project like Kin and wanted to be a Page 123 Page 125 part of the ecosystem? really knowing why. 1 1 2 Like I said, for example, I got on stage, 2 A For sure. 3 that I think one day Bitcoin will go to zero. So I 3 Q And you would distinguish at least those 4 4 just think that was the industry, is a lot of people sets of people from just speculators; is that right? 5 5 were buying it, but they didn't really understand the A Again, it's sort of -- the word 6 fundamentals of crypto economics. 6 "speculator" is tough, right? Because what is the 7 Q Do you know whether or not the Kin project 7 crypto business model? Like, there's only going to be 8 8 so much of this currency. If demand for this currency and the Kin public sale attracted speculators? 9 9 goes up and supply is fixed, then the price will go up. A I can't say for sure. Like, again, it 10 depends on your definition of "speculator." There are 10 That's true for Kik. That's true for any lots of different people buying lots of different 11 developer building for Kin today and any developer 11 cryptocurrencies for lots of different reasons, you 12 building for Kin in the future. That's true for Billy, 12 know. Like, when I would go speak about Kin before we 13 who gets 10 Kin for offering fitness advice. That's 13 14 14 true for everybody. sold it, I would get on stage, and people would come up 15 to me and say, "This is what the world has been waiting 15 So I think, like, you know, it is a 16 for. This is the power of blockchain. This is what 16 currency. It's money. And then the supply is fixed. 17 we've been waiting for. I want to be part of this." 17 So as demand grows, if it were to grow, the value 18 18 And so I think for Kin, you know, for us, increases for everyone. 19 this, more than anything, was about solving a major 19 MR. MITCHELL: Did you think -- do you 20 problem that we saw in the world and a problem that we 20 think people bought Kin tokens in September of 2017 because they thought the value could grow? 21 thought was leading down a very dangerous path where a 21 22 few companies would control the whole digital world. 22 THE WITNESS: If people didn't understand 23 And so I can't speak to why different 23 that the value could grow, then they would not 24 people bought different cryptocurrencies, including our 24 understand cryptocurrencies and the fundamentals of 25 own. But I would say the feedback I got more than 25 crypto economics. The fundamental of crypto economics

#### Page 126 Page 128 1 is supply is fixed. So if demand goes up, then the 1 hear it. But assuming you don't have the specific 2 price goes up. 2 number, can you give me sort of an order of magnitude 3 3 Like, would Kik have launched Kin if it of the number of transactions on the Ethereum 4 4 thought the value of its Kin holdings wouldn't blockchain in Kin? 5 increase? No. That's what made it a viable business 5 And then I'll ask you the same question 6 model for us and everybody else. Some people bought it 6 about the Stellar side of it. 7 7 knowing only that. But I think lots of people bought THE WITNESS: I don't know on Ethereum. 8 8 Most of the apps have transitioned to doing it with the idea that this could be a solution to a 9 major world problem for everybody including themselves. 9 transactions on the new fork of Stellar blockchain. 10 10 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. MR. LEASURE: Let's take a break. 11 Can we go off the record. 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know the number 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. 12 there. So I'd just be wildly guessing. 13 13 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Can you give me, like, The time is now 12:29 p.m. an order of magnitude? Like, 10,000, 100,000? I don't 14 (A brief recess was taken.) 14 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 15 know. Just if you can just give me sort of a ballpark. 16 THE WITNESS: So what I think -- if I had 16 record. The time on the video monitor is 1:43 p.m. MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Welcome back, 17 to guess, I think there is 10,000 people on the fork of 17 18 Mr. Livingston. Just a reminder, which probably 18 Stellar blockchain made a transaction in the last 19 doesn't come as a surprise, but you're still under 19 24 hours. So that will you give you sort of a 20 oath, right? 20 ballpark. Let's say they made two or three 21 THE WITNESS: Yup. 21 transactions on average. It would be 20- to 30,000 22 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Great. And did you 22 transactions in the last day on the fork of Stellar 23 have any conversations of substance with the staff, 23 blockchain. 24 other than rating the Union Station restaurants, during 24 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. That's very 25 the break? 25 helpful. And how has that trended over time? Sort Page 127 Page 129 THE WITNESS: I did not. 1 of -- I assume it's -- well, let me ask you this: Is 1 2 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Great. I just have a 2 it more now than it was sort of on the day after the 3 few follow-up questions from our morning session. 3 TDE, the token distribution event? 4 4 I think you said earlier -- and I'm not THE WITNESS: Yes. So just the 5 5 technicalities of it, like, there was no fork of trying to misquote you. I'm just trying to sort of 6 reorient your -- where we are. I think you said 6 Stellar the day after the TDE event. 7 earlier that you didn't have any sort of granular data 7 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. 8 8 about the number of transactions in Kin on sort of a THE WITNESS: So the number of transactions 9 month-to-month basis; is that fair? Or am I totally 9 on that blockchain, which is the only number I know, or 10 wrong? 10 even roughly know, or could speculate on, guess on, is, THE WITNESS: That's not quite correct. 11 you know, that obviously started at zero. 11 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Tell me what is 12 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. And when did --12 13 13 when was the fork of Stellar? correct. 14 THE WITNESS: We have -- we know the exact 14 THE WITNESS: I think we started putting 15 number of transactions happening on Ethereum. We know 15 apps on that July this year, I would say. 16 the exact number of transactions happening on the 16 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Of 2018? 17 Stellar blockchain in a realtime fashion. In fact, 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 18 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. And so I just anybody can know it. You just look at the blockchain. 19 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. 19 want to make sure I understand your testimony. 20 THE WITNESS: What we do not know is how 20 You don't know and you don't even know sort of an order of magnitude for the ERC-20 token? 21 many of those transactions are trading versus using. 21 22 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Just looking at THE WITNESS: Correct. 22 23 sort of one month. Let's look at October 2018, the 23 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. And is that --24 month we just finished. Do you have -- I suspect you 24 do you know the number of transactions in the ERC-20 --25 don't have the specific number. If you do, I'd love to 25 and I think I know your answer, I just want to put it

Page 130 Page 132 point that I was actually driving at, so thank you. 1 on the record -- the number of transactions on the 1 2 2 ERC-20 token sort of the day after the token How did that subsidizing work? 3 3 distribution event? THE WITNESS: We -- my understanding -- and 4 THE WITNESS: Not off the top of my head. 4 I don't have a perfect understanding of this. I wasn't 5 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Is that knowable from 5 the guy that wrote the software -- is that every, in 6 Kik's perspective? 6 this case, Kik user, for example, would -- they would 7 THE WITNESS: My guess is it would be 7 get created an Ethereum wallet. And we would put some 8 8 knowable and would actually be public record. Ether in their wallet that would fund their MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Because the 9 9 transactions of Kin. blockchain is public? 10 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So the wallet 10 11 11 THE WITNESS: Exactly. would contain both Kin and Ether, the latter going to 12 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. But from Kik's 12 cover the transaction cost in the former? 13 perspective, is that something that -- is that a data 13 THE WITNESS: Exactly. That's my point that Kik track, that Kik would know? 14 14 understanding. 15 15 THE WITNESS: Certainly, there are MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Do you have an 16 16 definitely people who would track that. But I wasn't understanding of how much Ether was put into each one of them. 17 17 wallet to cover those transaction costs? 18 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. And as I 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly. But if 19 understand, the reason for the move from the ERC-20 19 I had to guess, between 5 and \$10 worth. 20 20 token to the Stellar fork token was because -- and, MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. And was that 21 21 again, if I get this wrong, correct me -- is because replenished over time? Like, if somebody engaged in a 22 the time it took to complete a transaction on the 22 lot of transactions, would they -- would Kik continue 23 ERC-20 token was higher than optimal. I think it's 25 23 to contribute Ether to sort of fill it back up again? 24 24 or 30 seconds a transaction. THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. But 25 25 THE WITNESS: It was a number of reasons my understanding is yes. We would monitor it and Page 131 Page 133 1 why. 1 refill it as necessary. 2 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Sure. I just want to 2 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. 3 mark -- actually walk through the reasons. 3 MR. MITCHELL: And that Ether came from 4 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. Kik, the company? 5 5 THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: So one was the 6 transaction time. Is that one --6 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Thank you. 7 THE WITNESS: One was transaction time. 7 That's what I had. 8 But actually, that was probably the smallest of the 8 MR. MITCHELL: Can I ask you just about the 9 9 reasons. Stellar blockchain, the fork of the Stellar blockchain. 10 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. 10 On this new -- this fork -- does the fork of a Stellar 11 THE WITNESS: Other reasons were 11 block -- does the forked Stellar blockchain have a 12 scalability. And this sort of ties into transaction 12 different name or do you just call it the forked 13 time. So if more than a thousand or a few thousand 13 Stellar blockchain? 14 14 people wanted to do transactions, the whole network THE WITNESS: We now call it internally the 15 15 would grind to a halt and your transactions would never Kin blockchain. 16 go through. 16 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So on the Kin 17 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. 17 blockchain, are there tokens other than Kin? Are there 18 THE WITNESS: And the third was because the 18 Stellar tokens or other tokens that people have that --19 cost to do a transaction was -- made it very 19 THE WITNESS: It's actually a complicated 20 20 question. complicated for us from a technical point of view. We MR. MITCHELL: Sure. 21 were subsidizing the cost of those transactions so that 21 22 22 that user wouldn't see it, so that it would work from a THE WITNESS: But like the -- generally, 23 23 consumer point of view. But that was a very expensive no. Like, there is -- there is, like, the tokens, 24 thing to do. 24 which is the currency. But then there's also the 25 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: And it's that last 25 tokens which pay for the transactions. So, for

#### Page 134 Page 136 example, when we first forked Stellar, that token was blockchain forked with the creation of Bitcoin cash? 1 1 2 THE WITNESS: Bitcoin forked both the -- you know, XLM is what's built in Stellar, the 2 3 3 Stellar token. technology and the currency. Whereas, we only forked 4 We sort of created another version of that, 4 the technology. 5 and then just gave a lot of that to everyone, just so 5 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. And so when the new 6 the technology would work. We're now collapsing those 6 blockchain was created, Kik could create as much of 7 7 two. So it's just Kin for everything on the Kin this -- whatever, new Stellar, or new -- the coin used 8 8 blockchain. for expenses as much as it wanted? 9 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. But initially, there 9 THE WITNESS: It could create as much 10 were two types of tokens; one of which was sort of a 10 Stellar as it wanted because that's -- it's sort of 11 forked version of XLM? 11 like Ethereum. If you were to fork Ethereum, you could 12 THE WITNESS: This is where it's going to 12 create as much as Ether as you want for yourself, and 13 13 get a little complicated. that's what's used to pay for running this smart MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. Sure. 14 14 contract. That's just how the system is set up. 15 THE WITNESS: It's two blockchains. 15 It's sort of similar with Stellar. So when 16 MR. MITCHELL: Yup. 16 we first forked it, we create as much of this new THE WITNESS: On the Ethereum blockchain, 17 17 Stellar, our Stellar, as we want to then pay for the 18 there's two tokens. From our purposes, there's Ether, 18 transactions because that's just how the system is set 19 used to pay for the transactions. And then there's 19 20 Kin, the currency, used in these apps. On the Stellar 20 MR. MITCHELL: Got it. But then at the same time, did the engineers create 10 trillion sort of 21 blockchain initially, it was similar. There is 21 22 Stellar, or a clone of Stellar, you know. We just 22 new coin -- two new tokens that are the Kin tokens on 23 create a bunch of Stellar, effectively our own Stellar. 23 that blockchain? 24 Stellar II, let's call it. And then Kin as well. 24 THE WITNESS: They -- so as we were moving 25 So initially, use Stellar II, which we can 25 apps to this new blockchain, we created test Kin to get Page 135 Page 137 make as much as we want to pay for the transactions, to 1 them up and running, to make sure it all worked. We 1 2 make the whole thing work. We're now moving to a model 2 are now working through the options for how to migrate from the Ethereum Kin to the new Kin blockchain Kin in 3 where it's just all Kin, but we can do it in a way 3 4 4 all 10 trillion. that's still fee-less for the consumer. 5 5 MR. MITCHELL: Because there are two MR. MITCHELL: Sounds great. When the 6 Bitcoin blockchain forked, people -- if you had a bit 6 different things? 7 7 chain -- Bitcoin before that, afterwards, you had a THE WITNESS: Yeah. They're two different 8 8 Bitcoin and Bitcoin cash, I think? infrastructures. 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 9 MR. MITCHELL: But the tokens aren't -- you 10 MR. MITCHELL: Did that happen when you 10 can't -- someone with a token on the Ethereum 11 forked Stellar? 11 blockchain can't just today just move their token to 12 THE WITNESS: No. And, like, "forked" can 12 the new blockchain, can they? 13 mean different things in different contexts. 13 THE WITNESS: Not automatically, no. 14 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. 14 MR. MITCHELL: They'd have to exchange? THE WITNESS: We didn't fork the currency; 15 THE WITNESS: Correct. 15 16 MR. MITCHELL: And then -- so is there a 16 we forked the technology. 17 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So then at the point 17 limit today to the number of Kin tokens that this --18 18 in which -- sorry. And Kik did this? let me start over. 19 19 THE WITNESS: The employees of Kik Today, is there a limit to a number of the 20 Interactive did this, yes. 20 tokens that are called Kin but are on the new Stellar MR. MITCHELL: Did this to create -- sort blockchain? 21 21 22 22 THE WITNESS: There is a limit, yes. of basically create a new blockchain? 23 THE WITNESS: Correct. 23 MR. MITCHELL: What is that? 24 MR. MITCHELL: All right. But it's just 24 THE WITNESS: But that's -- I think we 25 very fundamentally different than how the Bitcoin 25 created something like 1 trillion as a starting point.

Page 138 Page 140 1 But while we get it set up, you know, it's just sort of 1 THE WITNESS: Today, we are giving them to 2 an arbitrary number. 2 developers to give to their users in the form of grants MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Ultimately, will there 3 3 that are approved and given out by the Kin Foundation. be sort of a one-for-one transfer from -- let me step 4 4 But over time, some of the options we're working out 5 back. Let me step back and actually ask you a question 5 is, you know, exchanges and different ways to transfer 6 that hopefully makes more sense. 6 them over. 7 7 As a baseline, there are 10 trillion Kin. MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. What do you mean 8 8 The Foundation has some, Kik Interactive has some, and by decided by the Kin Foundation? 9 other people have some. But it all adds up to a 9 THE WITNESS: So the Kin Foundation has 10 hundred trillion -- or to 10 trillion, and there's a 10 6 trillion Kin. Its job with that 6 trillion Kin is to 11 finite number. 11 maximize the rate of growth of the Kin ecosystem and 12 THE WITNESS: Correct. 12 the Kin economy. So as part of that, you know, that's 13 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Will there be 13 why we set aside so much Kin at the beginning as part 10 trillion of, we'll call, new Kin on the Stellar 14 14 of the vision as an incentive for developers to not 15 blockchain, so it will be like a one-for-one? 15 only jump start the economies with their consumers in THE WITNESS: Correct. 16 16 their apps, but also as their own financial reward and 17 MR. MITCHELL: That's the plan? 17 business model for building with Kin. 18 THE WITNESS: That -- we are working 18 MR. MITCHELL: So the Foundation owns the 19 through all the options to achieve that. 19 Kin -- the new Kin that are on the Kin blockchain? 20 MR. MITCHELL: But as of right now, do you 20 THE WITNESS: I guess like -- the question know how you're going -- what steps you're going to 21 21 is, like, what's the definition of "owns" because the 22 take to make that happen? 22 Kin blockchain is new and is being set up. Kik 23 THE WITNESS: We have different options 23 Interactive employees created those Kin tokens. But 24 we're looking at, but nothing is set in stone yet. 24 one day, we need, you know, there to only be 25 MR. MITCHELL: And who is looking at that? 25 10 trillion Kin. And so we're giving out that Kin as Page 139 Page 141 1 being approved by the Foundation. THE WITNESS: Different employees. 1 2 MR. MITCHELL: Of Kik? 2 MR. MITCHELL: Each transaction is 3 THE WITNESS: Of Kik. 3 4 4 MR. MITCHELL: Anybody else? THE WITNESS: Each grant to developers, 5 5 THE WITNESS: I think, like, the whole both for themselves and for their users, and then they 6 industry is trying to figure this out at large, which 6 give it out to their users. 7 7 MR. MITCHELL: So you said the Foundation is because any currency on Ethereum, including Ether 8 8 is approving -- Kik is giving new Kin tokens to itself, is not scalable. 9 9 developers; is that right? MR. MITCHELL: So do you think that other 10 people are thinking about this technological problem? 10 THE WITNESS: It's a logistical thing. The 11 11 Kin Foundation wants developers to adopt Kin. It has THE WITNESS: Yes, many other people. 12 MR. MITCHELL: But are those people 12 6 trillion Kin to do that. And so what Kik is doing is finding partners, saying, "Hey, this partner wants to 13 actually working with Kik employees on how to create 13 14 14 this new blockchain? develop with Kin, Kin Foundation. To do it, we think 15 15 THE WITNESS: So definitely Kik employees we should offer them this. Do you want to do that or 16 are sharing notes with other teams. For example, Kik 16 17 employees have met with employees of Stellar to talk 17 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. And then -- it sounds 18 18 great. And then you said something about also then about the different ways to do this for sure, yeah. 19 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Anybody else? 19 those developers are giving out tokens to their users? 20 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. Like, I'm 20 THE WITNESS: Yup. MR. MITCHELL: Is the Kin Foundation 21 not -- it's a little -- it's in the day-to-day. I'm 21 22 22 not sure. approving that transaction as well? 23 23 THE WITNESS: The Kin Foundation is MR. MITCHELL: And the tokens that are --24 the Kin tokens on this Kin blockchain, how do people 24 approving the initial grant. 25 get those? 25 MR. MITCHELL: To the developer?

	Page 142		Page 144
1	THE WITNESS: To the developer.	1	of Kin. Then when we connect the two blockchains
2	MR. MITCHELL: And who is doing that?	2	together, there will only be 10 trillion Kin. So
3	THE WITNESS: Who is doing which?	3	while like, they're not connected yet.
4	MR. MITCHELL: Approving.	4	When they are, there will only 10 trillion
5	THE WITNESS: Myself and William.	5	Kin. That's why we're approving it today because we
6	MR. MITCHELL: William Mougayar?	6	know that as this new blockchain comes online, there
7	THE WITNESS: Yup.	7	will only ever be 10 trillion Kin.
8	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. And you're having,	8	MR. MITCHELL: And so the Foundation will
9	like, meetings?	9	eventually have to give up some of its Kin on the
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah. We have formal board	10	Ethereum blockchain in order in an equal amount to
11	meetings to approve those things.	11	whatever has just been given out?
12	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. And has either of you	12	THE WITNESS: Correct.
13	ever voted against a grant?	13	MR. MITCHELL: And how does it give
14	THE WITNESS: We've sent the team from Kik	14	that up?
15	back to the drawing board. We say, we don't think this	15	THE WITNESS: That sort of depends on the
16	makes sense. What about this? What about that? Go	16	migration process. Like, a similar thing is how will
17	reevaluate it. And they'll go reevaluate it and come	17	anybody who owns Kin on Ethereum, like, prove that they
18	back.	18	gave that up, and then it gets unlocked on the new
19	MR. MITCHELL: And are there minutes to	19	blockchain. So it will be sort of however we decide
20	those meetings?	20	that will work will be a similar way for the Kin
21	THE WITNESS: I believe so. I'm not sure	21	Foundation.
22	of the detail of those minutes. But I believe there	22	MR. MITCHELL: Leaving aside the actual
23	are minutes, yeah.	23	transaction, leaving aside the technical way, someone
24	MR. MITCHELL: And you actually vote?	24	will have to sort of burn their token on the Ethereum
25	THE WITNESS: We approve, so yeah. I and	25	blockchain in order to get a token on the new
	Page 143		Page 145
1	I, yeah. William and I both have to if that's a	1	11 1 1 1 0
2			blockchain?
	vote, then yes.	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.
3	MR. MITCHELL: Great.	2 3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it
4	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.
4 5	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE: Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time.	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.
4 5 6	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE: Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision
4 5 6 7	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE: Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?
4 5 6 7 8	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE: Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
4 5 6 7 8	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE: Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE: Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE: Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE: Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that? When that when you said the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.  We want to make sure we do it in the right way.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that? When that when you said the Foundation gives its approval. The developer gets new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.  We want to make sure we do it in the right way.  MR. MITCHELL: I guess what's the downside
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that? When that when you said the Foundation gives its approval. The developer gets new Kin tokens on the new blockchain?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.  We want to make sure we do it in the right way.  MR. MITCHELL: I guess what's the downside of doing it the wrong way, as long as people give up
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that? When that when you said the Foundation gives its approval. The developer gets new Kin tokens on the new blockchain? THE WITNESS: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.  We want to make sure we do it in the right way.  MR. MITCHELL: I guess what's the downside of doing it the wrong way, as long as people give up one thing and get the other?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that? When that when you said the Foundation gives its approval. The developer gets new Kin tokens on the new blockchain? THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. MITCHELL: Does the Foundation give up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.  We want to make sure we do it in the right way.  MR. MITCHELL: I guess what's the downside of doing it the wrong way, as long as people give up one thing and get the other?  THE WITNESS: Lots of things could go
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that? When that when you said the Foundation gives its approval. The developer gets new Kin tokens on the new blockchain? THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. MITCHELL: Does the Foundation give up anything?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.  We want to make sure we do it in the right way.  MR. MITCHELL: I guess what's the downside of doing it the wrong way, as long as people give up one thing and get the other?  THE WITNESS: Lots of things could go wrong, depending on how you set it up.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that? When that when you said the Foundation gives its approval. The developer gets new Kin tokens on the new blockchain? THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. MITCHELL: Does the Foundation give up anything? THE WITNESS: It will. It hasn't yet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.  We want to make sure we do it in the right way.  MR. MITCHELL: I guess what's the downside of doing it the wrong way, as long as people give up one thing and get the other?  THE WITNESS: Lots of things could go wrong, depending on how you set it up.  MR. MITCHELL: Things that are important to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that? When that when you said the Foundation gives its approval. The developer gets new Kin tokens on the new blockchain? THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. MITCHELL: Does the Foundation give up anything? THE WITNESS: It will. It hasn't yet. MR. MITCHELL: What do you mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.  We want to make sure we do it in the right way.  MR. MITCHELL: I guess what's the downside of doing it the wrong way, as long as people give up one thing and get the other?  THE WITNESS: Lots of things could go wrong, depending on how you set it up.  MR. MITCHELL: Things that are important to the project, though, I mean, that could affect the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that? When that when you said the Foundation gives its approval. The developer gets new Kin tokens on the new blockchain? THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. MITCHELL: Does the Foundation give up anything? THE WITNESS: It will. It hasn't yet. MR. MITCHELL: What do you mean? THE WITNESS: Well, right now, the two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.  We want to make sure we do it in the right way.  MR. MITCHELL: I guess what's the downside of doing it the wrong way, as long as people give up one thing and get the other?  THE WITNESS: Lots of things could go wrong, depending on how you set it up.  MR. MITCHELL: Things that are important to the project, though, I mean, that could affect the whole project?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MITCHELL: Great. BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Okay. I'm going to go back in time. A Okay. Q And proceed chronologically through the thinking about the Kik Kin project and how it developed over time. A Okay. Q I think you said that you were thinking on crypto MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Can I ask one more question on that? When that when you said the Foundation gives its approval. The developer gets new Kin tokens on the new blockchain? THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. MITCHELL: Does the Foundation give up anything? THE WITNESS: It will. It hasn't yet. MR. MITCHELL: What do you mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's one option.  Sometimes options are just locking it and unlocking it and allowing you to actually go back if you want.  There is much of different ways to do it.  MR. MITCHELL: No one has made a decision yet about how that's going to happen?  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Why not just pick one and move on?  THE WITNESS: It's a complicated thing from a technology, security, and compliance point of view in terms of money transmission. There's a lot of different ways to do it. Lots of projects have done.  We want to make sure we do it in the right way.  MR. MITCHELL: I guess what's the downside of doing it the wrong way, as long as people give up one thing and get the other?  THE WITNESS: Lots of things could go wrong, depending on how you set it up.  MR. MITCHELL: Things that are important to the project, though, I mean, that could affect the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

2.3

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 146

know, you don't want to make mistakes. You want to do it the right way. And we look at all the options, and we take our time and make sure it's the best option. And then we move forward.

> MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Thanks. BY MR. LEASURE:

Q You talked to -- at some point in 2016, you talked to Fred Wilson about the possibility of Kik moving into the crypto space; is that right?

A Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. So after that, rolling the clock forward, how was the idea of a potential coin project surfaced within Kik, either to the board or to the employees? Can you walk me through that?

A Yeah. Very carefully.

Q Why is that, let me ask you.

A Why is that? That's a good question. I think to understand the answer to that question, you have to look at the context of what was going on at Kik at the time, right? So Kik comes out of nowhere in 2010, lights the world on fire. Gets unfairly handicapped by something outside of its control and

ultimately something I believe was totally wrong. Fights its way back into the race and achieves a billion dollar valuation. But from there, Page 148

of bringing this idea forward of, like, "Hey, guys, crazy idea. What if we created a new currency and a new economy, and that was a new business model for us?"

There was a very high risk that it would eliminate what little credibility I had left. So when introducing this idea to the team, I had to be very careful about how I did that. Because on the inside, personally, I was very excited because what became increasingly clear to me was that this was going to be our only option.

And that excited me because I knew we were going to go for it. But I have been doing this a long time by now. And I didn't want to let my excitement jeopardize our ability to achieve that vision. And so despite my own personal amazing excitement about this opportunity, I had to be very careful about how I rolled it out internally.

Q How did you manage that? What did you do?

19 A I had to rely on the credibility of others.

Q Who?

21 A The first person was Fred Wilson.

Q What did he think?

A I think his words were something like -- so we had this breakfast in New York. I think that's -from my recollection, that's the first time I ever told

Page 147

starts to struggle and starts to lose users, isn't making money. And it created an environment within the company, between employees of the company, but also between the company and the board, and the company and investors of -- what's the word -- high anxiety.

Q Okay.

A Okay? Like, the more -- the more you look at the situation you're in, things aren't getting better, they're getting worse. So you try harder, and they just keep getting worse. Try harder, and they just keep getting worse. It creates a very toxic environment internally at any organization.

And so at this point, I would say I had low credibility among the leadership team and among the investors. Why? Because I had put out different things I think we should try. They don't work. Put out different things we should try. They don't work.

My original leadership team, we largely and almost completely got rid of, brought in a new leadership team. The experts who knew what they were doing, had been there before, they tried their best. In some cases, they made things a little bit better. But in many cases, things got worse.

And it was a very tough time in the company and a tough time for me personally. And so this idea Page 149

him about this. From my recollection, that's the first time I ever told any of the investors about this. And we talked about it, we talked about it.

I explained Kik Points. I explained how this could work. I explained Bitcoin. I explained all these different things. And he said, "Ted, that's sort of crazy, but it just might work." That became a very important tool for me when I went back to my leadership team and started explaining this idea to them that, like, "Listen, I know this is crazy. I know we're struggling here. I know your confidence in me as a leader right now, because we are failing, is low. But it's not just me who thinks this is a good idea. Fred says it's a good idea, too. And he is one of the smartest guys in the industry. He's very into crypto. So don't hear it from me; hear it from him."

# Q Okay. What happens next?

A I think the initial reaction from the leader team, despite having that, was one of skepticism, is what I recall. It took a while to convince people that this wasn't yet another crazy idea, but actually could work.

Again, today, it's still a crazy idea, but at least people understand cryptocurrencies today. This was back in mid-2016. Like, you know, nobody had

### Page 150 Page 152 1 even really heard of cryptocurrencies yet, Bitcoin, et 1 O And what's the attachment? 2 cetera. So it took a lot of work to slowly but surely 2 A I think it's a copy of the upcoming board 3 convince person by person on the leadership team, and 3 deck. 4 then investor by investor, shareholders of the company, 4 Q Okay. 5 that this was a good idea. 5 A An upcoming deck for a board meeting, it 6 And all along the way, my strategy in 6 looks like. 7 hindsight was to rely on the credibility of others to 7 Q Got it. And in terms of process, to 8 show that this was a good idea. 8 communicate to the board for upcoming board meetings, 9 9 Q And this sort of person by person, it was typical to have a board deck or slides sent to 10 constituent by constituent outreach, was that going on 10 them in advance? 11 while Credit Suisse was doing its thing on behalf of 11 A Yes. 12 Kik around that time? 12 Q And typically, how were those slides 13 A I believe so, yes. 13 written? 14 Q Okay. So while this is going on, this sort 14 A What do you mean? 15 of germinating, if I can call it that, of a crypto 15 Q Who wrote this -- who would typically write 16 idea, there's also a process looking for financing for 16 board's decks? 17 Kik and Credit Suisse? 17 A It's a team effort. Depending on the 18 A Yeah. And I would say as it became 18 topic, different people write it. 19 increasingly clear to me and the leadership team and 19 Q You'd want to look at them before it was 20 the investors that the Credit Suisse process was not 20 sent? 21 going to end in a sale, gave me increasing conviction 21 A Yeah. I would typically review it before 22 and motivation to convince everybody that crypto was 22 it would go to the board. 23 going to be a compelling option. 23 O Sometimes, not in this document, we see a 24 Q Because the alternative, correct me if I'm 24 deck that has, like, notes or --25 wrong, to a sale or other financing by Credit Suisse or 25 A Yeah. Page 151 Page 153 1 1 crypto is cuts and the reduction of Kik's footprint; is Q Underneath the slide, there will be, like, 2 2 that fair? talking points or notes. Is that -- was that sometimes 3 A Yeah, I think that's fair. 3 done with board decks where you would provide notes? 4 4 Q Okay. Let me show you a document that I A I think people sometimes, when they're 5 5 think might relate to this time period. It's a long writing their slides, would add notes, like, how they 6 one. This is a document previously marked as 6 would explain the slide. But many times, I wouldn't 7 7 read those. I'd sort of have an idea of the -- you Exhibit 12B. Take a look and let me know when you're 8 8 know, what I wanted to say to each slide. So sometimes ready. You'll get used to this routine. 9 9 I would; sometimes I wouldn't. Exhibit 12B is a multi-page document. It's 10 an e-mail with an attachment beginning with the Bates 10 Q Got it. Okay. And is it -- before you --11 stamp Kik Foundation Cap 005705. It's an e-mail from 11 was it typical for you to send the board decks? 12 12 Ted Livingston to Kik board dated January 27th, 2017. A Yes. 13 You're welcome to read through the whole thing. 13 Q Okay. And you -- would you approve them 14 A Okay. 14 before they were sent? 15 15 Q But it's a long one. And I'm going to A I would -- as far as I can recall, it's, 16 point you to specific things in this document. 16 like, at the end, it would get sent to me. I would 17 A Okay. 17 take a last look, and then it would get sent to the 18 18 Q My first question is going to be: What is 19 19 this document? Q Got it. But if you wanted changes, you can 20 A It appears to be an e-mail from me to the 20 say, "Guys, this doesn't work for me"? 21 21 board. Or do you mean the document attached at the A Correct. 22 2.2 back? Q Okay. And the goal was to facilitate the 23 23 Q Sure. Both. So it's an e-mail from you to board meeting, to have a conversation, right? 24 the board. 24 A Correct 25 25 A Yeah. Q So I take it the goal was to put in things

	Page 154		Page 156
1	that you thought were important and accurate for the	1	A Correct.
2	board to consider?	2	Q And no bids to acquire Kik came out of
3	A Correct.	3	that?
4	Q You wouldn't put in you wouldn't put in	4	A Correct.
5	something that was, you know, false or not what you	5	Q "The continued decline in our metrics."
6	really thought?	6	What were the major ones in terms of
7	A Well, I think it's definitely possible that	7	metrics?
8	there could be things in here that I didn't really	8	A Monthly active users would be the main one.
9	think and maybe didn't catch them. Again, I'm not	9	Q I see references to MAU and DAU
10	creating these slides.	10	A Uh-huh.
11	Q Oh, sure.	11	Q which is daily average users?
12	A But, like, for the most part, like, this is	12	A Daily active users, yeah.
13	a deck for the board to facilitate a discussion of	13	Q Active users. Sorry.
14	which I am leading.	14	A Uh-huh.
15	Q Yeah. Right. Okay. So you might miss	15	Q And so those are the major ones that you
16	something, but that doesn't mean	16	tracked in terms of the growth of the platform?
17	A Correct.	17	A Correct.
18	Q Okay. And certainly, you intended to	18	Q Okay. I think we already talked about this
19	facilitate a transparent discussion with the board?	19	today, but you would agree with, I guess, what you
20	A Correct.	20	wrote here in January of 2017, that Kik was in a
21	Q Got it. Okay. The e-mail address, is	21	precarious position at that point?
22	that this is sent to board@kik.com. That's all the	22	A Yes.
23	board members?	23	Q Okay. You said the next sentence says,
24	A Correct.	24	"Therefore, I would like to spend the majority of the
25	Q Including you?	25	meeting discussing the options to extend our runway."
	Page 155		Page 157
1	A I believe so, yes. I think I'm on that.	1	At a high level, other than crypto, what
2	(Simultaneous speakers.)	2	were the options that were available to Kik?
3	Q Who is Derek Lebert, L-e-b-e-r-t?	3	A You know, you need more money. Runway is a
4	A I believe his title was controller for Kik.	4	function of how much you how much money you have,
5	He's a Kik employee. Yeah.	5	how much money you're making or raising, and how much
6	Q Okay. Looking at just the e-mail, I	6	money you're spending. So the options are make money,
7	thought this might in context be around the time where	7	raise money, or spend less.
8	the Credit Suisse process was in gear	8	Q Got it. And all of those were on the
9	A Yep.	9	table?
10	Q and maybe the consideration of crypto	10	A Correct.
11	was being discussed. Does that roughly fall within	11	Q Okay. You also wrote, "I will also have
12	that time frame?	12	Credit Suisse come in to discuss their view of the
13	A The Credit Suisse process, yes, I think was	13	process." Did that happen?
14	in that time frame. I think crypto was just I was	14	A I can't say for sure. But my I would
15	just starting to talk to people about it on the team	15	think, yes, if I said that. I can't recall exactly,
	around this time as well.	16	but I would assume so.
16			Q More importantly, what was Credit Suisse's
16 17	Q Okay. So in your excuse me in your	17	Q More importantly, what was credit subsets
	e-mail, you wrote, "With no bids coming out of the	18	view? Do you remember if they provided you with one?
17 18 19	e-mail, you wrote, "With no bids coming out of the process and a continued decline of our metrics, we are	18 19	
17 18 19 20	e-mail, you wrote, "With no bids coming out of the process and a continued decline of our metrics, we are in a precarious position, to say the least."	18 19 20	view? Do you remember if they provided you with one?  A I think their view was nobody wanted to buy us.
17 18 19	e-mail, you wrote, "With no bids coming out of the process and a continued decline of our metrics, we are	18 19	view? Do you remember if they provided you with one?  A I think their view was nobody wanted to buy us.  Q And did they give you options?
17 18 19 20 21 22	e-mail, you wrote, ''With no bids coming out of the process and a continued decline of our metrics, we are in a precarious position, to say the least.''  I think that's what we've already talked about this morning.	18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>view? Do you remember if they provided you with one?</li> <li>A I think their view was nobody wanted to buy us.</li> <li>Q And did they give you options?</li> <li>A Their job was to they were hired to look</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	e-mail, you wrote, "With no bids coming out of the process and a continued decline of our metrics, we are in a precarious position, to say the least."  I think that's what we've already talked about this morning.  A Uh-huh.	18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>view? Do you remember if they provided you with one?</li> <li>A I think their view was nobody wanted to buy us.</li> <li>Q And did they give you options?</li> <li>A Their job was to they were hired to look at all the options for selling the company. So that's</li> </ul>
17 18 19 20 21 22	e-mail, you wrote, ''With no bids coming out of the process and a continued decline of our metrics, we are in a precarious position, to say the least.''  I think that's what we've already talked about this morning.	18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>view? Do you remember if they provided you with one?</li> <li>A I think their view was nobody wanted to buy us.</li> <li>Q And did they give you options?</li> <li>A Their job was to they were hired to look</li> </ul>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Page 158

consulting?

A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Actually, I think we've already covered the things I wanted to ask you about in this document. So if you don't mind, I'll take it back from

So based on that e-mail, I'll tell you it looks like there was a board meeting in early February where maybe crypto came up.

Does any of that ring a bell for you?

A Yep.

Q Tell me about that board meeting.

A So I think at this time, I was convinced that now was the time to do crypto. But I was also very aware that my credibility as CEO was at an all-time low in the history of the company, both with investors, the board, and the leadership team.

To me, there were only three options. One was sell the company, one was bet on the crypto business model, and one was cut expenses. Cut expenses to me was not an option because it would just delay the inevitable, which is we can't make money from ads and we can't money from selling stuff.

So in my mind, there were only -- in my mind, there's only one option, which was go all in on Page 160

more than eliminating options. And I like nothing more than only having one option. So we hired Credit Suisse. And I did my absolute best to sell the company. I put together an amazing story with the team. Credit Suisse, I heard through somebody else, said they had never seen a CEO tell such a good story,

be such a good explainer, the vision, the mission, and

yet why they are where they are at the same time.

always what are all of the options. And I like nothing

And so I wanted to bring Credit Suisse to that meeting. So, again, relying on the credibility of others at this point for them to say, "Listen, don't hear it from Ted. Hear it from us as Credit Suisse. Ted did an amazing job. This has nothing to do with Ted. Selling the company is not an option."

Why was that important to me? So then me, in my own little mental board, I could go up to the board and cross that out. This is not an option. It's not because I don't want to sell the company. It's because it's not an option. So that's why I wrote the e-mail this way, is -- and that's why I brought Credit

Q Okay. And so do you think, at that board meeting, the option of selling the company, do you think the board was convinced that that option was

Page 159

crypto. But I wanted to bring the team and the investors and the board around to that conclusion in a tactful and compelling as way possible.

And so I think what I did and why I wrote that e-mail so strongly worded is because I was -- I wanted to lean into the fact that crypto was going to be our only option. And so why did I bring Credit Suisse to the meeting? There had been a suggestion in the past that, well, we haven't gotten any offers because Ted just doesn't want to sell the company.

You know, as a founder/CEO, this is my baby. And that is the truth, that I do not want to sell the company because I feel there is a massive opportunity, and I felt it for a long time with going into crypto, which we talked about. So did I want to sell the company? No.

But I never let that get in the way of doing what is best for the company. And so this idea that -- you know, the insinuation was that maybe Ted was on purpose trying to make the sale process a failure because he doesn't want to sell. There were some -- some people insinuated that. And it's like a rumor that I heard, like, may be true, that people may be saying it.

And so with Credit Suisse, my thing is

Page 161

# crossed out, as you put it?

A I'm not when they would be convinced or not, but -- I'm not sure. But I'm sure, at a minimum, Credit Suisse is saying, "Ted did an amazing job." "Okay. Fine. I'll believe Credit Suisse. It's not Ted's fault. It's not like Ted is trying to intentionally not get a buyer."

And Credit Suisse is saying we have no offers, so I would assume that that would lead them to that conclusion. But I can't say for sure.

# Q And did crypto come up at that board meeting?

A I don't recall for sure. I guess I could flip through the deck. I can't recall. I think so, but I would have to look at the deck again to be sure.

Q Let me give you -- I think this might be relevant, but let me give something shorter than the deck.

A Okay.

Q I'm handing you a document that's previously been marked as Exhibit 169.

A Okay.

Q Exhibit 169, it's on the front and back of the page. I think it begins on the back. Yeah.

A Okay.

Page 162 Page 164 1 Q It's a multi-page printout of an e-mail 1 of me. 2 chain ending February 3rd, 2017, with a Bates number 2 Q That's fine. As long as we know you're 3 KIK 21266, with the subject "Kik Follow-up." My first 3 guessing, go ahead. 4 4 A My guess is, based on this e-mail, we question is going to be, when you're done reading it, 5 what is this document? 5 discussed two options. One is to reduce the amount of 6 A So your -- I missed a part in there. Your 6 money we're spending. And the other is go all in on 7 7 question is going to be what is which document? This crypto. At this time, my recollection is I knew that 8 8 reducing spending was a futile option because it would e-mail? 9 Q Yeah. 9 just prolong the inevitable if we weren't --10 10 A Okay. What is this document -- got it. Q Right. 11 There's no reference to a document in this e-mail. 11 A -- going to go all in on crypto. And if we 12 12 were going to go all in on crypto, we were already a Q Correct. Yeah. 13 A So this looks like an e-mail thread 13 very, very small team. And so I think probably I was 14 starting between me and the board. It looks like a 14 looking at that as, listen, one of our values is we 15 follow-up e-mail from the board meeting. And it looks 15 look at all the options. I think -- I seem to recall 16 like a private reply from Jim to me. And then it looks 16 one board member in particular pushing that option of, 17 like me forwarding that reply to Peter. And then it 17 like, massively reducing expenditures to extend runway. 18 18 And so being true to that value, we said looks like Peter replying to me. 19 19 we'll look at that option. And it looks like the other Q Got it. So this is an e-mail chain you 20 were involved in relating to a board meeting and its 20 option we discussed was going all in on a 21 21 cryptocurrency. That was the option I was excited follow-up? 22 A That's what it looks like, yes. 22 about. It looks like Jim, as one of the board members, 23 Q Okay. And do you think this was the board 23 replied. And it looks like -- and, again, this is why 24 meeting we were just discussing with -- where Credit 24 I had to be so strategic about how I rolled out this 25 Suisse came and --25 idea inside the company, you know, not sure the board Page 163 Page 165 A I would have to look at, like, the exact 1 gets why Kik coin would be big. 1 2 dates. But, like, seems reasonable. 2 The reality is not many people in the world 3 Q Okay. Yup. Ted, I want to be fair when I 3 understood or even today understand why 4 4 cryptocurrencies are going to be big. And so this was ask the question. Here is the prior exhibit, 5 5 Exhibit 12B. my concern is the board would say, "Listen, doesn't 6 A Yes. Thank you. 6 this guy get it? Doesn't he get that we're not making 7 7 any money, we're heading towards a wall, we're spending Q Yeah, you're welcome. 8 8 A So, yeah, this is January 27th. And this lots of money, we're not going to raise more, we're not 9 is February 2nd. It seems reasonable to me that this 9 going to sell the company? Doesn't he get it?" 10 10 would be a follow-up e-mail from this board meeting. And the answer of course is of course I got Q The board met periodically, but not every 11 11 it. Of course I understood. And so I had to be very 12 12 other day, I take it? careful about step by step convincing the board to bet 13 13 A Yes. Yeah. on this other option, this option that had never been 14 14 tried before in human history. Q So does it appear from this that crypto may 15 15 have been discussed at that board meeting? Q Okay. And so it looks like Jim Estill, 16 A Yes, it does. 16 E-s-t-i-l-l, if I pronounced that right, was pretty 17 Q Okay. I'm just trying to --17 supportive of the idea, at least of exploring it, 18 18 A I think it was in the slides, but I can be right? 19 19 wrong. I didn't get that far in the deck. A Yes, he was. 20 20 Q Who was the board -- I think you said there Q It's fine. It's fine. I'm trying to --21 you can read the slides. I'm trying to remember if you 21 was a board member who wanted to focus on cost cutting? 22 22 can -- if looking at this e-mail helps you remember any A Yep. 23 23 Q Who was that? discussion or reaction from the board. 24 A I can't recall the specific reaction. But 24 A That was the partner from Foundation 25 25 I can try to guess based on having this e-mail in front Capital, Paul Holland.

Page 166 Page 168 1 Q Did he ultimately vote against moving into 1 A Uh-huh. 2 2 Q Is that -- I guess, first, would you crypto? 3 3 A I don't think it's right to say he voted consider that an advantage for Kik versus some other 4 4 against going into crypto. I think it's that he voted crypto project? 5 5 A I would consider it an advantage for Kin to for doing major cost reductions. 6 Q Fair enough. Okay. In the fist paragraph, 6 have an app like Kik in its ecosystem. 7 7 Jim Estill writes the last sentence -- tell me if you O Right. 8 8 don't see where I am -- "People call it a Hail Mary, A For sure. 9 but to me, that is a long shot. And I really do not 9 Q As opposed to no existing user base? 10 10 think it is a long shot." A For sure. 11 Do you see that? 11 Q Okay. And in terms of the demographic, 12 12 Sorry. I can point it out. he's saying, right, that the Kik messaging app user 13 13 base are -- correct me if I'm wrong -- young users who A I did just read it. Yes, there. Yep. 14 Q Did people consider the crypto project a 14 are just getting into sort of the use of money in their 15 Hail Mary on Kik's board? 15 lives? 16 16 A I think when people first heard the idea, A That's one reason he states there. We had 17 they, like almost everyone else I've ever introduced 17 young users. We also had older users as well. So 18 18 that's sort of a different conversation, I think, for this idea to, thought it was crazy. But also, they --19 19 like everyone else, as they spent more time with it, us. We had seen that millions of people had used Kik 20 they heard more about it, they understood more about 20 Points. And so -- regardless of age, that this would 21 21 be a great fit for our community. it, realized that like Jim realized here, not only was 22 22 Q We're going to turn to this more in a bit. this not a long shot, but it was a great shot. 23 23 But did the Kik user base -- which we talked about this Q Right. Okay. He lists out advantages. 24 You see a list there including you have a team in 24 morning, all ages, but it had a lot of younger people 25 2.5 place, you have users, users who are the right in it, right? Page 169 Page 167 1 1 demographic. I won't read through all of these. A I guess a lot of it is -- like, the only 2 2 term I would -- to be more specific, like, I think But do you generally agree that these were 3 the advantages Kik had in moving to a crypto project? 3 roughly a third of our user base was teenagers. You 4 4 A I think these are the advantages from Jim's know, today, I know actually our user base is a little 5 5 bit older, for example. I don't know the exact numbers point of view. Some of them I would agree with. There 6 may be some in here, I haven't read them all, that I 6 at the exact time. 7 would disagree with or would articulate differently. 7 Q Yeah. 8 8 And there's probably some that are missing. A Some of our users were younger. Some of 9 9 our users were teenagers. Many were not teenagers. So Q We touched on this earlier. But the fact 10 that -- obviously, Kik was an established company by 10 I don't have the specific what the curves look like at 11 11 this point. It's an advantage, right? 12 12 A Uh-huh. Q That's a fair point. Just I had heard that 13 13 the Kik messaging app user base may have started as a Q For moving into a crypto project? 14 14 A I would say it's an advantage, yes. young user. But it's an established messaging app over 15 15 Q The Kin project was not two guys and a the years, that those users may have grown into young 16 dream from their basement. It was an established 16 adults and still be using Kik, right? 17 serious company, right? 17 A When you have an app with millions of users, there's many different subsets within that user 18 A Correct. 18 Q Right. And it had a significant user base? 19 19 base that use it for different reasons and different 20 A Uh-huh. 20 ways. 21 21 Q I think Jim Estill identifies that. Q In your head, was there a good overlap 22 2.2 between the types of people who used Kik, the messaging He defines it as users who are the right 23 23 app, and people who might participate in the Kin demographic and just getting into money? 2.4 A Uh-huh. 24 ecosystem? Q Do you see that? 25 25 A Yes. In terms of within the Kin ecosystem

Page 170 Page 172 1 within Kik, yes, for sure. 1 cryptocurrency to be used to solve the fundamental and 2 Q Sure. 2 global problems of what was happening in the consumer 3 3 A For sure. We had gotten millions of people industry, technology industry. And that if we solve 4 4 using Kik Points. Like, we had the proof, the that for the world through all of our own independent 5 indisputable proof, the data, that showed that millions 5 efforts, that based on the fundamentals of crypto 6 of people would use a new currency inside of Kik. 6 economics, that the cryptocurrency would get more and 7 7 Q Okay. I want to go towards the end of more valuable if there was more and more demand. 8 8 Jim's e-mail to you. It starts on the second page of Q Got it. Okay. And I think this follows 9 this printout chain on the back. He writes, 9 along with what you're saying. He writes, "If you get 10 10 "Blockchain currency is the dot-com of today." even a bit along, fundraising would be easy. They are 11 Do you see that? 11 licensed to make \$\$." 12 A Uh-huh. Yep. 12 Do you see that? Yeah, there on that page. 13 13 Q Did you agree with him about that? A I think when I read this, there is an 14 14 A I do agree with him. incredible amount of financial opportunity in 15 Q You do agree with him? 15 cryptocurrencies. But how those financial 16 opportunities get manifested is not intuitive. And so 16 17 Q What makes blockchain currency the dot-com 17 my guess would be, when Jim wrote this -- you know, for 18 18 example, people would say, "Hey, we should just add of today? 19 A Because it's the exciting thing that 19 transaction fees to the whole thing. And we'll take 20 20 every -- especially at this time, it was the thing that the transaction fees, and it will be a license to make 21 21 everybody was excited about. And yet, it was the thing money." 22 that almost nobody actually understood, and 99 percent 22 But that's actually failing to understand 23 of these things were flawed and would go to zero. 23 the real power of what these cryptocurrencies are. 24 24 Q Is it also true that despite that Q Oh, got it. So someone, when you first 25 25 99 percent failure rate, the one percent who might presented the project, might have a vision of -- and Page 171 Page 173 1 succeed in this would stand to be a potentially vastly 1 then Kik can have a gatekeeper function where it 2 2 successful enterprise in the future? charges a small toll for every transaction? 3 A No. And maybe it's just the words you're 3 A Or Kin could -- Kik could print more of the 4 4 using that I would tweak or change. currency or -- all sorts of people have different ideas 5 5 of, you know, all of the things you might be able to Q Please. 6 A There was an incredible economic 6 do. Most of those things are wrong. At the end of the 7 opportunity here. The economic opportunity of dot-com 7 day, like, the business model here is to launch a new 8 8 was to build new tech companies. The economic currency. As people buy that currency, earn that 9 opportunity of crypto was to build new global 9 currency, use that currency, there begets more and more 10 currencies. So they were the same in that there was a 10 demand. huge -- is a huge economic opportunity. But in where And so the powerful thing here is, like, 11 11 12 that economic opportunity comes from is fundamentally 12 everybody through their own selfish efforts trying to 13 13 make their own piece of that currency more valuable is different. 14 14 at the same time making everybody else's pieces more Q Understood. I've been trying to use the 15 15 term "project" or something not -valuable as well. And then you latter that altogether, 16 A Currency. 16 and I think it becomes an unstoppable network effect. 17 Q Currency. Okay. But that 99 percent of 17 Q Got it. And so that -- to you, that was --18 18 them will fail. But whatever does succeed has the at least in your head, if other people didn't 19 potential to be a huge transformative? 19 understand it yet, that was the economic reality of a 20 A Absolutely. 20 cryptocurrency project to you? 21 21 Q And that you might be able to attract a lot A Correct. That's the fundamentals of the 22 22 economics behind cryptocurrencies. of not only interest, but money in the start of a 23 23 project because there's a possibility for people to be Q You put that better than I did. And that 24 extremely successful if the project succeeds? 24 was always in your head --25 A I think the opportunity was for a 25 A Yes.

### Page 174 Page 176 1 O -- when you were thinking about this 1 A At some point, yes, certainly, we discussed 2 2 it. But I can't remember at that point. 3 3 A You know, I think the question of when it Q You're not a securities lawyer, right? 4 4 A Correct. became as clear as what I just stated, I'm not sure. 5 But certainly, the idea that we could create our own 5 Q Okay. Had you dealt much with securities 6 Bitcoin, set some of that Bitcoin aside for ourselves, 6 laws issues in your career as the Kik's CEO? 7 7 A Nope. and then launch an ecosystem that grew demand for that 8 8 Bitcoin, making everybody's Bitcoin more valuable Q Okay. Roughly -- I don't know need the including our own, you know, that was sort of the 9 9 exact date. Roughly, in the process of the Kin 10 10 fundamental opportunity I spotted back in 2011. project, when did you begin, if at all, to think about 11 Q Got it. Okay. You forward Jim's e-mail to 11 securities laws issues in connection with a token 12 Peter Heinke and say, "FYI, some good thoughts from 12 project? A I would say pretty -- like, if -- I can't 13 Jim. I couldn't agree more." 13 14 I take it you were -- you couldn't agree 14 recall exactly. But if I were to guess, at this point, 15 more with his enthusiasm for the crypto project, right? 15 I was not thinking about securities law. Why? Because 16 16 I knew Kik Points wasn't a security. That was a A Maybe let me step back. 17 Q Yeah. 17 currency. We got people to use it. All we're doing 18 A Like, why did I forward this to Peter? 18 now is we're guaranteeing the supply of Kik Points. 19 We're giving the ability to buy Kik Points. 19 20 A I forwarded this to Peter, again, relying 20 And we're giving the ability for other developers to 21 on the credibility of somebody else to try to convince 21 integrate Kik Points and for it to be a business model 22 somebody on my leadership team not to be so cynical and 22 for them, too. So if Kik Points wasn't a security and 23 to -- maybe "cynical" is the wrong word, but to 23 we're just doing this, why would this be a security? 24 understand and believe that this was a good option. 24 So that's probably why I wasn't thinking 25 Q Got it. Peter might have been skeptic at 25 about it at the time. I also know at the time, though, Page 175 Page 177 the time? 1 like, discussion of it was ramping up in the industry. 1 2 2 So I'm not sure if it had ramped up enough in the A I think every single person I ever talked industry at that point for me to notice from that other 3 to about this started, to some degree, as a skeptic. 3 4 4 Q You wrote, "Just told the YPO group, and angle, but I'm not sure. 5 5 everyone's eyes lit up." Q Okay. This e-mail from Peter didn't 6 What's the YPO group? 6 trigger you to begin thinking about it, or you don't 7 7 A It's Young Presidents' Organization. know? 8 8 Q Oh, it's like a business association? A I don't recall. 9 9 A Yeah. It's other CEOs. I think there's Q Okay. How about -- again, I'm just trying 10 nine other CEOs. 10 to see when you were beginning to be aware of or Q Okay. Peter writes, "There are nuances 11 11 thinking of securities laws, whether that does or does 12 here" -- Peter responded to you with some stuff, 12 not impact this crypto project I'm thinking about. 13 including, "There are nuances here that you have to 13 How about by the time of the white paper, 14 14 consider, also from a securities law standpoint." which I'll tell you is in May? 15 I think I'm giving you the third warning of 15 A I can't recall exactly, but I would assume 16 the day of don't tell me something that begins with, 16 we had talked about it at that point. 17 "My lawyers told me X." 17 Q Okay. I'll take that back from you. Thank 18 18 A Yep. you. 19 Q Did you discuss with Peter at this early 19 A No problem. 20 20 MR. MURTHA: You said that you became aware phase securities laws issues? around the time of Exhibit 169 that discussions around 21 A Not to my knowledge. 21 22 22 securities laws topics were ramping up, I think, maybe Q Okay. 23 23 you said, or something to that effect. A Not to my recollection. I don't remember 24 discussing it at that point with him. 24 What were you referring to? 25 Q Later on? 25 THE WITNESS: So that's not quite what

Page 178 Page 180 1 I said. 1 and the board of directors that this was a good option 2 MR. GIBBS: Just a caution. Answer it as 2 with crypto. And so I think what this is is -- I think 3 3 best you can without disclosing any communications with one of the things I did is -- you know, I think one of 4 4 the reactions from the leadership team was, "Well, what 5 THE WITNESS: That's not quite what I said. 5 data is this option based on?" 6 I said I know that in the industry, discussion of what 6 I could give my logic, and I could give, 7 7 may or may not constitute a security ramped up. you know, Kik Points, and I could explain as best as I 8 8 MR. MURTHA: Okay. could, but I don't recall that being enough at the 9 THE WITNESS: So, obviously, people are 9 time. And so I think what this is is -- if I recall, 10 10 talking about it in the industry today. People were this is our chief marketing officer saying, "Well, 11 not talking about it in the industry back in 2011. And 11 maybe I'll go out and do some research, come back, and 12 at some point, you know, there was a curve of talking 12 then we can look at that." And I think this probably 13 about it not at all, not at all, and then a little bit, 13 is the output of that research, but I'm not sure. 14 14 and then more, and then more and more and more, and Q Fair enough. In light of that, let me 15 then here we are today. 15 point you to the page 2 of the deck. 16 16 So I'm not sure -- if we were to plot that A Okay. 17 curve of how much the industry was looking at it and 17 Q It has -- these documents all come with 18 when this date was. I'm not sure where it would sit on 18 little numbers on them. 19 19 20 MR. MURTHA: Okay. 20 This one is KIK 26452. 21 21 BY MR. LEASURE: Okay. Α 22 Q Can I show you another board deck, I think, 22 Q It's called "Agenda." 23 moving forward in time. This is a document previously 23 Yep. Α 24 marked as Exhibit 14A. Exhibit 14A is an e-mail with a 24 Q Just looking at that agenda, you had 25 multi-page printout, beginning with the Bates stamp 25 mentioned that the chief marketing officer might have Page 179 Page 181 KIK 26450. It's dated February 16th, 2017, from Ted 1 gone out to put some data around these questions. 1 2 Livingston to Kik board. 2 A Uh-huh. 3 A Uh-huh. 3 That's Erin Clift? 4 4 A Correct. Q And I am going to point you to parts of 5 5 Q Does that appear to be part of this agenda this document, but take your time. The first question, 6 vou'll never see it coming is: What is this document? 6 for this meeting? 7 A It looks like a board deck that would be 7 A It does appear to be part of that. used to facilitate a discussion with the board of 8 8 Q Do you know what she did? 9 directors. 9 A What does that mean? 10 Q Okay. And do you have any recollection of 10 Q Do you know what she did? I'm sorry. Not this -- of the particular board meeting to which this 11 11 existentially. Do you know -- do you know what she did 12 12 deck was in connection? with -- if she was tasked with go put some data around 13 A I don't have any specific recollection to 13 the question of a potential crypto project? 14 the meeting itself. 14 A She probably did a lot of research through 15 15 Q Okay. As I eyeballed the deck, it looks different means. I think probably one of the things 16 like the discussions on crypto had somewhat advanced or 16 she did is talked to the people at CoinFund about it, 17 deepened with the board; is that fair? 17 to get their thoughts and their data and their view of 18 18 A I'm not sure, actually, if that is fair or it, as sort of a viewed expert in the ecosystem at the 19 not. I think what this is, again, relying on the 19 time. But probably looked at a number of sources. 20 credibility of others, you know, there's this -- I'm a 20 Q Fair enough. And we'll turn to CoinFund in 21 21 very intuitive gut-driven person. I always have been. a bit. Can I point you to page 4? 22 2.2 I use logic to move fast and to eliminate options and That's the one with Bates stamp 26454. 23 to arrive at the best option faster than if you just 23 24 wait for the data, wait for the data. 24 Q I think this is referring to the Credit 25 25 But I needed to convince my leadership team Suisse look for an acquirer project; is that right?

Page 184 Page 182 1 A Yep. 1 A So I don't know for sure, but I'm sure 2 Q Were these two -- I see -- aside from 2 there were conversations. 3 Credit Suisse, I think I see five -- I don't know what 3 Q Okay. Fair enough. The next section is 4 4 Chinese Renaissance is. What is Chinese Renaissance? the PowerPoint which you're --5 A I think they're an investor in China. I'm 5 A Yep. 6 6 Q -- which you're drawing on. I should scold 7 7 Q Are some of these potential acquirers or you about that. 8 8 partners for a merger, the other companies listed here? A Oh, sorry. 9 A Yes, that's what it looks like. 9 Q No, it's okay. 10 10 Q Tango, Zynga, and the others? A It's work product. 11 11 O You're learning. 12 12 Next section is "Kik in the blockchain." Q Did the process of talking with potential 13 13 acquirers -- I'm trying to understand -- did it end on Do you see that? 14 its own or did it end because the crypto project was 14 A Yep. 15 adopted? 15 Q Do you recall discussion at this board 16 A I think it was probably both. Like, these 16 meeting -- it looks like there -- if you go to the 17 processes don't end, like, you know, yesterday the 17 slides following it, it looks like there's some data 18 process was on, and today it's over. 18 and information about the market. 19 19 Q Right. Do you see that? 2.0 A Like, they sort of phase out. And so, you 20 A I do. 21 know, as you get -- and you never get, like, hard noes. 21 Q Do you recall discussion with the board 22 Like, no, we'll never buy you. Like, you never get 22 about that? 23 that. You just -- people are slower and slower to 23 A It -- I don't recall specific discussion. 24 return your e-mails, and you sort of get the hint that 24 But looking at this, you know, like, I can recall these 25 at least at this point, they are not interested. And 25 slides being real, and so I assume we would have a Page 183 Page 185 you start to get those signals from more and more 1 1 discussion around it. 2 people as you realize -- and that's a signal that this 2 Q Sure. Of course. One of the slides, the 3 process is not going to work out. 3 one under 26457, it looks like there's some data on the 4 4 But it's never definitive. At the same total size of the market. 5 5 time, our conviction as a leadership team now, not just Do you see that? 6 as Ted, but as a leadership team and a board of 6 A Yep. 7 directors, was growing. So as our conviction in 7 Q Was that discussed at some point, what the 8 8 selling the company was going down, our conviction in market looks like for crypto assets? 9 crypto working as a business model, a new way to build 9 A Yep. 10 a consumer app, and a new way to compete was growing. 10 Q What was discussed, that it was promising 11 Q Was Kik still involved in merger 11 for a potential Kin project? 12 A So maybe let's step back. What's the discussions or selling the company discussions after 12 13 13 context of this? the crypto project was publicly announced in May? 14 14 A Not to my knowledge. But I do know, you Q Yeah. 15 know, even after we announced it, there was -- again, I 15 A Like, if you look at the agenda, you'll see 16 think we had -- it was a very tough time the leadership 16 that Erin was presenting this section. And I am 17 team went through. And so I think there -- I can't 17 presenting this section before. 18 18 recall exactly, but ideas of, like, "Oh, now maybe we Q Got it. 19 can sell the company." I'm like, "We're not selling 19 A Why? I was presenting the section on the 20 the company." 20 process because I wanted the board to know that I 21 But, you know, I think for some people, 21 personally was taking the process very seriously. And 22 money was very important, and offloading this thing and 22 yet, I didn't present what is listed here as the 23 locking in a return was important, even if it wasn't 23 potential for an ICO. Why? Because, again, I had to 24 what was best for the company. 24 convince my board that this was a viable option. 25 Q Right. Okay. 25 And so, once again, I was saying, "Don't

Page 186 Page 188 hear it from me. Hear it from Erin." 1 1 decentralized --2 2 A Ether is a decentralized currency. O Got it. 3 A So this is her research and these are her 3 Q Apologies. I used the wrong term. 4 4 How is that different from Kin then? slides. 5 Q Got it. Okay. This is one you were 5 A Because Ether was trying to get people -presented. Fair enough. 6 6 Ether was the currency that would power the smart 7 7 contract applications. We weren't trying to get Page 8 -- I just want to get your sense of 8 8 anybody to use smart contract applications because we where a Kin project might fit in. Page 8 begins, 9 "Crypto investors are largely funding three areas: 9 thought they were flawed for the most part and that 10 Blockchain platforms, infrastructure projects, and 10 nobody would ever use them. And we thought that back 11 decentralized applications." 11 then, that has largely proven to be true. 12 12 So, like, if Ether -- Ethereum had a Do you see that? 13 13 currency, we had a currency, that's true. They were A Yes. 14 Q What's the Kin project? 14 trying to use the currency to do one thing. We were 15 Is it one of those or is it none of those? 15 trying to use the currency to do something else. 16 16 A I would say it is all of those and none of Q Okay. Let me point you a few slides 17 those at the same time. 17 onwards. It's Slide 10. And it has the Bates stamp 26460. Do you see that? 18 Q Yeah. That's a paradox. Sorry. I didn't 18 19 19 A Yep. mean to be glib. 20 What do you mean by that? 20 Q It's the section that's called the 21 21 "Immediate Opportunity." A What would I call Kin at the end of the 22 22 A Yep. day --23 23 Q Just because you had given me some helpful Q Uh-huh. 24 A -- is a decentralized currency. That's 24 context before about that Erin presented the prior 25 what it is. It is a currency. So when I look at 25 section there, Erin Clift. Page 189 Page 187 A Yep. 1 these, these are things that you need to sit underneath 1 2 2 to make that currency work. Actually, I don't think Q Did you present this? 3 you need decentralized applications, but you need a 3 Did Erin present this upcoming section? 4 4 A I can't recall. But if I were to guess, I blockchain and the infrastructure. I would almost say 5 5 would guess that Erin presented this. those two are the same thing. 6 Q Got it. 6 Q Okay. This was still in the spirit of you 7 7 having others, not you yourself, present the A So I would -- and, again, I think this is 8 8 just, like, the very early and misguided understanding opportunity here? 9 9 A I am not crazy. of what exactly crypto was at the time and even is 10 today. People were like -- they're trying to -- it's 10 Q Okay. Fair enough. A Other people agree with me. 11 this brand new thing, and they're trying to put it into 11 12 12 familiar buckets that they understand to try to help Q Fair enough. The next slide, Slide 11, 13 them explain to other people who don't -- even 13 this might go into what Kin is in its distinction. 14 14 understand it less, and so they try to put it into The header is: "Kik can be the first major 15 15 consumer network to apply real use cases built off of these buckets. 16 But in doing so -- like, Kin is none of 16 blockchain." 17 these things, and yet, is all of these things. It's a 17 Do you see that? A Uh-huh. I do. 18 18 fundamentally new thing. 19 19 Q And is that true, is that fundamentally Q And so I think I understand your answer. 20 But it's a decentralized cryptocurrency? 20 what the Kin project is? 21 21 A Uh-huh. A I think so. I would say there is no --22 22 like, the consumer use cases of other cryptocurrencies Q Which I think is how you --23 23 today, outside of just speculative trading, are almost A A decentralized currency is a 2.4 24 zero for the most part. cryptocurrency. 25 Q Right. How is -- Ethereum is a 25 Q Okay. All right. And if the dreaded day

Page 190 Page 192 1 comes when Facebook Coin, Zucker Coin, or whatever --1 here because people were using it in the industry at 2 A It's not going to be a dreaded day. When 2 the time to describe people who were buying 3 3 the exciting day comes, yes. cryptocurrencies. 4 4 Q And do you know why the industry changed Q Where competition is there, that would 5 be -- would that be an example of another major 5 the term? 6 consumer network attempting to apply real use cases at 6 A I don't know. I don't even know if it was 7 7 least, built off of blockchain? an industry term at some point. I don't know if it 8 8 A So Kin is a cryptocurrency. could have been a term for CoinFund. I don't believe 9 Q Yep. 9 it's a term I have ever used. 10 A For Facebook Coin to compete with Kin, it 10 Q Okay. Can I point you to Slide 13. 11 would also need to be a cryptocurrency. For it to be a 11 That's the one with Bates stamp 26463. 12 cryptocurrency, it would also need a blockchain. 12 13 13 Q Yeah. Q The header is "Crowd funders have strong 14 A So I would say yes. 14 appetites for exploration." 15 Q Yeah. I'm just trying understand what --15 Do you see that? 16 16 if Kin is -- if Kin is the first, what its peers would 17 17 Q Okay. Good. And I think we're still --18 18 vou believe this is still Ms. Clift's section? A Uh-huh. 19 19 Q And I'm thinking a Facebook Coin would be. A I believe so. 20 A Uh-huh. Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 20 Q Her -- I don't want to say book report, but 21 21 her report back to the board on what she's seen --Q Okay. 22 A Yeah. If Facebook copied Kin exactly, 22 A Her own independent findings. 23 which they probably will. 23 Q There you are. 24 Q Copy and crush. 24 Which is what I wanted. I wanted somebody 25 The bottom of this reads, "We believe that 25 to independently verify that this was a good idea. Page 191 Page 193 1 the scale of our network alone will drive strong 1 Q Yeah. She gives some data here. 2 interest from the cryptocurrency investor community." 2 Do you see that? 3 Did you believe that? 3 A Yep. 4 4 A I think the question here is the term Q And reasons why people might invest in a 5 "crypto investor." You know, I talked a little bit 5 project. Do you see that? 6 earlier about people not understanding this space. And 6 A Yep. 7 so they're trying to put it into buckets that they 7 Q She lists as a source CoinFund investor 8 8 understand to make it more easy to understand for survey, that's in truly small print --9 9 themselves, but to make it more easy to explain to A Yep. 10 other people. 10 Q -- on the bottom of it. 11 Maybe let me say how could I reword this so 11 Do you know anything about that survey, 12 that I would agree with it. We believed that the scale 12 what she's drawing off of? 13 of our network alone will get people who are interested 13 A I can't recall specifically. But if I had 14 in cryptocurrencies excited about Kin. 14 to guess, it would be, as part of her due diligence, 15 Q Sorry. There was a phone tone. 15 she reached out to CoinFund. As part of their due 16 Did you want to continue or was that --16 diligence, they did a survey of people they knew who 17 A No, that was it. I was just rewording the 17 were buying cryptocurrencies, and that they called that 18 sentence for you, if that's helpful. 18 an investor survey. 19 19 Q What -- just from your perspective, it Q Okay. That's fine. Do you recall 20 sounds like you wanted to reword the term "crypto 20 discussion about this survey with the board? 21 investor" into something else; is that right? 21 A I recall -- I don't recall specifically. 2.2 A Uh-huh. 22 But, you know, I can recall points of it being used, 23 O Why? 23 pieces of it being used to explain why this was a good 24 A Because I think it's inaccurate. Like, 24 idea, and to bring data to why this was a good idea. 25 it's not an industry term anymore. Maybe it was used 25 But I don't recall myself personally

Page 194 Page 196 1 reading through the report itself. 1 A I probably saw some of them. But I don't 2 Q Okay. We might turn to that in a bit. How 2 recall any specifically. 3 3 about other people? Do you know, in your discussions Q Okay. So it sounds like -- you can't speak 4 4 with them, did they point to CoinFund analysis or data for all of Kik or what everyone did. You can only 5 that was out there in discussing the Kin project? 5 speak for what you know. So I appreciate that. 6 A If I had to guess, I'm sure they would. 6 It sounds like you were confident at this 7 7 Sure. time and up to the token distribution event that people 8 8 Q Okay. Do you remember? would want to earn, use the Kin token? 9 9 A I don't remember. A Correct. 10 10 Q That's fine. Let me see if there's Q And you believed that because of what you 11 anything else in this document. Yeah. This might just 11 saw as the success of the earlier Kik Points project? 12 cue us to "Next Steps." Page 21, Bates stamp 26471. 12 A Correct. 13 A Uh-huh. 13 Q Is there any other reason you had -- you 14 Q This is -- I should apologize. The page 14 were confident that people would want to use Kin 15 before that reads, "Next Steps." 15 tokens? A Uh-huh. Okay. 16 A I think I was also confident based on my 16 17 Q I just want to orient you. Any sense on 17 experience building consumer products. 18 where -- is this you? Is this Erin Clift? 18 Q Sure. Okay. Fair. You built a successful 19 19 Is this somebody else? messaging app, right? 20 A My guess is this would be Erin. 2.0 A Correct. 21 21 Q Okay. Q And how does that connect to being 22 A But I can't say for sure. 22 confident -- I mean, I understand that people -- you 2.3 Q She writes, "Three weeks to gauge 23 had experience with people wanting to join a chat 24 preliminary investor interest." 24 community and all of the things that go into the Kik 25 25 Do you see that? messaging app. How does that equate into people would Page 195 Page 197 1 A Yep. 1 want to earn, spend, redeem Kin? 2 A Because the logic was very simple. If you 2 O And is this describing -- and it says the 3 word "CoinFund" here. Is this describing work that she 3 run a big community, and you find something the 4 4 was doing with CoinFund? community wants, and you tell them the only way to get 5 5 A That would be my guess. it is with this specific currency, their next question 6 O Okav. Under -- so we'll talk about 6 will be: "Okay. Then how do I get that currency?" 7 CoinFund in a minute. Under the -- there's two items 7 Q Got it. Okay. Your sense of the -- not 8 8 for Kik. Do you see that, user research and brand online, but dynamics of people in a digital 9 9 developer exploration? environment? 10 A Yep. 10 A If the United States government said the Q Under "user research" -- besides "user only way to get coffee tomorrow is -- the only legal 11 11 12 way is with Bitcoin, would you be confident that would 12 research," it writes, "Understand fit with users, ie, earn/redeem." 13 go find how to get Bitcoin? 13 14 A Uh-huh. 14 Q Anything that has to do with coffee, I 15 15 Q What was done for that, do you know? think there would a riot, also. People would be very 16 A I don't recall. Like, from my point of 16 concerned about their morning. 17 view, I knew there would be a fit with users because we 17 You didn't have -- there weren't -- you 18 had already validated that at scale with Kik Points. 18 didn't -- you didn't rely on any particular studies on 19 Q By the number of Kik Points transactions? 19 how people would use Kin in the future? 20 20 A No. 21 Q Okay. How about what people might want to 21 Q Okay. 2.2 22 A I had been thinking about this for years at do, not with Kik Points, but with a cryptocurrency? 23 23 this point. And at this point, I could see the light Was there -- were there studies done by that by Kik? 24 A There probably were. 24 at the end of the tunnel, that we were going to get to 25 Q Did you see them? 25 go for it. So all of this work was, from my point of

Page 198 Page 200 1 view, done as an exercise for people to convince 1 Q Okay. That's obviously some multiple more 2 themselves that this was a good idea. Convince 2 than -- this is February of 2017, but some comparables 3 3 themselves and then help me convince others. that were put into this document, right? 4 4 A Uh-huh. Q Is it fair to say you were somewhat 5 5 agnostic on what precisely people would want to do with Q From your perspective, were you expecting 6 their Kin in the future? Let me ask that a better way. 6 at this time, February of 2017, a sale of \$100 million 7 7 You didn't have particular desired use 8 8 cases for Kin when the ecosystem was up and running? A I can't recall exactly. But I would say my 9 A I think we had use cases we didn't want to 9 guess would be no. 10 10 happen with Kin for sure, like on the illegal and Q Okay. What happened -- you were expecting 11 safety side. 11 something less, I take it. 12 12 A It was hard to know at the time what the Q Yeah. 13 13 A But in terms of outside of those, what we expectations should be. I don't recall having a 14 did want Kin to be used for, what I believe and still 14 specific number in mind. 15 believe today is that there will be millions of ways to 15 Q I'm sure you didn't. But it was uncertain? 16 earn and spend Kin in the future. And so, you know, 16 A Uh-huh. 17 which ones come first and which come later and which 17 Q But you weren't thinking in the range of 18 can we foresee and which ones can't we foresee is sort 18 magnitude of the sale of 100 million; is that what you 19 19 of an irrelevant exercise. just said? 2.0 Q Okay. Fair enough. Let me point you to 20 A I think, at some point, I said in front of 21 21 one more page in this document, 22, 26472. the company that somebody else said that we might be 22 A 22? 22 able to sell \$100 million worth. And that got me 23 Q I'm sorry. Page 22. 23 excited because for me, again, I had been thinking 24 24 A Got it. about this for years and was unable to share this with 25 25 Q And then that has Bates stamp 26472. It people for years. And then as I shared it with people, Page 199 Page 201 begins with: "Well-known decentralized token sales." 1 most of the time, it got pushed back. 1 2 2 And at the same time was going through a Do you see that? 3 A Yep. 3 very difficult time for me as a company. And so for 4 4 somebody else to say, wow, if Kik did this, they could Q Are these somewhat -- just based on the 5 5 probably sell \$100 million worth of Kin, it was a appearance of this, this appears to me to be giving validation to me that finally the world was seeing what 6 some comparables about what recent token sales were 6 7 7 I was seeing. And that to me, after years of pain, able to raise in the market. 8 8 sort of made it all worth it. A Uh-huh. 9 9 Q Is that a fair reading of it? Q It was a validation of the vision? 10 A Yep. 10 A Validated the vision. 11 Q Okay. So these are from -- I guess 11 Q And that's, I guess, what I wanted to 12 Ethereum is one thing from 2014, but there are three 12 explore. To what extent -- just sitting back now 13 from 2016. Do you see that? 13 and -- what is it, November 2018. 14 A I do. 14 To what extent is the 100 million sale that 15 Q 24 million, 33 million, and 19 million. 15 Kik was able to do versus the 19 to 33 million sales of 16 16 the comparables it's looking at, to what extent was 17 Q Was that -- I guess I just want to get 17 that appetite in the market that developed in 2017? 18 18 A I'm sorry. What's the question? context in terms of the amount of the raise that Kik 19 19 was expecting compared to what it did raise, I guess, Q Sure. I'm trying to understand were you 20 20 first. It ultimately raised \$100 million, correct? guys able to sell more tokens because there was 21 A I wouldn't use the word "raised." I would 21 increased demand in the market in 2017? use the word "sold." 22 2.2 A I would say that was part of it, sure. 23 23 Q Okay. Had you tried to approach the Q Fair. 2.4 A It ultimately sold almost \$100 million 24 market, let's say in 2016, don't think you would have 25 worth of Kin. 25 been able to sell 100 million?

Page 202 Page 204 1 A We didn't try to approach it in 2016, so I 1 the company knew that we were going to go into crypto. 2 can't say for sure. But if I have to guess, I would 2 And now, obviously, everybody knows that we're going 3 3 say no, we would not be able to. into crypto. And there was a process in between for 4 4 Q Okay. You would say -- would you agree sure, a series of steps to introduce the company to it 5 that the timing of the Kin token sale was favorable for 5 and get them working on it, and then to where we are 6 selling more tokens? 6 7 7 A For selling the tokens at a higher price Q And I take it you started with maybe some 8 per token, and therefore, resulting in selling more people in the executive leadership, and then move on to 8 9 9 dollars worth, I would say the timing was perfect. a more general discussion? 10 10 Q You put that better than I did. A Yeah. 11 Would you agree that the market for tokens 11 Yeah. 12 12 A How do you get people to buy into an idea in 2017 was pretty hot? 13 A I would say that people were realizing that 13 that at first blush is something totally new and 14 cryptocurrencies were going to be a very exciting 14 15 thing. And yet at the same time, for the most part, 15 Q Right. And did you help facilitate those 16 did not understand why. 16 communications, get involved in them? 17 Q Right. And you've referenced this, that 17 A I would -- yeah, certainly. 18 you think there were a lot of -- I'm going to put my 18 Q Okay. 19 A I can't remember anything specific, but I 19 own gloss on this. 20 You thought there were a lot of ill thought 20 would assume so. 21 21 out, poor token projects being put out there in 2017? Q That's fine. How did people react? 22 A Yeah. I thought there were -- even to take 22 A I don't know. I can't say. And it's hard 23 it a step further, there's a large percentage which 23 for me to know because I think -- at some point, by the 24 were just outright scams. 24 time we go to the company, my recollection is, like, 25 Q Scams, people trying to take advantage of 25 the leadership team was pretty bought in. And they Page 203 Page 205 1 were having their own conversations with their people speculation out there in the market? 1 2 2 to sort of validate that, you know, is this actually a 3 MR. LEASURE: Let's go off the record. 3 good idea. Okay. It is a good idea. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends Disc Number 2. 4 4 So I don't remember there being any significant pushback from the company when I spoke with 5 5 Going off the record. 6 The time on the video monitor is 3:09 p.m. 6 them, but I can't recall exactly. 7 7 Q Was there a -- let me back up. (A brief recess was taken.) 8 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins Disc Within the leadership team, was there 9 9 resistance to the idea of moving to crypto? Number 3. We are back on the record. 10 10 The time on the video monitor is 3:19 p.m. A I can't recall specifically. But as a BY MR. LEASURE: 11 11 generality, yes, I can recall resistance. 12 12 Q We're back on the record after a brief Q What was that based around? A Just the idea that it was yet another crazy 13 break during which, Mr. Livingston, did you have any 13 substantive conversations about this investigation with 14 idea that probably wouldn't work. 14 15 15 SEC staff? Q Okay. 16 A I did not. 16 A It felt like the general idea. 17 Q Okay. So we're talking about circulating 17 Q Was there a concern about the effect the 18 the idea of a crypto project. We talked about the 18 move to crypto might have on the existing Kik messenger 19 board --19 app business? A Uh-huh. 20 A No. Not to my recollection. 20 21 21 Q -- and discussions with the board. Q Okay. Was there eventually a sit-down 22 How about with Kik employees? Was there a 22 meeting within Kik? 23 23 process for telling them that you were thinking about A I would think so, ves. 2.4 pivoting the company into a crypto project? 24 Q Sit-downs are how communications are done 25 A Yeah. There was a period when nobody at 25 to all Kik employees?

Page 206 Page 208 1 A Correct. 1 walk away. Look, Satoshi did it. Disappeared, and yet 2 And do you usually participate in those? 2 it keeps going. But then -- well, then what happens to 3 3 A I usually do participate in those, yes. us? Well, then you're participants in the ecosystem, 4 Q And do you recall -- do you recall a 4 too. And we can all decide how we want to contribute. 5 sit-down meeting relating to the idea of moving into a 5 Or if we want to move on to something else. 6 crypto project? 6 It turns out that's sort of a hard answer for people to 7 7 A I recall us having one. I can't recall the internalize. 8 8 specific meeting. Q Yeah. Can I -- I might not have shown it 9 Q You don't know -- do you know what was 9 to you, but I think I've seen an e-mail where you had 10 said? 10 the -- maybe just a senior leadership explain, the 11 A I'm sure my memory could be jogged. But I 11 company might not exist once we're done. If the 12 don't remember, other than, "We're doing crypto. It's 12 project is successful and we profitably sell all of our 13 a great idea. You should be excited." 13 Kin, Kik as a company may no longer need to exist. 14 Q I imagine -- and correct me if I'm wrong. 14 A Right. Satoshi Nakamoto disappeared. 15 If I imagine myself as a Kik employee, I 15 Q Right. 16 A We don't know who he/she/they are. imagine one of my questions would be for any project: 16 17 How is this going to lead us to profitability? 17 (Discussion off the record.) 18 A Uh-huh. 18 BY MR. LEASURE: 19 19 Q Was that a common question from Kik O Let me show you a document marked 20 employees? 20 Exhibit 107. Take a look at it. And as always, let me 21 A I think a question from Kik employees over 21 know when you're ready. I'll ask you what it is. 22 the years is: How are we going to make money? And for 22 A Okay. 23 23 Q Let me identify it for the record while sure 24 Q And was that a question posed in connection 24 you're reading it. 25 with the move to crypto? 25 A Yeah. Page 207 Page 209 A At some point, yes. Like, I think probably 1 1 Q It's a multi-page printout of an e-mail 2 first is like, "What is this thing?" So at some point, 2 chain ending March 24th, 2017, dated -- with the Bates 3 you know, how is this going to lead to profitability 3 stamp KIK 26624. 4 4 for us would definitely be a question. But I'm not A Okay. 5 5 sure at what time, who would ask that. I don't recall Q What is this document? 6 somebody specifically asking that, say, at an all-hands 6 A I think this is me -- I'm not sure when --7 7 it's not listed here, but when I sent the original meeting. 8 8 e-mail. I see that I forwarded it March 24th. Q And you provided an explanation for that 9 9 during a testimony today, which is, you know, fixed Usually, there would be a date on a thread like this. 10 supply of the currency. If demand goes up, our Kik's 10 But let's assume it's roughly around then. I think, 11 you know, despite Fred's endorsement, despite Erin's 11 holdings of the currency goes up, and we can sell it. A Uh-huh. 12 12 endorsement and own independent research, there was 13 13 still, from my recollection, skepticism on the Q Right? 14 14 A Correct. leadership team at this point. 15 15 Q Was that the answer you always conveyed? And so this is me trying to dumb it down as 16 A I'm not sure. Like, if I was asked -- I 16 much as possible so that people understand what we are 17 don't recall being specifically asked, so that's why I 17 talking about and how it would work. 18 can't recall specifically answering. I do -- like, 18 Q Got it. A few names and people before we 19 even for the board and for the leadership team, never 19 get into the substance of it. 20 mind the company, like, how this works as a business 20 A Uh-huh. 21 21 model is something fundamentally new, right? Q The initial e-mail, which I think might 22 Like, what do you mean we're going to keep 2.2 have a date. It's -- do you see March 24th, 2017, 23 selling pieces of this asset? Like, what happens when 23 there? 24 the asset runs out? It's, like, good question. You 24 A You're right. Sorry. I see it now. 25 25 know, by then, it's open decentralized, and you can Q That's sent to leadership team, which has

Page 210 Page 212 1 an e-mail address, exec@kik.com. I take it that's 1 vision for crypto and how it'd fit into Kik." 2 senior managers --2 Do you see that? 3 3 A Yeah. That's the leadership team A Yep. 4 4 distribution list. Q I take it -- that seems to be consistent 5 Q People like Ben-Ari, Clift? 5 with your recollection that people just had some A Yep. 6 6 confusion or not really -- not clarity around the 7 7 Q Okay. And that's you, as you say, trying 8 8 to present in a -- I don't want to say dumbed down, I A It was and is a very big idea, and at first 9 feel like that would be rude to say -- in a simplified 9 is very hard for people to get their heads around, 10 10 format the vision you had? especially, you know, almost, like, a year and a half 11 A Yeah. The goal of this was to help them 11 ago or almost two years ago, whatever this is. 12 understand as much as possible, like, what this option, 12 Q Sure. And as you said, this is you trying 13 what the idea was. 13 to put the vision down on paper? 14 14 Q Got it. And you ultimately forwarded it to A Yes. This is me trying to dumb it down as 15 a person named Hubert? 15 much as possible. I see I actually I did use the term A Hubert, yeah. He was my CEO -- is my CEO 16 there as well. 16 17 17 Q You're right. So I can use that phrase 18 18 Q Oh, understood. Okay. Very good. as well. 19 19 Did he have a role in the Kin project, Let me point you to the second page where 20 other than just being your coach? 20 there is some substance. On page 26625, you're there. 21 21 A He had a major role in helping me turn 22 around the company from a leadership point of view. 22 Q You write, "Why would we do this?" And you 23 And so I sent this to him because, like, I was 23 give three reasons. Do you thee see that? 24 explaining do him that I thought there was this other 24 25 way, and how can I get the leadership team on board. 25 Q And I don't want to read every single word Page 211 Page 213 And so when I highlighted here, I said -- like, my 1 here, but it looks like the three reasons you wrote 1 recollection, I'd forward it to him and be like, "Hey, 2 are: One, it is a way to raise money to fund 2 3 this could be a helpful context as you helped me turn 3 development. 4 A Uh-huh. 4 around the leadership of the company." 5 5 Q Got it. And you're looking for coaching Q Two, it is a new way to monetize a 6 and ideas on leadership issues with --6 community. And three, it is a way to unite the 7 7 community to work together to build the best community A Correct. 8 8 Q With a tough task, I take it. possible. 9 A Yeah. Turning around a failing consumer 9 A Uh-huh. 10 company is a very tough task. 10 O I'll just pause there. Were those -- were 11 those the reasons from Kik's perspective to do the 11 Q I've never done it, but I believe you. 12 12 You write -- let's go to the substance of token project? 13 what you write to the leadership team. Okay? 13 A I would -- if I could go back and -- maybe 14 14 not if I could go back. If I were to reword one, it is A Okay. 15 15 Q You write, "Hi, all. I had a chance to a way to get money to fund the development is what I 16 sync with a few of you about the crypto meeting on 16 would change it to. You know, definitely, two, we 17 Wednesday." 17 talked about a lot. Three, we talked about a lot as 18 18 well. Any of that ring a bell, the crypto 19 meeting? 19 Q Uh-huh. 20 A I assume it was like a meeting to discuss 20 A But we were also spending money. And if we 21 doing cryptocurrency. I can't remember specifically, 21 didn't get more money, we would go bankrupt and none of 22 but that would be my guess. 22 this would happen. So -- and the leadership team at 23 23 Q You write about themes you heard. Going the time was very focused, obviously, on our cash 2.4 down to the one where you write, "The other theme I 24 balance. As it declined, as we got closer to going 25 25 heard was that there is still some ambiguity around the bankrupt, like, all anybody wanted to talk about was,

### Page 214 Page 216 1 like, how are we not going to go bankrupt. And so when 1 A Because I think development -- again, 2 I look at this first one, crypto was such a new thing 2 getting back to sort of an equity raise, development is 3 3 that it was hard for people to understand, and so -like, "Oh, we're going to go do something." But on 4 4 you know, traditionally, you either sell advertising or day one, we created this cryptocurrency that existed on 5 you sell things. That would be revenue. 5 the Ethereum blockchain that anybody could access, use, 6 Or your other option is to raise investor 6 integrate, and improve upon, evangelize, set up 7 7 money through an equities offering. So those are the programs for. So it's not a way to raise money. 8 8 only two pieces of context the leadership team would It's a way to sell a piece of the asset 9 9 have. Now there was a third, which is create a new that we own. And it's not a way to fund development. 10 10 currency, sell some of that currency, and use the money It's a way to fund our participation in the ecosystem. 11 from that sale to fund development. 11 Q Kik being one person who will build out the 12 12 Q Got it. ecosystem, not the only; is that your idea? 13 13 A So that would be my only -- when you say A Kik being one person entity building things 14 14 are these the three reasons, sort of would be my in the ecosystem for the benefit of itself, but because 15 answer. You know, it's a new way to monetize a 15 of the way cryptocurrencies work, also for the benefit 16 community. It's a new way to work together. These 16 of everybody else. 17 actually to me were the most important things. But at 17 Q Do you think it was expected that Kik 18 the time, it's also a way to sell an asset to get the 18 would -- do you think the people who bought Kin tokens 19 money to fund development. 19 in the TDE expected that Kik would use the money they 2.0 Q Got it. You -- before, when we were 20 gave Kik to help develop the ecosystem? 21 21 talking, you had a problem with using the term 'raising A I think people's -- I can't say what 22 money" in the context of the Kin token sale. 22 people's expectations were. But what their 23 A Uh-huh. 23 expectations should have been is that as a holder of 24 24 Q I take it that's because you didn't see it 3 trillion of the 10 trillion Kin, we would do 25 25 as, like, a raising of money from investors as much as everything in our power to increase the demand as much Page 215 Page 217 1 as possible in our own selfish interest, but then that 1 a sale to fund the project? 2 A I'm sorry. What's the question? 2 they would benefit from that as well. But that would 3 Q You had a problem with the idea of raising. 3 be also true of everybody in the ecosystem. 4 4 A Yeah. Q Fair enough. Okay. I can offer a kind of 5 5 absurd hypothetical. Let's say Kik sold 100 million Q Calling this a "money raise." 6 Yes. 6 worth of Kin and then just walked away with the 7 Why is that? 7 money --8 8 A Because when you use the word "raise," A Uh-huh. 9 it -- people would think, "Oh, a raise, like a 9 Q -- or threw the best party in the history 10 traditional equity raise. Oh, is this an equity raise? 10 of tech companies, the 100 million is gone. Does this come with all the rights of an equity raise?" 11 11 Do you think that would have been 12 So I don't have a problem actually with the word 12 inconsistent with the understanding of what Kik would 13 "raise." I have a problem with the definition it 13 do with the proceeds of the sale? 14 14 infers in many people's minds. A I think it would be just, like, 15 15 Q So you prefer this to say -- I just want to counterintuitive of why would Kik not act in their own 16 make sure it's clear. You'd prefer this to say for 16 best self-interest. With that said, let's take your 17 Reason 1, why we would do this is: It is a way to sell 17 hypothetical and that did happen. 18 18 tokens to fund development? Q Uh-huh. 19 A I would say, even tweak it --19 A There are 10,000 people all around the 20 20 world who owned a piece of Kin who would be very O Yeah. 21 21 A -- it is a way to create a new currency, incentivized, and this is the power of 22 sell a piece of it to fund our participation in the 2.2 cryptocurrencies, to make Kin work regardless of what 23 23 Kik did. If we take your hypothetical and we threw

24

25

24

25

Q Not fund -- okay. So we covered the raise

part. Why is "fund development" not the right term?

\$100 million party and said, "That was it. Sorry.

We're shutting down the company," there would be over

1

2

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

21

22

25

4

5

6

7

Page 218

- 1 10,000 people in the world who owned a piece of this
- 2 currency that said, "Damn, I don't know why they did
- 3 that. That was really stupid. But we need to make
- 4 this Kin thing a success because we all own a piece of
- 5 this." And that is the power of cryptocurrencies.
  - Q Okay. Maybe we'll turn more to that in a bit. On this Item 1, the last two sentences of it --
  - A Item -- yes. Bullet one. Okay.
- 9 Q So Item 1 is a way to raise money to fend 10 development --
  - A Uh-huh.

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

1

8

9

- Q -- to fund development. And then the second-to-last sentence reads, "The value proposition to investors is if they buy in today at the ICO, and then the demand for the currency goes up because of all the things we do to create demand for them, then they will be able to sell their points at a higher price in the future and make a return."
- 19 Do you see that?
- 20 A I do.
- 21 Q We've talked today about increased demand 22 and increased price. Is this -- is what you wrote here
- 23 in March an accurate statement of the value proposition
- 24 being offered?
  - A I would say that I was trying to -- I can't

Page 220

- demand," you would say instead it's "all the things everybody will do"?
- 3 A If I were to do this today, I would say
- 4 there's many different reasons people buy
- 5 cryptocurrencies. Some reason that some people buy
- 6 cryptocurrencies is purely as a speculative
  - proposition.

Q Yeah.

- A For those people, they're betting on that
- 10 the efforts of all of these different people, because
- 11 of the incentive, because of the crypto economics,
- 12 because of the way that this is all set up, they're
- 13 betting that demand is going to go up as one
- 14 constituency in this broader ecosystem, which exists in
- 15 every cryptocurrency.
  - Q The last sentence reads, "The money taken in from investors for the ICO is used by Kik to fund development to create more and more demand by both growing the community and by growing the demand for the
- 20 currency within the community."
  - Is this accurate?
  - A I think what I was trying to get across
- 23 here is we sell some Kin token. That sale generates
- 24 revenue. What do we do with that revenue? The
  - smartest thing to do from a purely financial point of

Page 219

- recall for sure. But if I were to guess, I was trying
- 2 to explain in as familiar terms as possible why people
- might buy a cryptocurrency. Like, the fundamentals of 3
- 4 crypto economics are fixed supply. Demand goes up,
- 5 price goes up. That's why we all worked together, yet
- 6 independently, to make it more valuable.
- 7 So when I look at this, if you buy a
  - cryptocurrency today, any cryptocurrency, and then the
- demand for that cryptocurrency goes up because of all
- 10 of the things we do -- but "because of all of the
- 11 things everybody does" is what this should say -- then 12 if by all those independent efforts the demand goes up
- 13 -- you know, maybe Kik does something and it doesn't
- 14 create demand at all.
- 15 But maybe somebody in Zimbabwe does 16 something that creates this demand in what, we don't
- 17 know, that's the power of it -- then demand goes up.
- 18 If demand goes up, the price will be able to go up.
- 19 And so if the price goes up, you know, you own a piece
- 20 of this currency you bought for less money yesterday,
- 21 and now it's worth more money today, then you've had a
- 22 financial gain.
- 23 Q So if you were to -- sitting here today, if
- 24 you were to publish this sentence, the correction you
- 25 would make is to "all the things we do to create

- Page 221
- 1 view, from Kik's perspective, is to try to grow -- do
- 2 everything they can to grow demand, real demand for
- 3 that currency, which increases the value of its
  - currency, increases the value of everybody's currency.
  - And that is what is in everybody's best
  - interest in the ecosystem.
  - Q Right.
- 8 A Everybody is trying to selfishly grow the 9 demand of Kin for themselves. But in doing so, they're
- 10 also growing demand for everybody else.
- Q Right. And we've talked about that. 11
- 12 That's part of the -- skin in the game isn't accurate, 13 but the win-win, that Kik has the same incentives
- 14 as other people to grow this currency out?
- 15 A That is a fundamental of how
- 16 cryptocurrencies work. And that's -- that is the basis
- 17 for why cryptocurrencies are so exciting.
- 18 Q Got it. And in the context of this e-mail, 19 you're trying to explain that to maybe skeptical or
- 20 concerned --

25

- 21 A And people who don't know crypto at all,
- 22 don't know anything about crypto. And so trying to 23 explain it in terms that help them understand, even if
- 24 they are ultimately incorrect terms.
  - Q Okay. Let me point you to the third page

Page 224 Page 222 of this. That's on Bates stamp 26626. 1 happen? 10,000 people around the world would say, 2 A 26626. Okay. 2 "We've got to make this thing work with or without all 3 3 Q Okay. There's a section that says, "So how of those Kik employees." And that's the power. It's 4 4 do we get this launched?" open sourced. It's decentralized. Anybody can 5 5 contribute. And they are contributing out of their own A Yep. 6 Q I see three streams. 6 selfish needs. 7 So why doesn't Kik do that? Because 7 A Yep. 8 8 Q And there's three new bullets. Let me just Facebook is coming. And we, like everybody else, want 9 to maximize the odds that Kin becomes the most used 9 summarize these using the first sentence and see if 10 cryptocurrency in the word. So we are doing our part. 10 you're with me. One, "We need to market it." Two, "We 11 need to create demand for it." And three, "We need to 11 Q Let me push you a bit on that. 12 A Sure. 12 set up the infrastructure." 13 Q I'm not sure I agree, but I could hear the 13 A Okay. 14 argument more for the demand generation. Anyone -- I 14 Q Is this an accurate -- sitting here today, 15 can evangelize, right? I can set up a blog and 15 do you think that's an accurate, at a high level, 16 evangelize a cryptocurrency and say it's the next best 16 summary of what is needed to get the Kin project 17 thing, and we're going to beat Facebook together. 17 launched? 18 A Okay. 18 A I think the only thing we would need to do, 19 Q I'm not sure I could do all of the things 19 going back, like -- you know, this is we're trying to 20 you were talking today about infrastructure, blockchain figure out what we actually need to do. But looking 20 21 developments. I'm not sure what 10,000 people 21 back today, what did we actually need to do? We needed 22 desperately -- I certainly could not. I can barely use 22 to create it, and we needed people to buy it because 23 my phone. 23 that's what sets up the incentives for people to 24 24 independently grow the value of their Kin, and that's 25 Q I'm not sure what 10,000 desperate people 25 what creates this whole snowball effect. Page 223 Page 225 Q So you didn't need to create demand for it? 1 1 could do the blockchain developments that Kik's 2 A Creating demand for it, doing everything in 2 engineers are working on right now. But it's your view 3 our power to create demand for it, would increase the 3 that they could and, in the absence of Kik, are just as 4 4 odds -- increase the rate of demand growing, and likely to do so? 5 5 therefore, was in our best interest. But if you asked A I think my view is that by Kik not doing 6 me: Did we need to do it? My answer would be no. 6 it, it definitely would slow the progress of the 7 7 ecosystem down. Just like if you removed any Q Okay. But you are doing that? 8 8 A Yeah. We're doing that because we're participant from the ecosystem, you would be taking out 9 rational actors in the ecosystem. 9 their contribution. I think Kik made a big 10 Q Okay. And the infrastructure, we talked a 10 contribution. But we saw many people in our 11 11 lot about the infrastructure development that I think communities identifying, reaching out, and selling 12 12 took place after the TDE. developers to integrate Kin. 13 13 So, like, you couldn't do it. But if you That has been happening, correct? A So, again, this was very early. 14 14 held Kin, you'd be very incentivized to tell your 15 15 Q Sure. friends and family, "Hey, this Kin thing, you've got to 16 A If I go back today, would we need to set up 16 look into it. It's the best thing ever." Any 17 the infrastructure? No. We need to create a 17 developer you knew, "Oh, I hear you have an app. 18 18 cryptocurrency. We explain our vision for the You're struggling. You should look at Kin." 19 cryptocurrency. Like, if you were to join this 19 Like, that's the power of this whole thing, 20 project, here is what you are trying to achieve with 20 is you have an army of people who are economically the rest of the community. And that's it. 21 21 22 Like, literally, in your hypothetical, 2.2 Q Even on the infrastructure thing? 23 everybody on Kik Interactive is off to celebrate 23 That's what I was pushing you on. 24 something. They're on a plane, the plane crashes. 24 A So on the infrastructure, Kik -- Kin was an 25 25 What are the odds that Kin is successful? What would ERC-20 token up and running on the infrastructure in a

Page 226 Page 228 1 way no different than Ether itself. 1 names. 2 Q But could -- go ahead. I didn't want to 2 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. 3 3 interrupt you. MR. MENDEL: You said that you saw people 4 4 A I was done. sell to developers. What examples were you thinking of 5 Q Oh, okay. The part I'm having trouble with 5 in that respect? 6 is picturing -- I didn't want to do a plane crash --6 THE WITNESS: Where did I say that? Sorry. 7 7 Kik just stops supporting the project. I don't remember the context. 8 8 MR. MENDEL: This was a few minutes ago. I A Yep. 9 9 think you said -- sorry if I have this wrong. I think Q No one is dying in a plane crash, please. 10 10 you said that you saw people sell Kin to developers. But Kik stops supporting the project --11 A You made that hypothetical, though. 11 THE WITNESS: So people evangelized Kin to 12 12 Q No, I didn't make that hypothetical. developers, yes. 13 13 MR. MENDEL: What examples did you have in Kik stops supporting the project. 10,000 14 14 desperate people handle the Stellar fork issues that we mind for that? 15 were describing, the transfer of tokens from the 15 THE WITNESS: Just seeing it in our Reddit 16 16 community, people saying, "Hey, what do you think about Ethereum to Stellar --17 17 A Where Kin would be if everybody at Kik was this partner? I reached out. We think it could be 18 on a plane and died? Which is the hypothetical I'm 18 good." "What about this partner? I sold these 19 going to use. Okay? So I'm on that plane, too, so 19 partners on this. These people should reach out." 20 don't worry. 2.0 I would get -- I believe I have gotten 21 21 e-mails from people, like, "Hey, I talked to this Q Go ahead. 22 A Not in my best interest in this 22 person. You guys should connect. They want to build 2.3 hypothetical. 23 something." Like, that's the power of this thing. You 24 24 have 10,000 people who are economically aligned to all 25 25 A Kin would be in no different a place than work in their self-interest, but in doing so, work Page 227 Page 229 where Ethereum is today. 1 1 together. 2 2 MR. MENDEL: And when did you see the Q Oh, I see your point. 3 A It is on the -- it is a currency on the 3 conversations on Reddit that you mentioned? 4 4 exact same infrastructure. You're right. So nobody THE WITNESS: Throughout the history of 5 5 uses Ethereum. And Ethereum is like -- that community Kin. Like, even before we did a token sale. I would 6 is trying to figure it out, what are we going to do. 6 come up -- like, people would come up to me after 7 In the same way, our community would try to figure it 7 conferences, like, "Hey, I'm a developer. I'm 8 8 out, what are we going to do. They haven't been struggling. Your story resonates with me." This story 9 thinking about it for a year, so they probably couldn't 9 of struggling is not a unique story. 10 make the progress that we at Kik could if we were a 10 Everybody in the consumer apps base is participant with them in ecosystem. 11 struggling. And so to see the CEO, the founder/CEO of 11 12 12 a billion dollar company, get up in front of an But like Ethereum, they would make 13 13 audience and admit that to them is something they have progress, it would be just be much slower. 14 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Isn't it fair to say, 14 never seen before. And then they come up to me and 15 though, that at the moment -- the moment after the 15 they say, "I can't believe you said that. You're the 16 TDE -- the moment after the token distribution event, 16 most honest person I've ever met to actually say this 17 the lion's share of maybe all of the development work 17 on the record in front of an audience. I'm struggling 18 18 is being done by Kik? in this exact same way you are. Nowhere near the scale 19 THE WITNESS: I would say that Kik was for 19 you are. I want to be part of this." 20 sure the most active participant in the Kin ecosystem, 20 MR. MITCHELL: So if I can just follow up 21 21 on Stephan's question. At the time of the token 22 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Are you aware of any 22 distribution event or any time before then, was there 23 other participant in the Kin ecosystem sort of the 23 anyone else -- were there any other apps other than Kik that were -- that had said publicly, "We're going to 24 minute after the TDE? 24 25 THE WITNESS: I don't have any specific 25 use Kin tokens in our app"?

Page 232 Page 230 1 THE WITNESS: I can't remember 1 the Kin Rewards Engine described in the white paper 2 specifically. But I do know we worked with partners 2 other than Kik and the Foundation? 3 3 that were integrating Kik Points and were very THE WITNESS: If everybody at Kik had 4 interested in Kik -- integrating Kik Points. 4 crashed in a plane crash, then the private keys that 5 So there's no reason to me -- Kik Points 5 together unlock the 3 trillion and 6 trillion Kin owned 6 is -- Kin is just Kik Points but with a business model 6 by Kik and Kin Foundation respectively would forever be 7 7 attached, a better business model. lost, and the 1 trillion Kin remaining would be the 8 MR. MITCHELL: And I'm sorry. Did any of 8 only Kin in existence. 9 those companies say that publicly? 9 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. But the white paper 10 THE WITNESS: I can't remember. 10 discusses a Kin Rewards Engine, right? 11 MR. MITCHELL: Do you remember any of them 11 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 12 saying it publicly? 12 MR. MITCHELL: Was that an important part THE WITNESS: I can't remember. 13 of the plan? 13 14 14 MR. MITCHELL: Do you remember what THE WITNESS: I would say that was an added 15 companies you're thinking? 15 but ultimately unnecessary feature to Kin. Why? THE WITNESS: That did Kik Points? 16 16 Ethereum doesn't have a Kin Reward Engine, and yet, MR. MITCHELL: That you're thinking of 17 17 lots of people are building applications for it. 18 right now, yeah, that worked --18 MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Was -- did you 19 THE WITNESS: I'm literally not thinking of 19 always think that the Kin Rewards Engine was an 20 any company right now. 20 unnecessary part of the plan? 21 21 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. THE WITNESS: When I say "unnecessary" --22 THE WITNESS: It was a long time ago, so I 22 maybe what do I mean by "unnecessary." We have a --23 don't have a specific list in my head. 23 we're a fan of this thing called the parallel universe 24 24 MR. MITCHELL: I guess I'm just trying test. In one universe, you do it this way; in one 25 25 to -- the plane crash hypothetical -universe, you do it that way. What are the potential Page 231 Page 233 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 1 different outcomes from each of those universes? 1 2 MR. MITCHELL: -- I'm just trying 2 Kin without a Kin Reward Engine would 3 understand. Were there points that, you know, during 3 basically be Ethereum or Bitcoin, with one difference 4 4 the time that Kik was offering the Kin tokens, that it that one company that said, "Hey, we are going to 5 5 integrate this Bitcoin," was Kik. But ultimately, all identified, Kik told people, you know, "It's us. We're 6 going to be working on this. And also, here's a list 6 of these people die in a plane crash. 7 of other people who are going to be working on this"? 7 So at that point, it would be no different 8 8 THE WITNESS: Not to my recollection. than Bitcoin and Ethereum. If 10,000 people around the 9 MR. MITCHELL: It was just Kik and the Kin 9 world owned and purchased for \$100 million almost, 10 Foundation that's mentioned in the white paper? 10 Bitcoin II and, you know, Satoshi disappeared, they 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. I think -- I think 11 would make it work. In fact, that's what happened with 12 12 that's correct. Bitcoin. MR. MITCHELL: And who -- and the Kin 13 13 But the world where the Kin Reward Engine 14 Foundation, when it was created, was -- the directors 14 does run, I believe, would greatly accelerate the rate 15 were you and Kik's CFO? 15 of growth of the ecosystem. So it is unnecessary for 16 THE WITNESS: Correct. 16 the ecosystem to operate, but it is an advantage. 17 MR. MITCHELL: And so if -- again, leaving 17 MR. MITCHELL: And Kik talked up that 18 aside the plane crash. If Kik had just said, "We're 18 advantage in the white paper? 19 19 not doing anything more here," could any of those THE WITNESS: Yeah. How could we talk 20 10,000 people have taken over the Foundation and -- do 20 about this being a fundamentally new business model for they have the rights to take over the Foundation and developers without there being a Kin Reward Engine? 21 21 22 22 MR. MITCHELL: One of the points here is if get the 6 trillion Kin? 23 THE WITNESS: They did not. 23 a developer helps create demand for Kin tokens, it gets 2.4 MR. MITCHELL: So could they have run the 24 paid out of the Reward Engine? 25 Kin Rewards Engine -- could anyone else have created 25 THE WITNESS: Correct.

Page 234 Page 236 MR. MITCHELL: So that was talked up in the 1 1 project and proposing new pieces of code to that 2 2 white paper. Was that also -- did you talk it up in 3 3 speeches you gave --MR. MITCHELL: I think you've lost me on 4 THE WITNESS: I would say that was one of 4 the technology stuff. I don't think I can ask that 5 the innovations of Kin, is that we proposed having this 5 much. 6 6 BY MR. LEASURE: 7 7 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. And, again, the Q An entity we referenced before, CoinFund. 8 people who control that Rewards Engine were either Kik 8 A Uh-huh 9 or the Foundation? 9 Q Kik hired CoinFund? 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And the Foundation was 10 A Correct. 11 controlled by Peter and myself. 11 What did Kik hire CoinFund to do? 12 MR. MITCHELL: And then earlier, we talked 12 A From my point of view, at least initially, 13 about the idea that there was a technical problem with 13 to help validate that this was a good idea. running a commercial -- an at-scale public -- I'm 14 14 Q And validate in what way? 15 sorry. Let me start over. 15 A Validate in the way that it wasn't just Ted 16 16 Earlier, we talked about the idea that who believed this could work, but it was also -- that 17 17 there was a difficulty for Kik to run an at-scale this cryptocurrency could work, but also many people 18 18 thousands or millions of people involved transactions who were deeply involved with other cryptocurrencies 19 on the Ethereum blockchain. 19 20 20 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Q Did it validate -- as part of its work, was MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Was that a special --21 21 CoinFund tasked with validating whether people would 22 like, was that a Kik-specific problem or would anyone 22 want to buy a token sold by Kik? 23 trying to run a large scale set of transactions have 23 A Yep. 24 that problem on the Ethereum blockchain? 24 Q Okay. And they did a market analysis for 25 THE WITNESS: No it was an -- was and is an 25 Kik? Page 235 Page 237 industrywide problem. A I don't know specifically what they did, 1 1 2 MR. MITCHELL: So under the hypothetical we 2 but I'm assuming they surveyed people in the industry. 3 were talking about, the 10,000 people, if they were to 3 "You're buying cryptocurrencies. What if a 4 4 company launched a cryptocurrency? What would you do this, would need to sort of somehow coalesce and do 5 5 think?" the work that Kik is doing? 6 THE WITNESS: There are many blockchain 6 Q Did Kik use the analysis CoinFund provided? 7 7 projects today that are focused on allowing for A I'm sure we did. Like, I remember there 8 8 was a report. I don't remember reading the report. swapping Ethereum tokens to other blockchains where 9 9 But I definitely remember different people referencing they're more scalable. Ethereum itself is working on 10 its own scalability solutions. 10 it in different ways at different times. 11 MR. MITCHELL: Ethereum -- does Ethereum Q What did they say? 11 12 A I -- like, to me, the punch line of the 12 have a process for voting where Ethereum holders can 13 report was this was a good idea. That's sort of where 13 vote and sort of control sort of the blockchain 14 14 technology or other sort of fundamental questions? I stopped paying attention. 15 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 15 Q So I just want to make sure I'm 16 16 MR. MITCHELL: So how are people doing understanding that. From your perspective, it was to 17 that -- how are people addressing those problems? 17 make sure there was a green light showing that 18 18 THE WITNESS: What's the question? How are validated the idea from a market perspective? 19 developers working on Ethereum? 19 A Yes. 20 20 MR. MITCHELL: You were saying that people Q Okay. And that would also help you in -- I 21 are working on Ethereum and trying to improve the 21 don't want to say demonstration effect, but that would 22 22 throughput or improve the ability to run a commercial help you to persuade maybe reluctant or skeptical 23 23 business on it. How are they doing that if -stakeholders in Kik, the company, that they should 24 THE WITNESS: I do not know the details, 24 proceed? 25 but I assume they are looking at the open source 25 A Correct.

Page 238 Page 240 1 Q Including board members? 1 Q Okay. Do you have any idea what you --2 Correct. 2 what -- did you mean that? 3 3 Okay. A I think what I meant by that is there's A That was one piece of ammunition for why 4 4 people who sell equities. There's people who help 5 this was a good idea. 5 people sell equities. The brand name firm of the 6 Q Okay. What did you think about hiring 6 people who help people sell equities is Goldman Sachs. 7 7 them? There are people who sell cryptocurrencies. There are 8 8 A What did I think about hiring them? I people who help people sell cryptocurrencies. 9 don't recall having any specific thoughts. But my 9 And the brand name for them today in this 10 10 general state of mind, I think, at the time is anything very new industry is CoinFund. 11 that helps demonstrate from an independent third-party 11 Q Sure. That they were, in this space, a point of view, that they agree with me, that this is a good brand name for helping people sell tokens? 12 12 13 good idea. It can only be helpful. 13 A Correct. 14 Q Did you ever have reason to question the 14 Q Okay. 15 quality of their work? 15 MR. MITCHELL: To the extent that CoinFund 16 A I think maybe the question is, like, 16 did a survey, at any point, did anyone at Kik ask them 17 quality of their work. I think different people then 17 to sort of redo it or fix the survey or anything, raise 18 18 and now have their own interpretations and problems with it and make people redo to it? 19 19 understanding of what crypto was. So in terms of THE WITNESS: I wasn't that involved, so 20 quality of the work, it's not like this is like a 20 not -- I wasn't that involved in the survey, so not my 21 scientific experiment where there's only one truth. 21 recollection. 22 This is different people interpreting how 22 MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik hire anyone 23 they look at what this crypto thing is and is going to 23 other than CoinFund to do research about the market or 24 become. So quality of work, I thought they were smart 24 the potential market for tokens? 25 enough people. I thought they had interesting 2.5 THE WITNESS: Not that I can recall. Page 241 Page 239 1 1 BY MR. LEASURE: perspectives. I don't recall agreeing with all of 2 their perspectives. I don't have any recollection of 2 Q We see -- I don't need to walk through 3 any specific disagreement. 3 document by document. But we see references, right 4 4 But, like, you know, quality of work, it's after CoinFund started doing its work, to a working 5 5 not like these guys are building a car. It's like session meeting CoinFund may have had in New York in 6 they're trying to explain what they think is this 6 February of last year. The document suggests that Kik 7 fundamentally revolutionary new technology is and is 7 may have presented sort of its overall business to 8 8 CoinFund. 9 9 A Uh-huh. Q That's fair. I can appreciate that. And I appreciate there's a lot of uncertainty at the time 10 10 Q Does any of that ring a bell for you? 11 11 about the market and how Kik would approach the market, A It does not. 12 12 right? Q Okay. Do you remember meeting in person 13 13 A Uh-huh. with people from CoinFund at any point? 14 Q So let me take out quality of the work. 14 A I definitely met people from CoinFund in 15 15 Did you ever have reason to question their competence? person. 16 16 Q Like Jake Brukhman? 17 Q Okay. How about to extent they did market 17 A Yep. 18 analysis or survey? Did you ever have reason to 18 Q His name rings a bell? 19 question the integrity of the results they provided? 19 A Yep. 20 A Nothing jumps to mind specifically. 20 Q Okay. Do you know -- in connection with 21 21 Q Did you ever -- did you ever call or the analysis or research they were doing, do you recall 22 consider CoinFund to be the Goldman Sachs of ICOs? 2.2 meeting with them? 23 A I didn't recall it until last week. But as 23 A I think we met with them for lots of things 24 of last week, I saw an e-mail where I did say that, 24 over time. I don't recall specifically meeting with 25 25 yes. him about the research.

Page 242 Page 244 1 Q Okay. I'm going to show you what I 1 things, see if it jogs any recollection. 2 2 understand to be the research. A Sure. 3 3 A Okay. Q Page 19, that has Bates stamp 7709. It 4 4 Q Just walk you through a bit of it. I'm begins with: "CoinFund Crypto Investor Survey." I'll 5 5 just read the first sentence. "Methodology. Working showing you a document that's previously been marked as 6 Exhibit 39. Take a moment. Take a look at it. I'll 6 together with the Kik team, CoinFund conducted a public 7 identify it for the record as a multi-page document 7 and open-sourced survey in the blockchain research and 8 that appears to have the title "CoinFund Token 8 investment community, targeting the set of people who 9 9 Integration Research and Proposed Economics." It has a are knowledgeable and experienced in the issues surrounding blockchain-based decentralized crowd date March 21st, 2017, and a Bates stamp CoinFund 7691. 10 10 11 A Uh-huh. 11 funding and known as crypto investors." 12 12 Q You won't be surprised to know my first Let me pause there. Did you have anything 13 question is: What is this document? 13 to do with this survey? 14 A This document appears to be a research 14 A Not to my recollection. 15 15 report generated by CoinFund for Kik. Q What do you know about this survey, if 16 Q Did you read this document at the time? 16 anything? 17 A I don't recall reading this document at the 17 A My best recollection is Erin worked with 18 18 time. CoinFund to try to get as much data as possible to 19 Q Okay. Just curious. Why not? Because 19 validate that this was a good idea. you're busy? Because this didn't interest you? Some 20 Q And this was a -- that's Erin Clift working 20 other reason? Why didn't you read this? 21 21 with CoinFund? 22 A Because at the time, there was a lot going 22 A Correct. 23 on at the company at the time. It was a very stressful 23 Q And who was -- who was being targeted by 24 time. And from my point of view, the purpose of this 24 the survey, if you know? 25 25 document was to convince people that this was a good A I assume -- I do not know, but I assume Page 243 Page 245 idea -- to help them understand why this was a good people who are buying other cryptocurrencies and were 1 1 idea. I didn't need to understand further why this was 2 involved in the crypto industry. 2 3 a good idea. A summary of, "Yep, Ted, CoinFund also 3 Q Okay. Did you ever see results that came 4 4 thinks this is a good idea," was sufficient for me for out of this survey? 5 5 what I needed. A I don't remember specifically seeing any 6 Q Did you get that summary? 6 results. I don't remember specifically reading this. 7 7 A I don't recall getting that summary. Q Sure. 8 A But I do know that things were pulled out 8 Q Do you suspect you did at some point? 9 9 of this now and then to help tell the narrative to A I don't recall somebody saying, "Hey, the 10 CoinFund research suggests that this is not a good 10 other people who had to understand what we were doing 11 11 and why it was a good idea. 12 Q You might recall that if --12 Q Okay. Did you ever have reason to question 13 A I think I would recall that, yes. 13 the methodology of the survey? 14 14 A Honestly, I really wasn't paying attention Q Okay. So extrapolating from what you're 15 saying, you may not have read through this because you 15 to the survey at all. 16 were busy and because you had heard in some form that 16 Q I don't want to keep reading it. 17 they were green lighting the concept? 17 A Like, there was a survey. It's not a bad idea. Check. Okay. Cool. Onto the next thing. 18 A Green lighting, I'm not sure --18 19 19 Q Moving on. Q Let me change that term. 20 20 A Moving on. Because I had been waiting for 21 Q That they weren't telling you this was a --21 this moment for seven or eight years, whatever it was 2.2 22 at the time, and I was no longer -- I could see it they weren't telling Kik this was a terrible idea, that 23 23 rolling. I knew it was going to go. And now it was Kik should not proceed? 24 A Correct. 24 make it happen before the company runs out of money. 25 Q Okay. Let me just point you to one or two 25 This is my dream. I want to go for it.

Page 246 Page 248 1 We're going to go for it here. This is going to be a 1 O Projects. 2 win-win-win. It's going to be amazing. But if we go 2 A Yeah. 3 3 bankrupt, none of it is going to matter. Q Okay. Fine. And so you weren't concerned 4 4 MR. MITCHELL: Purely as a practical about whether there would be demand or coming from 5 question. Did anyone else at Kik ever come to you and 5 whom. You knew it would be there? 6 raise concerns about CoinFund's survey or its work or 6 A Yeah. 7 say, "Look, we shouldn't" -- "they're saying things we 7 Q Okay. Got it. Okay. Let me point you to 8 8 one item here, page 25. That's Bates stamp 7715. It just shouldn't trust"? 9 THE WITNESS: Not the my knowledge. Not to 9 says, "User Versus Investor Interest." 10 10 A Okay. my recollection. 11 BY MR. LEASURE: 11 Q And there is: "In this survey, we 12 12 Q Let me just -- I hear everything you're interviewed crypto investors and expected that most 13 13 saying about what you were doing at the time and what respondents would be interested in the investment side 14 this survey did and didn't mean to you at the time. 14 of the token proposition." And it has a breakdown of 15 A Yeah. 15 some data. 16 16 Just to push you to bit and see what you'd Do you see that? 17 17 A Ido. say. 18 18 Q Did you review this data at the time? A Sure. 19 19 A I don't recall reviewing this data at Q You were preparing to sell tokens at this 20 time, right? 20 the time. 21 21 A We were preparing to launch a Q Okay. Do you recall anyone bringing this cryptocurrency and sell some of that cryptocurrency, 22 22 data to your attention at the time? 23 23 A I do not recall anybody bringing it to my yes. 24 Q Yeah. Sure. Okay. And CoinFund was 24 attention at the time, but they might have. I don't 25 25 surveying what people who might buy the thing your recall that. Page 247 Page 249 1 1 company was selling would be interested in? Q Okay. So I take it from that -- do you 2 A I'm honestly not sure. Like, it sounds 2 recall anyone questioning this particular aspect of the 3 roughly like it could be true. But, again, I'm not 3 CoinFund survey? 4 4 sure of the specifics. A I don't recall. 5 5 Q Did Coin -- do you know if anyone --Q And a general question. I'm curious, then. 6 If I were leading a company that was preparing to sell 6 CoinFund or anyone else on behalf of Kik ever ran a 7 7 survey on this topic, why -- what people might be any product, I'd think I'd want market research. 8 8 A Maybe that's the difference between you interested in, in terms of a coin? 9 and me. 9 A I don't recall. 10 Q I hear you. But it sounds like that 10 Q Okay. So you don't know any other data 11 11 just -- why wasn't that of interest to you? that might be out there? 12 12 A Because I knew it was a good idea. I A No. Like, not that I recall. Like, maybe 13 didn't need somebody else to validate for me that it 13 there was at the time. Maybe we talked about it. 14 14 was a good idea. Like, nothing sticks out in my mind. 15 15 Q And you didn't need to know about the types Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you. 16 of people who might be buying this? 16 Thank you. I'm not going to go document by document, 17 A No. Like, I knew that if other people were 17 but there seems -- some of the documents seem to refer 18 18 buying these other cryptocurrencies we talked about, to after CoinFund presented -- gave this research to 19 and those things, from my point of view, had nothing 19 Kik, that there might have been some sort of a meeting 20 there and were, in many cases, run by people who had no 20 within Kik to discuss CoinFund's survey and the 21 21 idea what this was or what was going on, that what we 22 were doing was many, many, many times better than that. 2.2 Does any of that ring a bell for you? 23 23 Q Okay. So you knew that there was demand A I'm sure there was a meeting to discuss 24 out there even for what you saw as inferior product? 24 results. That was the purpose of the report, was to be 25 25 A Inferior projects, yeah. a tool for Erin to use to convince herself, and then

	Page 250		Page 252
1	convince others and explain to others why this was a	1	contains an e-mail we've already looked at.
2	good idea. So if there wasn't a meeting, then it	2	A Yeah.
3	probably would have been not a very effective tool.	3	Q Your dumbed-down summary to the leadership
4	So I'm sure there was a meeting. But I	4	team, correct?
5	can't recall one specifically.	5	A I didn't mean that to be offensive. I hope
6	Q You don't know if you participated or not?	6	it wasn't taken as offensive at the time.
7	A I would assume I would have participated,	7	Q Okay. And then it appears that Hayeon and
8	but I cannot recall specifically.	8	Tanner are somehow working with that or some of that on
9	Q Okay. See if there's okay. Let me show	9	some deck or slides?
10	you a document previously marked as Exhibit 108.	10	A I'm not sure. Like, maybe it would be
11	A Why do you say those were previously	11	helpful for me just to read it. Like, I have no idea
12	marked, out of curiosity? It's no longer marked that?	12	what this e-mail is.
13	Q No. It says in days past, it was marked	13	Q Go ahead. Go ahead.
14	that.	14	A So maybe I should just read it. Okay.
15	A But now it's marked something else or	15	Q Okay. You've read through it.
16	anyways	16	Any additional context you can give me to
17	Q No, it's a great question.	17	what your employees are doing here?
18	MR. GIBBS: We can explain that to you.	18	A I don't know for sure, but my guess would
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.	19	be they're saying, "Okay. Ted has been explaining this
20	(Discussion off the record.)	20	to the leadership team and the board. We're now
21	BY MR. LEASURE:	21	getting to the point where everybody is bought in
22	Q This is a multi-page document with an	22	enough that we should go for this. And so now we need
23	attachment.	23	to explain it to the rest of the employees."
24	A Okay.	24	And so what this looks like is an initial
25	Q It's Bates stamped KIK 17481, and the	25	first draft outline for what that presentation could
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		inst draft outline for what that presentation could
	Page 251		Page 253
1	e-mail chain ends March 28th, 2017. And I'll represent	1	be.
2	to you I don't think you are I don't I am not	2	Q That's what I thought it might be. There's
3	sure, but I don't think you're at least on the last	3	a reference to a sit-down deck. I think that's what
4	e-mail in this chain.	4	the attachment is called here.
5	A Okay.	5	A Uh-huh.
6	Q I don't know if you are on this e-mail or	6	
	not.		Q Would that be a draft based on your
7		7	Q Would that be a draft based on your understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft
7 8	A It doesn't look like it.	7 8	· ·
	A It doesn't look like it.  Q Do you recognize it?		understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft
8		8	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?
8 9	Q Do you recognize it?	8 9	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.
8 9 10	<ul><li>Q Do you recognize it?</li><li>A I do not.</li></ul>	8 9 10	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case?
8 9 10 11	<ul><li>Q Do you recognize it?</li><li>A I do not.</li><li>Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first</li></ul>	8 9 10 11	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case?  A Yep.
8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q Do you recognize it?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case?  A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to
8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q Do you recognize it?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case?  A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it
8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Do you recognize it? A I do not. Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp and Hayeon Kim are talking about?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case?  A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it into draft, into slides?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Do you recognize it? A I do not. Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp and Hayeon Kim are talking about? A I'm not sure. I don't recall ever having	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case?  A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it into draft, into slides?  A Turn it into something that can be
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Do you recognize it? A I do not. Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp and Hayeon Kim are talking about? A I'm not sure. I don't recall ever having seen this e-mail before. Obviously, I've seen the part	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case?  A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it into draft, into slides?  A Turn it into something that can be explained that the rest of the employees can
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Do you recognize it? A I do not. Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp and Hayeon Kim are talking about? A I'm not sure. I don't recall ever having seen this e-mail before. Obviously, I've seen the part lower down, which is, I think, the e-mail we looked at	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case? A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it into draft, into slides?  A Turn it into something that can be explained that the rest of the employees can understand.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Do you recognize it? A I do not. Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp and Hayeon Kim are talking about? A I'm not sure. I don't recall ever having seen this e-mail before. Obviously, I've seen the part lower down, which is, I think, the e-mail we looked at earlier that I sent to the leadership team. But beyond	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case? A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it into draft, into slides?  A Turn it into something that can be explained that the rest of the employees can understand.  Q Got it. So let me point you to that draft.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Do you recognize it? A I do not. Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp and Hayeon Kim are talking about? A I'm not sure. I don't recall ever having seen this e-mail before. Obviously, I've seen the part lower down, which is, I think, the e-mail we looked at earlier that I sent to the leadership team. But beyond that, I don't think I've ever seen any of this before.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case?  A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it into draft, into slides?  A Turn it into something that can be explained that the rest of the employees can understand.  Q Got it. So let me point you to that draft.  A Okay.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Do you recognize it? A I do not. Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp and Hayeon Kim are talking about? A I'm not sure. I don't recall ever having seen this e-mail before. Obviously, I've seen the part lower down, which is, I think, the e-mail we looked at earlier that I sent to the leadership team. But beyond that, I don't think I've ever seen any of this before. So I'm happy to read through it and give you my	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case? A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it into draft, into slides?  A Turn it into something that can be explained that the rest of the employees can understand.  Q Got it. So let me point you to that draft. A Okay.  Q It begins with "financing update"
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Do you recognize it? A I do not. Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp and Hayeon Kim are talking about? A I'm not sure. I don't recall ever having seen this e-mail before. Obviously, I've seen the part lower down, which is, I think, the e-mail we looked at earlier that I sent to the leadership team. But beyond that, I don't think I've ever seen any of this before. So I'm happy to read through it and give you my thoughts. But let me know what you think.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case? A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it into draft, into slides?  A Turn it into something that can be explained that the rest of the employees can understand.  Q Got it. So let me point you to that draft. A Okay. Q It begins with "financing update" A Yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Do you recognize it? A I do not. Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp and Hayeon Kim are talking about? A I'm not sure. I don't recall ever having seen this e-mail before. Obviously, I've seen the part lower down, which is, I think, the e-mail we looked at earlier that I sent to the leadership team. But beyond that, I don't think I've ever seen any of this before. So I'm happy to read through it and give you my thoughts. But let me know what you think. Q No. Let me try to just break it down to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case? A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it into draft, into slides?  A Turn it into something that can be explained that the rest of the employees can understand.  Q Got it. So let me point you to that draft. A Okay. Q It begins with "financing update" A Yes. Q with two guys in sunglasses.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Do you recognize it? A I do not. Q Okay. Reading through it, I guess my first question is: Just from what's in the e-mail, are you able to give context to what it looks like Tanner Philp and Hayeon Kim are talking about? A I'm not sure. I don't recall ever having seen this e-mail before. Obviously, I've seen the part lower down, which is, I think, the e-mail we looked at earlier that I sent to the leadership team. But beyond that, I don't think I've ever seen any of this before. So I'm happy to read through it and give you my thoughts. But let me know what you think. Q No. Let me try to just break it down to pieces.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understanding of Kik's processes, would that be a draft presentation for a sit-down with Kik employees?  A Yep.  Q About the crypto project in this case? A Yep.  Q Okay. So this is your employees trying to take your high level summary of the project and turn it into draft, into slides?  A Turn it into something that can be explained that the rest of the employees can understand.  Q Got it. So let me point you to that draft. A Okay.  Q It begins with "financing update" A Yes. Q with two guys in sunglasses. Do you see that?

Page 254 Page 256 1 at the sit-down at or around this time regarding the 1 A Yep. 2 2 Q Do you remember presenting topics -- those crypto project? 3 3 A I don't recall specifically. But there topics or topics like that at a sit-down? 4 4 was -- at some point in there, yes, we introduced this A I think so, yeah. 5 to the company at a sit-down. 5 Q Okay. Let me ask just a few things that we 6 Q And do these slides look like what was 6 see in here in this draft presentation, to see if it 7 7 presented to the company in a sit-down or do they not? either jogs a bell or you can explain it. 8 8 A They look like they could be, yeah. I A Sure. 9 don't -- I can't say for sure. I'm not sure of the 9 Q 17495, "What is a cryptocurrency?" 10 10 difference between this first draft and what was A Okay. 11 ultimately presented. But this looks like a first 11 Q There's reference to Fort Knox. I take it 12 draft created by Tanner and Hayeon, or maybe Hayeon. 12 that's Kik's own holdings of the cryptocurrency it 13 MR. MITCHELL: Super practical question. 13 creates? 14 If you wanted to go back and, you know --14 A Yeah. I think maybe some context here, 15 just at the company and say, "Oh, can I get the slides 15 like --16 that we used for that sit-down?" Does somebody keep 16 Q Yeah. 17 17 them, is there somebody who would be able to find, A -- you know, here, the name Kin, for 18 like. "These are the slides we used for this board 18 example, didn't exist. The idea that Kik Points --19 meeting or this sit-down," or whatever? 19 like, at this time, it was let's put Kik Points on the 2.0 THE WITNESS: Probably. 20 blockchain, and that was it. We were still discovering 21 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Who? Who would keep 21 what this thing was, how it would work. When you make 22 those? 22 a decision to go all in on something, your sort of 23 THE WITNESS: Probably a woman named Angela 23 understanding of it rapidly starts to evolve. 24 Watkins. 24 You know, for example, you see a million 25 25 BY MR. LEASURE: coins. So, yeah, Fort Knox is just trying to use an Page 255 Page 257 1 analogy for how this works. Q Are they -- for a sit-down with the company 1 2 2 employees, are slides typically sent out in advance to Q There seems to be an analogy going on about 3 employees? 3 an example of a mini society, buy nice wine. 4 4 A No. A Yeah. I don't know what that is. 5 5 Q So this would just be presented on a Q Was that an something going on -- was that 6 6 an analogy being discussed at the time? screen? 7 7 A Yeah. We created Kin just so you could buy A Yes. 8 8 nice wine. That's really the idea. I don't know what Q Okay. So it sounds like the woman you 9 9 that's referring to. mentioned might be the best source for figuring out if 10 there is a --10 Q Fair enough. Doesn't ring a bell. Let me 11 A Yeah. I think if you wanted specific 11 point you a few pages forward to 17497. 12 slides, we could probably get you the slides and say 12 A Yep. Q "Why would investors invest?" 13 these were the ones we're pretty sure were presented. 13 14 14 Q Okay. That's fair enough. Let me point A Yep. 15 you to this draft at least and see if it jogs any 15 Q I think the slide at least has a concept 16 memory about a presentation or a sit-down meeting. 16 we've been discussing. Fixed supply of coins, demand 17 Pointing to 17494, there is three questions. 17 increases, value of a coin increases, right? A 17494? 18 18 A Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 19 19 Q I think we had seen earlier you -- I think Q You got it. 20 A The Bates number? 20 in the e-mail in here, you referred to that as a value 21 Q You got it. 21 proposition? 2.2 2.2 A I am not sure a value proposition is the A Okay. 23 23 right word. I would say this is the fundamentals Q Three questions: What is a cryptocurrency? 24 Why would investors invest? And what does this do for 24 economically of how cryptocurrencies work. 25 us? 25 Q Fair enough. Okay.

Page 258 Page 260 1 MR. MITCHELL: Leaving aside the specific 1 about this idea, he's like, "Wow. That's a crazy idea. 2 slide. Is this a concept that you discussed with 2 That just might work. But, I don't know, I think 3 3 the -- you know, at the sit-down to educate the full crypto is too early." My recollection is he said, "If 4 4 you want to talk to somebody, you should talk to company? 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Trying to explain how 5 William because I know he is doing a lot in the 6 cryptocurrencies as a business model works. 6 cryptocurrency space." 7 7 BY MR. LEASURE: MR. MITCHELL: Is this sort of at the time 8 when you were first talking to Mr. Wilson about the 8 Q Last thing. There's a voiceover, the 9 9 Domino's Pizza example. 10 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. A Yeah. I don't know what that is. 11 O That was not some theme or idea that was 11 MR. MITCHELL: So is this before you talked 12 12 to the other Kik executives? circulating around Kik that you recall? THE WITNESS: To the best of my 13 A No, I don't recall that. 13 14 Q All right. Let's move on. I'll take that 14 recollection, yes. 15 back from you. Thank you, sir. I'll go through this 15 BY MR. LEASURE: 16 16 quickly because I think I'm hearing from you that while Q So what happened next? Did you talk with 17 you were pleased with the results of the CoinFund 17 William over time? 18 survey, it wasn't something that took up much of your 18 A I can't recall. Like, obviously, William 19 19 is a board member of the Foundation today. I can't attention or time. 20 Is that a fair summary? 20 recall exactly how that transition went from when he 21 21 A Yes. wasn't really involved at all to where he's now a board 22 Q Okay. There's some reference in the 22 member of the Foundation. I can't recall the specifics 23 documents to Peter Heinke maybe asking additional 23 of that transition. 24 questions of CoinFund and getting answers from them. 24 Q What did he do, if anything, for Kik in 25 Does any of that ring a bell for you? 25 connection with preparing for and then doing the token Page 259 Page 261 A I don't recall that. 1 1 sale? 2 A The only thing I can recall off the top of 2 Q How about presenting the CoinFund survey 3 and analysis to Kik's board? Did that happen? 3 my head is Fred asked me to speak with William after I 4 4 spoke with Fred to see if he -- and I don't know. I'm A I'm sure pieces of it were used, but I 5 5 guessing. Like, I think Fred was himself looking for don't recall anything specifically. 6 Q Do you remember the board discussing the 6 some validation that this was a good idea. 7 7 CoinFund analysis? Q I understand that. Other than talking to 8 8 A I'm not sure if the board -- I don't recall him in those initial stages, was he -- for example, was 9 9 anything specifically. I'm not sure if, you know, the he a consultant for Kik in connection with the token 10 board -- like, "Let's go through the CoinFund 10 sale? A He might have been. I can't recall. 11 analysis." You know, I know, like, I was relying on, 11 12 again, in this very tough time, getting Erin to give 12 Q Did Kik pay him? 13 A I can't recall. 13 her independent view of this as an option to the board. 14 14 MR. MITCHELL: Who would handle consulting So in her presentation, I'm sure she 15 probably referenced the study, but I can't recall 15 agreements like that? 16 anything specifically. 16 THE WITNESS: Peter, typically. 17 Q Okay. Moving -- well, let me pause here. 17 BY MR. LEASURE: I want to talk briefly about a person who's 18 18 Q You don't know if he was paid or not? 19 come up already named William Mougayar, 19 A I can't recall exactly. I know -- because, 20 like, he got paid -- got paid, is getting paid for 2.0 M-o-u-g-a-y-a-r. We may talk about him later in 21 connection with the Foundation. 21 being a board member of the Foundation. I can't 22 22 remember the exacts around that either. I know there's A Sure. 23 been discussions of compensation. I can't remember 23 Q But prior to the public sale, what did he 2.4 do for Kik, if anything? 24 when those started, for what reasons. 25 A My recollection is that after I told Fred 25 Q Okay.

Page 262 Page 264 1 MR. LEASURE: Let's go off the record. 1 important problem, one of those ways was, yes, to buy 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. 2 3 3 The time on the monitor is 4:29 p.m. Q Got it. That's fine. Kik was aware and 4 4 (A brief recess was taken.) you were aware at the time, May of 2017, that there 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 5 were also people out there looking to make money and to 6 record. The time on the video monitor is 4:39 p.m. 6 speculate on token projects? 7 7 BY MR. LEASURE: A I was aware that there were people who were 8 8 Q Back on the record after a brief break doing buys and flips of other cryptocurrencies, yes. 9 during which, Mr. Livingston, did you have any 9 Q Right. There were communities out there. 10 10 substantive conversations about this investigation with There was language they used to talk about their 11 SEC staff? 11 holdings of their different currencies. You were aware 12 A I did not. 12 of that space out there? 13 13 Q Thank you. So there was a white paper for A I was aware of it, but I wasn't really 14 the Kin token offering, correct? 14 involved in it. Like, I was aware of it to the degree 15 A Correct. 15 that TechCrunch would write a post on it. 16 16 Q What's the purpose of a white paper? Q And you would say Kik was not necessarily 17 17 A The purpose -- so lots of different white targeting that community in the white paper? 18 papers have been written for lots of different reasons. 18 A "Targeting" is an interesting word. Like, 19 The purpose, from my point of view, is to explain it 19 we were writing the white paper ultimately for anybody 2.0 vision. 20 who would today or would one day want to become part of 21 21 Q So it's a vision document summarizing -- I the Kin ecosystem. Did we know that what I would call 22 guess we talked all day about your vision. The purpose 22 speculators would read that white paper and get excited 23 was to explain that vision to the public? 23 about the Kin vision, and therefore, maybe go out and 24 24 A Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 25 25 Q Okay. Who's the target audience for the Q Okay. Did Kik do anything to filter out Page 263 Page 265 white paper? 1 1 speculators from the Kin token sale? 2 A Anybody who's interested in Kin. 2 A Like, that's sort of a -- the question 3 Q So it could be people who wanted to 3 doesn't quite make sense. Let me try to explain why. 4 4 participate in the Kin ecosystem? Like, you know, it gets back to the word "speculator." 5 5 A Correct. Like, if I were to ask you a question, I know you get 6 Q It could be -- I think you had mentioned 6 to ask the questions, like, would you consider Kik a 7 7 that there were, however you want to define it, speculator on the Kin token? 8 8 speculators or people looking to make money in this Q Maybe you should keep going with your 9 9 market, they could also read the white paper, too? answer. 10 A They could read it, but I'm not sure they 10 A I didn't think I got to ask questions. But were the target. They were not the target. The target 11 11 if I did -- the fundamentals of a cryptocurrency are 12 was to explain to anybody who saw the problems we did 12 there's a new asset. There's only so much of it. So 13 with where the consumer space was going, for them to 13 the more demand there is for it, the more valuable it 14 say, "Wow" -- I'll watch my language -- "Wow, this 14 becomes. That is true for everybody who owns Kin. 15 could actually work. I want to be part of this." 15 That's true for every developer, every user, and every 16 That was the purpose, from my point of 16 what we might call speculator. That's just how 17 view, of the white paper. 17 cryptocurrencies work. 18 Q So Kik wanted people who were enthusiastic 18 Q Understood. And you've been very clear 19 about the project, wanted to participate in the 19 about that, the fundamental economics today. 20 20 ecosystem, to read the white paper, and ultimately 21 consider buying Kin, right? 21 Q I'm not married or even dating the term 22 A I think we wanted people to buy into the "speculator." I can use any term you want. But 22 23 idea of the project and the vision behind it. And then 23 24 from there, a way that they could participate and be 24 A So the reason I asked the question is, 25 part of it and be part of the solution to this very 25 "filter out speculators," by that definition, Kik would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

2.4

25

Page 266

- be a speculator. Everybody would be a speculator. So that's what I'm saying "filter out" -- like, that's why
- 3 the question doesn't make sense to me.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

- Q Well, would you agree that there were certain people out there in the markets who were interested in buying tokens not for the purpose of using those tokens, participating in whatever economy was created around those tokens, but in just holding them and then flipping them?
  - A There were those people, yes.
- Q Okay. We can call those group X speculators, whatever term we want.

# My question is: Did Kik do anything to keep those people away from the Kin token sale?

A No. Like, maybe the reason I'm struggling with it is because the reason anybody should adopt any cryptocurrency is because they believe there will be more demand over time. We'll all win together. We'll operate in our selfish best interest. But in doing so, we'll help everybody else.

So everybody in the ecosystem should view Kin or any other cryptocurrency for the demand that will get created and for the value that will go from there. The degree to which any one of those individuals will participate in individually

Page 268

Like, you know, maybe I'll tell a bunch of friends about it and that will be my contribution, all the way up to maybe I'll go all in with this billion dollar

consumer app and try to use that to drive demand.

We're all contributing in our own ways on a different spectrum. So maybe that's why I have a problem with it.

# Q No. Okay. I appreciate that. Did Kik -in advance of the token sale, did Kik ask people where they intended to fall on that spectrum?

A I'm not sure. Because, like, this idea of a spectrum is not a concept actually I have thought of before right now --

## O Oh.

A -- in terms of how to describe it. So when you say, "Did you ask people about it," like, it's a -again, we're creating ways to understand this fundamentally new thing. So I would say, no, I didn't ask because it's not even a question I would know to ask, like, through that framework.

Q Let's leave aside the spectrum framework.

Q Did Kik ask people how they intended to participate, if at all, in the ecosystem during the Kin token sale?

Page 267

- 1 independently driving the demand of the overall 2 cryptocurrency is a spectrum and not a black or white
- 3
- 4 Q Oh, okay. I think I got you. And I
- 5 think -- correct me if I'm wrong. I think the problem
- 6 you're having, not only with my use of the term
- 7 "speculator," but of trying to divide the world between
- 8 speculators and others is the following: Everyone who
  - correctly understands the economics of
- 10 cryptocurrencies, as you've defined it, has an
- 11 expectation or some belief that if the project is
- 12 successful, limited supply means value will rise?
- 13 A I think everybody -- I'm not sure everybody 14 understands this actually, which is why I'm hesitating.
- 15 Actually, I think many people do not understand this.
- 16 But what they should understand is that if a lot of
- 17 people buy a cryptocurrency, then that means a lot of
- 18 people will have an incentive to drive demand for that
- 19 cryptocurrency because that's what will make the
- 20 cryptocurrency they own more valuable.
- 21 But in doing so, they'll make it more
- 22 valuable for everybody else, also. So maybe I -- I
- 23 thought you understood -- why I'm having a problem with
- 24 it is because it presents it as a binary, black or
- 25 white. Where what I'm saying is it's a spectrum.

Page 269

- 1 A Based on something I saw last week, I know 2 that we did. But I wasn't aware of that before. I 3 don't recall being aware of that before last week.
- 4 Q Okay. All right. What did Kik do?

A My recollection from last week is that we asked -- CoinFund, I believe, asked potential people why they would buy Kin.

## Q The survey or something else?

A I can't recall. It was either the survey or something else. I can't recall.

# Q And by "the survey," I mean the survey we just looked as an exhibit.

A It might have been that survey. It was last week, but I still cannot recall.

# Q Okay.

A I just remember that there was some data of, like, you know, would you build something, would you use it, or would you not do those things.

# Q Understood.

2.0 A I can't recall who asked it, where they 21 asked it. But I do recall --

# Q Okay.

23 A -- that it was asked from last week.

> Q Okay. How about -- there were ultimately 10,000 purchasers in the public sale, right?

Page 272 Page 270 A Uh-huh. 1 Paper," from Ted Livingston to Fred Wilson. It has 2 2 Bates stamp USV 8428. Q As part of the public sale process, were 3 3 people asked, "What are you going to do with your Kin?" A Uh-huh. 4 4 Q My first question is: What is this A I don't believe so, no. 5 5 document? Q Okay. Was there any -- did Kik test 6 whether people were -- the actual people who's 6 A I think this is my draft of the 7 7 registered to buy in the public sale, did Kik test introduction to the white paper. 8 8 whether they were interested in flipping the tokens, in Q Like, the vision piece you were talking 9 about before? 9 holding them for a while, anything like that? 10 A Uh-huh. 10 A I don't recall asking. I don't recall us 11 asking that. 11 Q And you wrote -- you sent this to Fred 12 Wilson; is that right? 12 Q That's fine. Okay. Going back to the 13 A Correct 13 white paper. How was it written? 14 Q Okay. You wrote -- to begin the e-mail, 14 A What does that mean? Sorry. What do you 15 you wrote, "Just rewrote the white paper on the plane 15 mean by that? 16 home from Tel Aviv." Let me pause there. 16 Q Tell me about the process of writing the 17 Is that -- you're referencing the Tel Aviv. 17 white paper. 18 Is that the meeting in Israel you were referring to? 18 A I believe, to the best of my recollection, 19 A I believe I'm referring to that meeting in 19 the process was we got together in Israel to discuss 20 Tel Aviv we just spoke about, yes. Kin. I think we had the name at that time, but I'm not 20 21 Q And that was a bunch of people from Kik 21 sure, and all of the different aspects of the project, 22 getting together to talk about the Kin project? 22 and the vision, how it would be set up so that we could 23 A Correct. 23 create this white paper. 24 Q And the white paper? 24 My recollection is we spent time talking 25 A Correct. 25 about different areas, like the technology, the Reward Page 271 Page 273 Engine. But from my point of view, I spent the bulk of 1 Q Okay. I'm sure some words changed, but 1 2 my time focused on, like, the vision pieces of it. So 2 does this -- just eyeballing this, does this appear to 3 I know, like, we met in the Summit. We hashed out 3 be similar to the vision statement that appeared in the 4 4 different pieces of it, exactly how we wanted to final white paper? 5 5 propose it working. A I was actually looking at that. 6 And once that was done, the teams went and 6 O Yeah. 7 worked on that. But their exact specific sections, I 7 A I'm not sure how much of this actually 8 recall, to the best of my knowledge, not reviewing that 8 ended up being used in the end. Like, I know the 9 9 three-step process was. But when I reread the white before the white paper was published. 10 Q So it sounds like in terms of the process, 10 paper recently, the introduction did not match my you wrote or helped draft the vision piece of it? 11 11 recollection of what -- of this. 12 A Uh-huh. 12 Q Okay. So this is -- I'll represent to you, 13 I think this is about two weeks before the white paper 13 Q And then other sections were written by 14 14 was published in late May. other people? 15 15 A Correct. A Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 16 Q Okay. Let me show you a document. I'm 16 Q Can you walk -- do you know -- I don't need 17 marking this currently as Exhibit 198. 17 you to tell me what words changed. But do you recall 18 (SEC Exhibit No. 198 was marked for 18 thematically what may have changed from the drafting to 19 identification.) 19 the final? 20 BY MR. LEASURE: 20 A When I reread the white paper last week, I 21 Q Take a look at Exhibit 198 and let me know 21 don't believe it included this first section. 22 2.2 Q Okay. when you're ready. I'll identify it for the record. 23 A Uh-huh. 23 A Or at least not like this. And I couldn't 24 Q It's a multi-page printout of an e-mail, 24 recall why. I remember being surprised by that last 25 dated May 12th, 2017, with the subject "Latest White 25 week when I saw that, just because I feel like I wrote

Page 274

this, and then, okay -- because the introduction that was being proposed, I didn't like because I didn't think it was, like, accurately representing it.

So we started with that. Then we had this.

And then we ended up with something else that I didn't quite remember. So I can't remember how we went from this to that part.

# Q That's fine. Who ultimately approved the language of the white paper, if anyone?

A I think it was probably -- I think Erin
Clift was taking the lead on putting together all the
pieces of the white paper, working with everybody who
had to do that. That's the best of my recollection.

I'm sure she would have shared it with the rest of the leadership team, including me, saying, "Any issues, let me know," would be my guess. But in terms of the entire white paper itself, I don't recall reading it front to back and approving it.

Q Okay. Do you know if someone else did?

20 A I hope Erin did, but I don't know.

Q Fair enough. Keep that in front of you. I might refer back to it when we go through the actual white paper.

A Okay. I'd be interested to see the white paper.

Page 276

Q At the time, this is May of 2017, did Kik have in-house expertise on a par with the people from CoinFund in the crypto space?

expert. And Jake was one of those experts.

A I think this is -- you know, the answer to this is they would know more about some things and less about other things. You know, I think we -- I had a very good handle about how to make a cryptocurrency work and why it could be a new model.

But, you know, when people would look at me, it's like, "Well, you haven't been in blockchain." Actually, I had been, but just not in the classic way of, you know, writing Bitcoin software. But in the logic way, I've actually been involved since 2011.

I think what Jake brought with -- well, he had been involved in launching other cryptocurrencies. So if we're going to do that, we should find somebody who's done that. And, Ted, you haven't done that. That's totally true. Good point. Well, this Jake guy has and his company, for lack of a better word, has.

So it's like, great, he was a nice guy, smart guy. So that seemed like a good idea.

Q Another person I see in e-mails around this time, Hamish McKenzie, H-a-m-i-s-h.

A Yeah.

Page 275

Q How about CoinFund? We talked about them before. Did they play -- did people from CoinFund play a role in drafting the white paper?

A They did.

Q Who?

A I believe Jake and Alex were in Tel Aviv when we were working through all of this. I'm not sure if anybody else from CoinFund was there or not. I can't remember.

Q I can just tell you without having to go through all the e-mails, there's some suggestion that you -- it seemed to me from the e-mails you might have leaned pretty heavily on Jake to sort of help write the parts or all of the white paper.

Is that consistent with your recollection?

16 A Yes

Q Why is that?

A I think we were looking for outside experts at this time. Not just in crypto, but broadly. We had sort of lost confidence in our own ability to do things. And so, you know -- which, I think was unfounded when I look back. But at the time, it was a tough time. And so I think Jake was an expert.

And if we're going to get into crypto and we don't know that much about it, let's go find an

Page 277

Q Who is that?

A He was our communications guy. He used to be a journalist. And then we -- him and I connected many years. He always sort of understood me maybe. So we eventually ended up hiring him to help with our communications. And then he also helped me sort of edit my -- any blog post I wrote, stuff like that.

Q Was he a Kik employee in 2017?

9 A I believe he was. At some point, he left 10 around there. I'm not -- I can't remember when exactly 11 that was.

Q Sometime in 2017?

A I can't remember when he left.

Q Was he still working for Kik after he left?

A Well, he doesn't work for Kik today.

O Okav.

A There was a period where I would send him things as a friend to help me edit it. I'm not sure if we were paying him at that point or not. So that's why I can't -- there was a transition, and I can't recall exactly, you know, what that looked like at each stage.

Q How was the white paper published?

A My understanding, it was put into a PDF and posted to the website.

Q So Kik created a website for it?

Page 278 Page 280 1 A Yen. 1 A Okay. 2 Q Okay. And I take it anyone with internet 2 Q Looking at this, you were very helpful in 3 3 access could read the white paper? explaining it. It seemed like this was a sectional 4 4 A Yes. document that you didn't write every section or review 5 Q Okay. There wasn't some sign-in or --5 every section. Just eyeballing the table of contents, 6 there wasn't a sign-in process to read the white paper? 6 if you can help me understand where you were involved 7 7 in particularly. 8 8 Q Okay. And it wasn't blocked anywhere or A I would say in terms of the writing, I was 9 9 anything like that? not very involved beyond Section 1. 10 A Blocked anywhere? Not to my knowledge. 10 Q Great. 11 Q Okay. Did Kik track anything, to your 11 A I was involved in some of the specific 12 knowledge, about who accessed that link and read the 12 information in some of the sections. Like, for 13 13 example, what should the allocations be. That's white paper? 14 A I'm sure we got some data on at least how 14 something I jumped in. I was involved in that 15 many people were going there, how many people were 15 discussion. But how exactly we explained that, I can't 16 collecting it. But what the specific data was, I can't 16 recall being, like, sort of in the weeds on writing 17 recall. 17 18 18 Q Fair enough. Let's go to the white paper. Q Got it. You weren't pen to paper, helping 19 A All right. Now I'm interested to compare 19 to write some of the following sections after 20 this to that. 20 Section 1? 21 21 Q Here is your chance. I'm showing you a A Correct. 22 document marked in days gone by as Exhibit 2. Take a 22 Q Okay. Let's go to --23 look at it and let me know when you're ready. I'll 23 A That's my best recollection. 24 identify it for the record as a multi-page document 24 Q That's fine. Let's go to Section 1, which 25 entitled "Kin: A Decentralized Ecosystem of Digital 25 I think starts on page 5. Do you see that? Page 279 Page 281 A Okay. Services for Daily Life. Position Paper." Exhibit 2 1 1 2 2 is Bates stamped KIK 1. Q And you helped -- or you were the -- were 3 What is Exhibit 2? 3 you the primary author of this section? 4 4 A This is -- I can't recall exactly. Like, A It looks like this was the publicly-posted 5 5 this is actually closer, it seems, to what I sent Fred. white paper outlining the vision for Kin. 6 Q I'll represent to you my understanding is 6 So maybe this is more where my stuff got used, but I 7 7 it was the final published white paper. cannot recall. 8 8 Q Yeah. Structurally looks kind of similar 9 9 Q Okay. Going to page 2 of it, "Motivation." to what you sent to Fred --10 A Okay. 10 A Yeah. 11 Q Is this section yours? 11 Q -- it's certainly not the same words, 12 A I can't recall specifically. It was a 12 right? 13 A Uh-huh. I can't recall. 13 while ago. 14 14 Q Sure. Q Let me go through a few of the words here 15 A But what I seem to recall, now that I think 15 in the white paper. 16 16 A Sure. about it, is Jake wrote a first version. I wrote a new 17 version because I didn't like his version. I think 17 Under Section 1, "Kik's Vision," on page 5. 18 A Okay. 18 that's what I sent to Fred. That's when I say what I 19 19 rewrote, I didn't rewrote the white paper, I rewrote Q Third paragraph begins, "To foster an 20 the intro, the proposed intro to the white paper. 20 ecosystem that is not only open and decentralized but 21 And then it looks like this maybe was a 21 also more compelling than its traditional counterpart, 22 22 combination of the two. I can't remember exactly how Kik must create a series of new products, services, and 23 23 it evolved over time to ultimately end up here. systems." Let me pause there. 2.4 Q Fair enough. Let me point you to the table 24 Was that true? 25 of contents on page 4, KIK 4. 25 A I think that's not true.

Page 282 Page 284 1 O What is not true about it? 1 help to establish Kin's fundamental value? 2 A "Must." To accelerate the ecosystem, Kik 2 A That's a hypothetical. Let's say for a 3 3 should, out of its own best interest, create a series second we create a new token on the Ethereum 4 4 of new products, services, and systems. At the time, blockchain, as many, many other tokens have done. 5 that might have felt true. Again, I can't recall who 5 Those tokens exist on that blockchain, and many of them 6 wrote this. But in hindsight, it's certainly not 6 don't have somebody helping establish their fundamental 7 7 definitively true. values. So I would say no. I would say the market 8 8 Q I'm sorry. You -- I may have missed what alone could establish the fundamental value. 9 you thought would be accurate. Instead of saying, "Kik 9 Q Do you know why here in the preceding 10 10 must create a series of new products, services, and sentence that we read -- the language read, "Kik must" 11 systems," you think that's inaccurate and it should 11 do the following things? 12 12 A My hypothesis, which is all I can give you, correctly read what? 13 A Kik should out of its own selfish interest 13 is that cryptocurrencies at this time, as they are 14 14 today, are very new and misunderstood. So maybe at the 15 Q Do you remember any discussion about this 15 time, it felt like it was a must. But today, looking 16 16 language that I take it you currently think is back, for sure, it was not a must. 17 inaccurate? 17 Q So if someone -- and you don't recall 18 18 discussing this terminology about whether Kik must do A I don't remember any discussion. And I 19 19 think, you know -- this is, again, a year and a half such and such tasks with anyone? 20 ago, and it's easy to think about today what 2.0 A I don't recall that. 21 21 cryptocurrency is. Everybody is talking about them. Q Do you recall discussing it with anyone who 22 But a year and a half ago even, people weren't. Like, 22 purchased Kin? 23 today, we sort of talked about this earlier, if 23 A I don't recall that. 24 everybody on Kik was on a plane and the plane crashed, 24 Q Do you recall ever correcting these 25 Kik would not be able to create these things. 25 statements to anyone who purchased Kin? Page 283 Page 285 1 And yet, it is still possible that the 1 A I don't recall discussing it with anybody 2 ecosystem could not only continue -- would only 2 such that I would need to correct it. 3 continue to run, that for sure is true, but that it 3 Q So sitting here today, has Kik ever 4 4 would actually grow and get more and more interesting corrected these statements? 5 5 because of all of these incentivized people acting in A Not to my knowledge. This is the first 6 their own best interest. 6 time I recall reading this statement. 7 Q Let me point you to a few lines down to 7 Q Let me push you a bit on that because I another use of the word "must." 8 8 think you earlier testified, correct me if I'm wrong, 9 A Uh-huh. 9 that this was the section you worked on. 10 Q The last sentence in the paragraph 10 A That's not what I said. I said I worked on beginning "A new digital currency," reads, "To 11 11 this section that I sent to Fred. It looks like parts 12 establish an economy around the new currency, Kik must 12 of this section weren't actually included in the intro, help to establish Kin's fundamental value." 13 which is what surprised me. But it looks like maybe 13 14 14 Do you see that? some of them were included in this section. 15 A Where, I'm sorry? 15 So when I say "worked on," I think some of 16 Q Sorry. Under "A new digital currency" --16 the stuff I wrote was used inside of it. But I don't 17 A Yep. 17 recall that I was the one who actually wrote this 18 Q -- the last --18 section. 19 A Yes, I see it now. 19 Q Do you know who did? 20 Q I'm sorry. 2.0 A I cannot recall. 21 Okay. 21 Q Did you read it before it was finally 2.2 Q Is that sentence also false? 22 published? 23 A I think to establish an economy around a 23 A I do not recall. 24 new currency, Kik must create the new currency. 24 MR. MURTHA: Do you think it's likely that 25 Q You don't think it's true, that Kik must 25 you did?

	Page 286		Page 288
1	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?	1	app. And in doing so, that would create demand.
2	MR. MURTHA: Do you think it's likely that	2	Although, I'm not sure that they would understand that.
3	you read it before it was published?	3	Probably what they for most people, I
4	THE WITNESS: I can say for I am	4	can't you know, I'm speculating here, is that they
5	99 percent sure that there are parts of this that I	5	understood that Kik would integrate Kin into its chat
6	certainly did not read.	6	арр.
7	BY MR. LEASURE:	7	MR. MITCHELL: Can I flip you to page 6?
8	Q And it's your testimony that parts of this	8	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
9	document are inaccurate?	9	MR. MITCHELL: Do you see that it says, "A
10	A I think, in hindsight, they are inaccurate.	10	foundation for open governance"?
11	But at the time, maybe they felt true to the person who	11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	wrote this, based on the understanding they had at the	12	MR. MITCHELL: And underneath it, it says,
13	time.	13	"Over time, Kik will work to structure and form the Kin
14	Q Okay.	14	Foundation."
15	MR. MITCHELL: In this in the white	15	Do you see that?
16	paper and if you could look down at the last	16	THE WITNESS: Yep.
17	paragraph on page 5.	17	MR. MITCHELL: Was that true?
18	THE WITNESS: Yep.	18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	MR. MITCHELL: Do you see the line that	19	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. And you see below
20	says, "Kik will build fundamental value for the new	20	that, it says, "As the founding member the Kin
21	currency by integrating Kin into its chat app"?	21	Foundation, Kik will be the ecosystem's champion and
22	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	22	will showcase Kin to its millions of users."
23	MR. MITCHELL: So the white paper told	23	THE WITNESS: Yep. I see that.
24	readers that Kik would build fundamental value for the	24	MR. MITCHELL: Was that true?
25	new currency by integrating Kin into the chat app?	25	THE WITNESS: If I were to rewrite this, I
	Page 287		Page 289
1	Page 287 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	1	Page 289 would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step
1 2		1 2	
	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.		would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step
2	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?	2	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation
2	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. MITCHELL: Is that true? THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what	2 3	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new	2 3 4	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.	2 3 4 5	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people	2 3 4 5 6	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.  Like, I think cryptocurrencies are one of the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.  But if we skip to the comma there, I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.  Like, I think cryptocurrencies are one of the most misunderstood things on the planet. So maybe like,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.  But if we skip to the comma there, I would say: Kik will be the ecosystem's first app to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.  Like, I think cryptocurrencies are one of the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.  But if we skip to the comma there, I would say: Kik will be the ecosystem's first app to integrate Kin and will showcase Kin to its millions of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.  Like, I think cryptocurrencies are one of the most misunderstood things on the planet. So maybe like, my question would be, like, understand what part of that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.  But if we skip to the comma there, I would say: Kik will be the ecosystem's first app to integrate Kin and will showcase Kin to its millions of users.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.  Like, I think cryptocurrencies are one of the most misunderstood things on the planet. So maybe like, my question would be, like, understand what part of that?  MR. MITCHELL: But you just said that do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.  But if we skip to the comma there, I would say: Kik will be the ecosystem's first app to integrate Kin and will showcase Kin to its millions of users.  MR. MITCHELL: That's what you wish it had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.  Like, I think cryptocurrencies are one of the most misunderstood things on the planet. So maybe like, my question would be, like, understand what part of that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.  But if we skip to the comma there, I would say: Kik will be the ecosystem's first app to integrate Kin and will showcase Kin to its millions of users.  MR. MITCHELL: That's what you wish it had said?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.  Like, I think cryptocurrencies are one of the most misunderstood things on the planet. So maybe like, my question would be, like, understand what part of that?  MR. MITCHELL: But you just said that do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.  But if we skip to the comma there, I would say: Kik will be the ecosystem's first app to integrate Kin and will showcase Kin to its millions of users.  MR. MITCHELL: That's what you wish it had said?  THE WITNESS: Well, like, what is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.  Like, I think cryptocurrencies are one of the most misunderstood things on the planet. So maybe like, my question would be, like, understand what part of that?  MR. MITCHELL: But you just said that do you think people who read the white paper had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.  But if we skip to the comma there, I would say: Kik will be the ecosystem's first app to integrate Kin and will showcase Kin to its millions of users.  MR. MITCHELL: That's what you wish it had said?  THE WITNESS: Well, like, what is ecosystem's champion? I don't recall reading this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.  Like, I think cryptocurrencies are one of the most misunderstood things on the planet. So maybe like, my question would be, like, understand what part of that?  MR. MITCHELL: But you just said that do you think people who read the white paper had understood that Kik intended to create demand for Kin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.  But if we skip to the comma there, I would say: Kik will be the ecosystem's first app to integrate Kin and will showcase Kin to its millions of users.  MR. MITCHELL: That's what you wish it had said?  THE WITNESS: Well, like, what is ecosystem's champion? I don't recall reading this. I'm pretty sure I didn't write this. So Kik will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: Is that true?  THE WITNESS: If I could reword this, what I would say is: Kik will create demand for the new currency by integrating Kin into its chat app.  MR. MITCHELL: Who so you wanted people who read the white paper to understand that?  THE WITNESS: That we were going to integrate Kin into our chat app, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And create demand for it?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And do you think that people understood that?  THE WITNESS: Like you know, do I think that people understand that today? I'm not sure.  Like, I think cryptocurrencies are one of the most misunderstood things on the planet. So maybe like, my question would be, like, understand what part of that?  MR. MITCHELL: But you just said that do you think people who read the white paper had understood that Kik intended to create demand for Kin tokens?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would say: As the first app like, maybe let me step back for a second. Like, what the Kin Foundation exactly is and what exactly it's responsible for has been an evolving thing. Like, at this point, I would say the Kin Foundation is responsible for the productive spending, if you will, of its 6 trillion Kin that sits in its reserves.  So as a founding member of the Kin Foundation, I think that felt more important at the time than what it feels like today. But I think what so that's why I'm taking it "as the founding member of the Kin Foundation," like, that's sort of one topic.  But if we skip to the comma there, I would say: Kik will be the ecosystem's first app to integrate Kin and will showcase Kin to its millions of users.  MR. MITCHELL: That's what you wish it had said?  THE WITNESS: Well, like, what is ecosystem's champion? I don't recall reading this. I'm pretty sure I didn't write this. So Kik will be the ecosystem champion. Like, Kik will be the first

Page 290 Page 292 1 paper, Kik wrote, "Kik will be the ecosystem's 1 A Uh-huh. 2 2 Q And my question -- which I should ask a champion." 3 3 THE WITNESS: Correct. Somebody wrote question -- is: Do you recall any reason -- well, let 4 4 that, who worked for Kik. me ask it in a better way. 5 5 Can you recall any discussion about whether MR. MITCHELL: Can I just flip you to the 6 part on page 9. 6 to include the concept of the value of Kin rising in 7 7 MR. LEASURE: Can I -the future in this white paper? 8 8 MR. MITCHELL: Go for it. A I don't recall any specific discussion. 9 BY MR. LEASURE: 9 Q To the extent that concept isn't in here, 10 10 Q One other thing because I think we're still the fundamental economics of crypto that we've been 11 in the summary section. Can I point you back to 11 discussing all day, to the extent that concept isn't in Exhibit 198? That is this one. 12 12 the white paper, do you know why? 13 13 A I don't know why because the value of an A Yep. 14 14 Q Let me point you to your draft section on asset is a function of supply and demand. The supply 15 the second page, Step 2, "Giving Kin value." 15 is fixed. So if demand goes up, the price will go up. 16 If millions of people are using it inside Kik, that 16 A Uh-huh. 17 Q And under that, there's four paragraphs. 17 demand, just that one piece that is contributing to 18 The last paragraph begins, "By using Kin to 18 overall demand, will obviously be higher than if nobody 19 inside Kik is using it. 19 drive an economy inside Kik, the value of the Kin 20 cryptocurrency will rise." 20 Q Sure. 21 21 A That's just economics fundamental. Do you see that? 22 A Uh-huh. I don't see that yet, but it 22 Q Did anyone ever suggest that that concept 23 sounds familiar. So let me take that back. Where? 23 not be included in the white paper? 24 Sorry. Which paragraph? 24 A I can't recall any specific discussions 25 25 Q Under Step 2, "Giving Kin value." around it. Like, you heard me say, like, probably it's Page 291 Page 293 A Yeah. Which paragraph? included. That would have been my best guess. 1 1 2 2 Q Last paragraph, "By using Kin" --Q Okay. Let me keep you on the white paper. 3 3 A Okay. 4 4 Q -- "to drive an economy inside Kik, the Q Not your draft, but the final. 5 5 value of the Kin cryptocurrency will rise." 6 A Yep. 6 Q You got it. Page 8, Bates stamp KIK 8. 7 Q Do you see that? 7 A Uh-huh. 8 8 Q First, do you have any idea who would have 9 9 written this section beginning "The Kin O Was that true? cryptocurrency"? 10 A All things being true, if in two worlds, 10 one -- in one world, people are using Kin inside Kik, A I would have guesses, but I'd just be 11 11 in other world, people are not using Kin inside Kik, in 12 12 speculating. the world where people are using Kin inside Kik, the 13 13 Q That's fine. 14 value of the current -- of the cryptocurrency will be 14 A Do you want me to speculate? 15 more valuable. 15 Q Sure. 16 Q Right. And that's based on the fundamental 16 A Actually, I don't even have guesses. I 17 crypto economics we've been discussing today? 17 thought this was going to be a section on the 18 A Correct. 18 technology. But it's actually just a one-page -- so I 19 Q I'll represent to you -- well, let me ask 19 don't even have a speculation on who this would be. 20 20 vou first: Was that sentence or that sentiment behind O That's fine. The last sentence under 21 it included in the white paper? 21 "Purpose and Characterization" reads, "Like other 22 A Probably. 22 cryptocurrencies, units of Kin are fungible and 23 23 Q I'll represent to you I couldn't find the transferable, and they will be expected to trade on 24 concept of the value of the Kin cryptocurrency rising 24 cryptocurrency exchanges." 25 in the final white paper. 25 Do you see that?

Page 294 Page 296 1 A Yep. THE WITNESS: Yeah. 2 Q What does it mean that units of Kin are 2 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. 3 3 fungible and transferable? THE WITNESS: That's the fundamentals of 4 4 A I think fungible versus non-fungible -- I'm how this works. 5 not for sure on the definition, but if I were to guess, 5 BY MR. LEASURE: 6 it means like, you know, one is the same as the other, 6 Q Let me point you to page 11. 7 7 you know. This Kin and that Kin are equally good Kin. A Okay. 8 8 Q "Kin integration into Kik." And transferable means that, you know, I 9 can send it to you, you can send it to me. Anybody can 9 Do you see that section? 10 10 transfer it from one person to another. A Yep. 11 Q It all -- the sentence goes on to say "and 11 Q This talks about the prior experiment with 12 they will be expected to trade on cryptocurrency 12 Kik Points. Do you see that? 13 exchanges." 13 A I see that. 14 A Uh-huh. 14 Q And do you see that further on in this 15 Q I guess, first, were they expected to trade 15 paragraph, it says, "Kik's team has a proven track 16 16 on cryptocurrency exchanges? record in developing products for the mass market, and 17 A They were expected to trade in 17 Kik looks forward to introducing cryptocurrency into 18 18 the product process"? cryptocurrency exchanges, yes. 19 19 Q How come? Where did that expectation come A I see that. 20 from? 20 Q So would you agree that in part, this white 21 21 A I think it's for two reasons. One is paper talks about Kik's prior experience and its track 22 because the fundamentals of how blockchain technology 22 record and its -- and the like? 23 works, we would be technically unable to stop them from 23 A I would agree. 24 being traded on cryptocurrency exchanges. So, like, 24 Q Why does it do that? 25 that alone, like, it's expected because it's impossible 25 A I don't know. I don't recall having ever Page 297 Page 295 to stop, and somebody is going to put it on exchanges. read this. So I can't speak to why somebody would put 1 1 2 But more than that -- or in addition to 2 this in there. If I had to speculate, it's -- I think 3 that, should I say, for this to work as a vision, 3 they're trying to say Kik is going to integrate Kin 4 4 developers would need to be able to sell cryptocurrency into Kik, and you have no reason, based on their past, 5 5 they received, Kin they received to -- as revenue to to believe that this won't happen. 6 fund their operations. Consumers would need to be able 6 Q Okay. Stepping back from these particular 7 to buy it. Brands and advertisers would be able --7 words. Do you think part of the pitch for the Kin 8 need to be able to buy it. 8 offering was that Kik had a proven track record? 9 The only place they can buy it reasonably 9 A I think it was one of the things that made 10 is on a cryptocurrency exchange, or sell it. 10 Kin exciting for sure, in the way that it would have MR. LEASURE: Go ahead. 11 11 been very exciting if we said instead of Kin, you know, 12 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: I was just going to 12 we're going to be integrating Ether into Kik. That 13 say: And, in fact, Kik had a very -- well, the idea 13 would be very exciting for the Ether community for 14 was Kik would have a very large position in Kin, and it 14 sure. 15 would need to have it exchanged somewhere where it 15 Q Sure. The existence of Kik as a successful 16 could turn it into fiat? 16 messaging app was part of the --17 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's how the whole 17 A Yeah. And adopting a specific 18 model worked --18 cryptocurrency is -- for sure, it would be exciting for 19 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Right. 19 any cryptocurrency. 20 THE WITNESS: -- is, you know, sell smaller 20 Q Right. And do you think part of the pitch and smaller pieces of the asset for more and more 21 21 was that people at Kik know how to do this? 22 money. And that's your economic reward for helping to 22 A Part of the pitch -- I'm just thinking 23 create this ecosystem. 23 about your word "pitch." I think part of the reality 2.4 MR. MITCHELL: Sell it for more as the 24 is that Kik knew how to build consumer products for 25 value went up? 25 sure.

Page 298 Page 300 use cases." 1 Q And do you think that's something that 1 2 could have appealed to people considering whether to 2 A Is that what's happened since then? 3 3 participate in the token sale? Q Yeah. 4 4 A For sure. Kik is going to own 3 trillion A Yes. 5 of these. We're going to be very interested operating 5 MR. LEASURE: Let's go off the record. 6 in our self-interest to maximize demand. That's going 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends Disc Number 3. 7 7 to make their Kin very valuable. That's going to make Going off the record. The time is now 5:25 p.m. 8 all Kin very valuable. Yeah, that's exciting. 8 (A brief recess was taken.) 9 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins Disc Q Got it. Kik is not two guys in a basement. 10 10 It is -- it has a management record and Number 4. We are back on the record. 11 experience, right? 11 The time is now 5:27 p.m. 12 A Right. 12 BY MR. LEASURE: 13 13 Q Back on the record after a brief break, Q Okay. 14 MR. MITCHELL: Later on in the white paper, 14 Mr. Livingston. Did you have any substantive 15 there's, like, pictures of the executives. Is that --15 conversations about this investigation with SEC staff? 16 is this sort of the profiles of the executives there to 16 A I did not. 17 show Kik's gravitas? 17 Q Very good. Let me point you to page 21 of 18 THE WITNESS: Gravitas? 18 this document, KIK 21. It begins, "Kin token 19 MR. MITCHELL: Abilities? 19 issuance," "Kin token allocations." 20 THE WITNESS: I think -- I'm not sure 20 Do you see that? 21 21 why -- I'm sorry. I'm not sure why they included A Yep. 22 pictures. I assume it's to show that these are indeed 22 Q This says, "In order to finance the Kin 23 real people. 23 roadmap, Kik will conduct a token distribution event 24 MR. MITCHELL: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't 24 that will offer for sale 1 trillion tokens out of a 25 25 mean -- pictures. There's a paragraph bio for Kik 10 trillion unit total supply of Kin." Page 301 Page 299 executives, and then core team, and lead advisors. Did you see that? 1 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think this is 2 A Uh-huh. 3 like -- these are all the people who are birthing Kin 3 Q Was that the plan at the time? into the world. And that's exciting. 4 4 A Was that the plan at the time? I think BY MR. LEASURE: 5 5 what the plan was, is in order to accelerate the Kin 6 Q There's -- starting on page 12 and going 6 roadmap, Kik will conduct a token distribution event 7 forward, there's a description of perspective use cases 7 that will offer for sale 1 trillion units out of 8 8 10 trillion unit supply. here. 9 A Yep. 9 Q So the change you made there was instead of saying "to finance the Kin roadmap," you said "to 10 O Where did these come from? 10 A I don't recall exactly. I don't recall 11 11 accelerate"? being involved in this section. I recall actually 12 A Correct. 12 13 13 thinking that some of the use cases weren't that Q So "finance" was inaccurate? 14 interesting. But, you know, a lot of different people 14 A Yeah. 15 were contributing, and different people with different 15 Q Okay. 16 sort of views of how this should all work. And if they 16 A Again, if the day after the TDE, everybody 17 thought it was interesting -- you know, I wasn't 17 on Kik got on a plane, the plane crashed, and everybody 18 writing this section. I don't remember specifically 18 died, and all access to the Kin went with it, you'd 19 reviewing it. 19 have 10,000 people who'd be very incentivized to make 20 20 Q And it says that, "Kik will introduce a 21 number of marketplace use cases that will prompt 21 Q Okay. Other than that term, the plan was 22 22 consumers and brands to transact with Kin." to offer for sale -- as of May, the plan was to have a 23 23 Did that -- is that what's happened? 10 trillion total supply of Kin, correct? 24 A Which part? 24 A Uh-huh. Correct. 25 Q "Kik will introduce a number of marketplace 25 Q And have 1 trillion units sold?

Page 304 Page 302 1 A Yen. 1 Q At the time, was there a written plan in 2 Q Okay. Further down in this document, it 2 place for how to spend the proceeds of the token 3 3 says, "Another 3 trillion Kin will be pre-allocated to distribution event? 4 Kik as a founding member." 4 A There probably was, like, a best guess. I 5 5 don't remember a specific plan, though. A Yep. 6 That was the plan as of May? 6 Q Did you ever see one? 7 7 A Sounds familiar, but I can't recall 8 8 Q And then the remaining 6 trillion of Kin specifically. 9 would be the purview of the Kin Foundation? 9 Q Okay. Subsequently, has there been a 10 10 A Yep. written plan you can recall for --11 Q And that was the plan as of May? 11 A Well, we definitely had plans for, like --12 A I believe so, yep. 12 of the cash we have, how are we spending it. So 13 Q And numbers-wise, that's actually what's 13 definitely, that would exist. 14 happened, correct? 14 Q And has that ever been pushed out or 15 A I believe so, yep. 15 published to the Kin community? 16 16 A Not to my knowledge. Q Okay. The second sentence here on page 21 17 reads, "The proceeds of the token distribution event 17 Q Okay. 18 will be used to fund Kik operations and to deploy the 18 That wasn't disclosed in advance 19 19 Kin Foundation. A portion of the funds raised in the of -- the plans for how to spend money weren't 20 token distribution will be used to execute upon the 20 disclosed in advance of the token distribution event? 21 A No. roadmap of additional feature development planned for 21 Q Okay. 22 the Kin integration into Kik." 22 23 Did you see that? 23 A Not to my knowledge. 24 24 Q Point you to one other thing. Page 23, 25 Q Was that accurate? 25 "Token Distribution Event." Right at the top, it Page 305 Page 303 A I would say from the revenue we created 1 1 reads, "The Foundation will commence the token 2 selling the 1 trillion Kin, we will spend that money to 2 distribution event once Kik has completed the 3 execute on pieces of the roadmap, or to build out 3 technology upgrade to integrate with Kin and the 4 4 pieces of the roadmap outlined here, and to also fund cryptocurrency can be used functionally within Kik." 5 5 additional feature development planned for the Kin Did you see that? 6 integration inside Kik, yeah. 6 A Yep. 7 7 Q Pause there. "The Foundation will commence Q Okay. 8 8 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: And also just to sort the token distribution event." I just wanted to drill 9 of keep the lights on the messaging side of the 9 down on that phrase. Did the Foundation commence the token 10 business, right? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 11 distribution event? 12 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: This was all sort of 12 A I can't recall. But, you know, who wrote 13 co-mingled in one bank account, the money that came in 13 the smart contract to create Kin? That was Kik 14 from the token distribution event, or maybe a series of 14 employees. 15 bank accounts? But it was to be used for the running 15 Q Okay. 16 of Kik Interactive, correct? 16 MR. MITCHELL: And who decided 17 THE WITNESS: It was -- part of it was to 17 to actually -- you know, to say, "Okay. Let's do it if 18 18 be used for the running of Kik Messenger. we're going to start the token distribution event"? 19 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. 19 THE WITNESS: Like, to actually create Kin, 20 THE WITNESS: Is that what you mean by 20 to sell Kin? MR. MITCHELL: No. In September of 2017, 21 that? 21 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: That's what I mean by 2.2 22 to say, "Okay. We're going live." 23 that. 23 THE WITNESS: Like, that now is the 24 THE WITNESS: Yep. 24 time? 25 BY MR. LEASURE: 25 MR. MITCHELL: Yep.

Page 306 Page 308 1 THE WITNESS: I think that was probably 1 exactly what that would be. We knew what Kik Points 2 2 ultimately my decision. But it was probably, like, a 3 consensus-based decision among the leadership team, 3 We knew millions of people used Kik Points. We 4 4 like, now is the time. knew there was tons of utility to Kik Points. But when 5 MR. MITCHELL: Kik employees? 5 we took all of those different use cases for Kik Points 6 THE WITNESS: Kik employees, yes. 6 and figured out how it could intersect with what the 7 7 state of blockchain technology could actually enable, BY MR. LEASURE: 8 8 Q Did the Kik board ever authorize the token that was something we had to figure out. 9 distribution event? 9 So we knew, like, what everything Kik 10 10 A I believe they did, but I can't recall Points did. But of those, which ones the blockchain 11 11 technology was sort of mature enough to be able to 12 12 support at this time, I don't recall us being clear on. Q Okay. And this says the event will 13 13 happen -- going back to page 23. Q So if I asked you in May of 2017, if I'm --14 14 A Uh-huh. as someone potentially interested in buying Kin, and 15 Q "Will commence the token distribution event 15 say, "Hey, Mr. Livingston, what does that mean, the 16 16 once Kik has completed the technology upgrade to cryptocurrency can be used functionally within Kik," 17 integrate with Kin and the cryptocurrency can be used 17 your answer would have been, "We don't know yet"? 18 functionally within Kik." 18 A My answer would be: These are all of the 19 19 things you could use Kik Points for. These are all of A Uh-huh. 20 Q We're going to turn to this more tomorrow, 20 things we -- if we had kept going with Kik Points, we 21 21 but what was your understanding at the time of what it were thinking about ways -- additional ways you could 22 meant to complete the technology upgrade to integrate 22 use Kik Points. 23 with Kin? 23 But of all those things, which we 24 24 A I can't recall ever having read this can -- which of them can we make with -- work with 25 25 sentence, so I can't obviously recall what it is trying Ethereum blockchain when we do our token distribution Page 307 Page 309 1 1 event, we're not sure yet. to get at. 2 Q Okay. 2 Q Okay. 3 A I could speculate, but I can't recall. 3 MR. MITCHELL: Because we're asking about 4 4 token distribution event, can I just ask a practical Q Do you know -- and so just to -- the other 5 5 phrase in there that I wanted to ask you about, "the question? 6 cryptocurrency can be used functionally within Kik" --6 I asked you earlier about sort of who 7 7 approved the idea. Q -- you don't recall reading this sentence 8 8 But is literally starting the token 9 at the time, correct? 9 distribution event like someone sitting at a computer, 10 A Correct. 10 hitting a button saying, you know, run or go or 11 Q Did you have an understanding that the 11 something like that? 12 token distribution event would happen once the 12 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: I just picture a big cryptocurrency could be used functionally within Kik? 13 13 button. 14 A Yes. 14 MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, exactly. 15 15 Q And what -- at the time, we're in May of MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: That you, like, flip a 16 2017, what did that mean to you? 16 coin to get the push. 17 A What it meant to me is that Kik users and 17 THE WITNESS: I don't think there's a big anybody who owned Kin would be able to get utility from 18 18 button. 19 that Kin within Kik as a broader consumer experience. 19 I think what starts a token distribution event 20 Q And at this time, we'll talk about this 2.0 is you publishing a smart contract to the Ethereum 21 more tomorrow, but were those stickers or was that some 21 blockchain. 2.2 other function you had in mind? 22 And then you telling people, "Hey, the 23 A At this time or at that time? 23 smart contract for the Kin token distribution event can 24 Q May of 2017. 24 be found here at this address." 25 A I think in May of 2017, we weren't sure 25 MR. MITCHELL: And was there -- was that

#### Page 312 Page 310 done? That publishing, that's done by Kik employees? 1 1 because, you know, this -- like, my understanding of THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 2 this document was, like, this is our vision at the 3 3 MR. MITCHELL: And do you know who or what 4 4 group was doing that kind of work? Obviously, this is going to change, as it has 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. I can 5 changed for every other cryptocurrency project. And, 6 speculate, but one of the technical guys. 6 in fact, it's the rate of change which you should be 7 7 MR. MITCHELL: Sure. I mean, more likely excited about. 8 in Israel or in Waterloo? 8 If it's not changing, it means they're 9 THE WITNESS: More likely in Israel. 9 not learning and not adapting. 10 MR. MITCHELL: Israel. Okay. 10 So, you know, it just didn't feel like to 11 BY MR. MITCHELL: 11 me, in hindsight, you know, that this was meant to be 12 Q Last thing on this document. Would you 12 some document in stone. Like, no document in 13 agree that in reviewing this document, you identified 13 technology is. 14 some things that you would testify are inaccurate in 14 MR. LEASURE: Off the record. 15 this document? 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. 16 A I think there are things in this document 16 The time is now 5:38 p.m. 17 that people who wrote this believed to be true at the 17 (Whereupon, at 5:38 p.m., the examination 18 time; but as our understanding of cryptocurrencies has 18 was adjourned.) 19 evolved, not just internally, but as an industry, would 19 20 no longer appear to be true. 20 21 21 Q Okay. 22 And you anticipated my next 22 23 question, which is: Why are there things that are no 23 24 longer true or inaccurate? 24 25 Why do you think that is? 2.5 Page 311 Page 313 A Because it's a new emerging technology, and 1 PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 everybody is doing their best to figure out what 2 3 exactly this is, where exactly it's going. And as I'm 3 In the Matter of: KIK INTERACTIVE 4 4 Witness: sure you guys can relate, it's a complicated thing. Edward "Ted" Livingston 5 5 HO-12869-A And, you know, I don't envy you for that. And so I File Number: 6 think just like for you or for anybody else, it's true 6 Wednesday, November 7, 2018 7 for people who worked at Kik as well. They were sort 7 Location: Washington, D.C. 8 8 of trying to articulate what was their best 9 understanding at the time. 9 This is to certify that I, Christine Boyce, 10 10 Q And that's changed over time? (the undersigned) do hereby certify that the foregoing A Has your understanding of cryptocurrencies 11 11 transcript is a complete, true and accurate transcription 12 changed over time? 12 of all matters contained on the recorded proceedings of 13 13 the investigative testimony. Q That's when I've got to say is I ask the 14 14 questions. 15 15 People's understanding of how 16 16 cryptocurrencies work has changed over time at Kik? 17 A I would say that every single person's 17 (Proofreader's Name) (Date) 18 18 understanding of how cryptocurrencies work has changed 19 19 over time. 20 20 O Okav. MR. MITCHELL: Was there any time between 21 21 22 22 the publishing of the white paper and the token 23 distribution event that anyone revised the white paper 23 24 or corrected statements in it? 24 25 25 THE WITNESS: Not to my recollection

	Page 316		Page 318
1	APPEARANCES:	1	CONTENTS
2	On behalf of the Securities and Exchange Commission:	2	WITNESS: EXAMINATION
3	BRENT MITCHELL, ESQ.	3	Ted Livingston 319
4	JAMES MURTHA, ESQ.	4	Tod 21/mgston
5	JEFF LEASURE, ESQ.	5	EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED
6	STEPHAN SCHLEGELMILCH, ESQ.	6	199 Sit-Down Presentation 321
7	DAVID MENDEL, ESQ.	7	200 Medium Document 343
8	REID MUOIO, ESQ.	8	201 E-mail Chain 360
9	Securities and Exchange Commission	9	202 Communications Strategy 393
10	100 F Street, N.E.	10	203 DVD 411
11	Washington, D.C. 20549	11	204 DVD 427
12	(202)551-4683	12	205 Article 438
13	mitchellb@sec.gov	13	206 Document 553
14		14	207 Kin Foundation Tweet 556
15		15	208 Medium Document 583
16		16	209 Letter 596
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 317		Page 319
1	APPEARANCES(CONT.):	1	PROCEEDINGS
2	,	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. This
3	On behalf of the Witness:	3	begins Disc Number 1, Volume II. We are back on the
4	LUKE CADIGAN, ESQ.	4	record. The time on the video monitor is 9:40 a.m.
5	BRETT DEJARNETTE, ESQ.	5	Whereupon,
6	PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.	6	EDWARD "TED" LIVINGSTON
7	Cooley LLP	7	was re-called as a witness and, having been previously
8	500 Boylston Street	8	duly sworn, was examined and testified further as follows:
9	Boston, Massachusetts 02116	9	BY MR. LEASURE:
10	(617)937-2480	10	Q Back on the record on November 8th, 2018.
11	VENNIETH I ENCH ECO	1 11	
	KENNETH LENCH, ESQ.	11	Mr. Livingston, during the break in
12	Kirkland & Ellis	12	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations
12 13	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.	12 13	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?
12 13 14	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005	12 13 14	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.
12 13 14 15	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.	12 13 14 15	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?
12 13 14 15 16	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202)879-5270	12 13 14 15 16	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?  A I do.
12 13 14 15 16 17	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202)879-5270 Also Present:	12 13 14 15 16 17	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?  A I do.  MR. MITCHELL: Overnight, Brett sent us
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202)879-5270	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?  A I do.  MR. MITCHELL: Overnight, Brett sent us yesterday we talked, do you remember we were talking
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202)879-5270 Also Present:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?  A I do.  MR. MITCHELL: Overnight, Brett sent us yesterday we talked, do you remember we were talking about a sit-down meeting, the company-wide meeting,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202)879-5270 Also Present:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?  A I do.  MR. MITCHELL: Overnight, Brett sent us yesterday we talked, do you remember we were talking about a sit-down meeting, the company-wide meeting, where you talked to sort of everyone about the token
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202)879-5270 Also Present:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?  A I do.  MR. MITCHELL: Overnight, Brett sent us yesterday we talked, do you remember we were talking about a sit-down meeting, the company-wide meeting, where you talked to sort of everyone about the token idea?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202)879-5270 Also Present:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?  A I do.  MR. MITCHELL: Overnight, Brett sent us yesterday we talked, do you remember we were talking about a sit-down meeting, the company-wide meeting, where you talked to sort of everyone about the token idea?  THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202)879-5270 Also Present:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?  A I do.  MR. MITCHELL: Overnight, Brett sent us yesterday we talked, do you remember we were talking about a sit-down meeting, the company-wide meeting, where you talked to sort of everyone about the token idea?  THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: So overnight, Brett sent us
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202)879-5270 Also Present:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?  A I do.  MR. MITCHELL: Overnight, Brett sent us yesterday we talked, do you remember we were talking about a sit-down meeting, the company-wide meeting, where you talked to sort of everyone about the token idea?  THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: So overnight, Brett sent us a link to a document. Can I just ask, the concept of a
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202)879-5270 Also Present:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	testimony, did you have any substantive conversations about this investigation with SEC staff?  A I did not.  Q Do you understand you're still under oath?  A I do.  MR. MITCHELL: Overnight, Brett sent us yesterday we talked, do you remember we were talking about a sit-down meeting, the company-wide meeting, where you talked to sort of everyone about the token idea?  THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.  MR. MITCHELL: So overnight, Brett sent us

#### Page 322 Page 320 1 that work? How did that fit inside Kik's culture? 1 THE WITNESS: That would be my -- I would 2 2 think so, yes. What is a sit-down meeting? 3 3 THE WITNESS: A sit-down is for the most MR. MITCHELL: So at this points in time, 4 4 where were you in terms of -- sort of telling people -part like a regularly occurring meeting with everybody 5 5 say before the meeting -- let me start over. from the company. 6 6 MR. MITCHELL: Regularly, like once a Before the meeting, who knew about the idea 7 7 of potentially doing a -- selling a token? month, once a quarter, or? 8 8 THE WITNESS: It's hard for me to say who THE WITNESS: It's changed over the years. 9 I'm not sure what the cadence was at this point. At 9 exactly would know. But I would -- my best guess would 10 10 be that this meeting is when we would share it with one point, it was every week. At one point, we switched that, I think, to once every month. So I'm 11 11 everybody. And before this meeting, not everybody 12 12 internally would know our plans with crypto or proposed not sure what it was at this point. 13 13 plans with crypto. MR. MITCHELL: As a practical matter, 14 MR. MITCHELL: Earlier yesterday, we talked 14 videoconference -- people in Waterloo would come 15 down --15 sort of about the issue of you feeling like you --16 16 convincing other people and making sure that other THE WITNESS: Yep. 17 17 MR. MITCHELL: -- and people outside people saw this project as a good -- a positive thing 18 18 to do. Do you remember that? Waterloo can see it on video? 19 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Correct. 20 MR. MITCHELL: And what -- how did you --20 MR. MITCHELL: How did this fit into that 21 21 kind of effort? how did you use sit-downs to -- in terms of 22 communicating that? 22 THE WITNESS: I would say that this was --23 THE WITNESS: The purpose of sit-downs is 23 like, this was the first time we were sharing it with 24 24 like just a chance for the whole company to get the entire company is my recollection. And so again, 25 25 together to share news, to share achievements, to share it was a period of very sort of low trust, high stress Page 321 Page 323 information. Sometimes that would come from me. 1 as we were having declining metrics. So we -- my best 1 2 Sometimes that would come from various teams within the 2 recollection -- and we can go through it -- is we 3 company. Sometimes it would come from other people on 3 wanted to do it in a way that was sort of as simple as 4 4 the leadership team. possible for people to understand because it was a 5 MR. MITCHELL: And the document -- let me 5 totally brand-new concept. 6 just -- we'll just mark the next number. 6 My recollection is we didn't want to --7 (SEC Exhibit No. 199 was marked for 7 because we were doing -- trying lots of different 8 8 identification.) things to find a way to compete with these big 9 MR. MITCHELL: We're going to mark as 9 companies. And a lot of them weren't working. So we 10 Exhibit 199 a multi-page document. This is the one we 10 wanted to do this in a way that sort of instilled 11 have. It's KIK\_00119718 to 766. 11 confidence in the team that this was a good option for 12 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 12 MR. MITCHELL: You mean through the 13 MR. MITCHELL: Have you seen this before? 13 14 THE WITNESS: I have. 14 presentation explain the story? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 MR. MITCHELL: What is it? 16 THE WITNESS: I believe this is the 16 MR. MITCHELL: When you made this 17 presentation that was shown at sit-down. 17 presentation -- when you created the presentation, did 18 MR. MITCHELL: And just looking at the 18 you put in the things that you thought would accomplish 19 first page, the slide gets displayed to everybody. And 19 that? 20 the part underneath is just text for the speaker? 20 THE WITNESS: So I didn't create the THE WITNESS: Correct. presentation itself. Usually, the way the process 21 21 22 MR. MITCHELL: And the front of it, it 22 would work is different people would create different 23 says, Sit-down March 30th, 2017. 23 slides. They'd add their own notes to it, what they 24 Do you think that this meeting happened on 24 recommended, how they would explain the slide. But 25 March 30th, 2017? 25 definitely, I would say the goal of this presentation

#### Page 324 Page 326 was to explain the concept in the simplest way possible 1 1 let you know. So I can't recall who wrote this. But I 2 to the degree that we understood it ourselves at the 2 would be pretty sure that I would not have written time that instilled confidence with the team that this 3 3 these notes. 4 4 MR. MITCHELL: It doesn't feel like it's was a good idea. 5 MR. MITCHELL: This document -- the 5 your writing. 6 description is the way you understood it at the time? 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So yeah. I think 7 THE WITNESS: The description? Sorry. 7 these are -- the people who put it together, it 8 Which description? 8 probably is their recommendation for how to speak to 9 MR. MITCHELL: This document describes the 9 10 project as you understood it at the time of the 10 MR. MITCHELL: So then could you go back to 11 meeting? 11 the cryptocurrency slide that ends in 743. 12 THE WITNESS: I would say this presentation 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 13 was trying to share sort of the intersection of our MR. MITCHELL: I think a lot of this sort understanding of what it was and where it was going and 14 14 of summarizes things we talked about yesterday, the 15 why it was a good idea, but also in a way that people 15 page that ends in 744. 16 could understand. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 MR. MITCHELL: So looking on the first 17 MR. MITCHELL: You described -- you sort of 18 page, it seems to break it up into two parts. A part 18 compared the new idea to the Kik Points that people 19 that Eran Ben-Ari presented and a part that you 19 already knew; is that what this slide is doing? 20 presented? 20 THE WITNESS: That's what it looks like, THE WITNESS: That's what it looks like, 21 21 ves. MR. MITCHELL: Then there is -- the next 22 yes. 22 23 MR. MITCHELL: Is that how you remember the 23 slide is pictures of pizza? 24 presentation going? 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 25 THE WITNESS: I don't recall exactly. 25 MR. MITCHELL: Do you remember what you Page 325 Page 327 MR. MITCHELL: Flipping through, are you were communicating and what you said when you were 1 1 2 able to sort of see where the -- sort of where the 2 talking about this one? 3 dividing line is where you took over? 3 THE WITNESS: I think this was a reference 4 THE WITNESS: My guess would be I would 4 to the pizza that was bought for 10,000 Bitcoins. 5 probably open it. I would think that Eran would do the 5 MR. MITCHELL: Oh, yeah. The famous story 6 product section. I'm not sure who would have done the 6 that somebody paid 10,000 Bitcoins for pizza, and now 7 public group section. Could have been Eran, it could 7 everyone loves to calculate what that pizza costs? 8 have been me, could have been somebody else. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. The appreciation of the 9 Community chat, I'm not sure who did that 9 currency. 10 section. Cryptocurrency, I believe I would have 10 MR. MITCHELL: That's making the point that presented this section. But I can't recall exactly. 11 Bitcoin certainly had appreciated since the person 11 12 MR. MITCHELL: The part that starts with 12 bought the pizza? 13 the slide that says Cryptocurrency, and has a number on 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. 14 the bottom right corner that ends in 743? 14 MR. MITCHELL: The next one that's 746. 15 THE WITNESS: Yep. 15 What are you describing here? 16 MR. MITCHELL: Can I just take you to the 16 THE WITNESS: I can't remember for sure. 17 second page. There is a page that has sort of in the 17 My best guess would be, though, that there was an slide the word "capability" and the word "change" and a 18 18 opportunity with the cryptocurrency to align users, lot of other words? 19 19 developers, and creators to all work together. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 MR. MITCHELL: Then the next two slides, 21 MR. MITCHELL: Looking at the notes which look pretty similar -- looks like it's one of 21 underneath it, does this look to you like something you 22 22 those things where you have one slide, and you press 23 -- are those notes that you used, or is that somebody 23 the button and the words "bots platform" gets added? 24 else writing in? 24 THE WITNESS: I think the next slide is THE WITNESS: Let me read it, and I will 25 25 talking about the pillars of the Kik Messenger product.

#### Page 328 Page 330 1 And then how cryptocurrency can underline all of those 1 getting launched. And then some data on a hypothetical 2 pillars and contribute to all of those pillars. 2 for Kik. 3 3 MR. MITCHELL: If you keep going, there is MR. MITCHELL: So the survey you're talking 4 a slide that says, "We can leverage growing investment 4 about, that's the CoinFund survey? 5 trends to support our community initiatives." 5 THE WITNESS: That would be my guess. 6 6 Do you see that? MR. MITCHELL: If I can point you to the 7 7 THE WITNESS: I do. bottom left of that page. It says, "Source, CoinFund 8 8 MR. MITCHELL: What are you talking about crypto investor survey." 9 here? 9 Do you see that? 10 THE WITNESS: I think what I'm talking 10 THE WITNESS: Then, yes, probably that's 11 about here is the growing behavior of people purchasing 11 12 cryptocurrencies. And therefore, people purchasing --12 MR. MITCHELL: On the right, it says, 13 13 "Hypothetical Kik." And then there is words the potential for people to purchase a cryptocurrency 14 that we would launch. And therefore, the potential for 14 underneath. "An established product that tens of 15 this to be a viable business model for us. 15 millions of users integrating a platform token for 16 16 MR. MITCHELL: And the next page. their digital community." 17 17 Yesterday, I think you talked about a quote that you Do you see where I read that? 18 heard about \$100 million? 18 THE WITNESS: I do, yeah. 19 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 19 MR. MITCHELL: That description was sort 20 MR. MITCHELL: Is that trying to do what 20 of, in the survey, was the attempt to sort of come up 21 you -- at the time, you didn't remember exactly what it 21 with the hypothetical Kik token without actually 22 was. So I'm doing what lawyers call refreshing your 22 telling people Kik's name? 23 recollection. 23 THE WITNESS: Correct. That's what it 24 Is this the document that you -- do you 24 looks like. 25 think this is what you were remembering yesterday? 25 MR. MITCHELL: The next page that ends in Page 329 Page 331 1 752. It says, "What will we do with this 1 THE WITNESS: Remembering about what? 2 2 cryptocurrency?" MR. MITCHELL: Sorry. The top says, "There 3 is a community of investors with the same vision." 3 What are you doing -- when you talked about 4 4 this, what were you telling people? So I guess let me start with that. What is 5 5 THE WITNESS: I think what we're trying to that? What did you mean when you put that? 6 THE WITNESS: I don't think I created this 6 do here is to try to simplify and make as concrete as 7 slide. So I can't say exactly. But I think the way I 7 possible the steps to launching a cryptocurrency and 8 8 what that might look like for us. would have explained -- because I think I probably did 9 9 MR. MITCHELL: These steps aren't exactly present this slide -- is we ask people if we were or 10 somebody like us was to launch a new cryptocurrency, 10 what got done in the end, right? 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think this was the 11 how much of it would people buy? And this is some of 12 -- our best understanding at the time when we looked 12 the responses we got. 13 at, you know, the industry at large, and also sort of 13 MR. MITCHELL: And the next slide is more 14 14 our early thoughts about what it might look like if we of that same answer where there is a -- the market data 15 supports this opinion. I don't mean to be confusing. 15 were to launch our own cryptocurrency. 16 Let me just ask it in a different way. 16 MR. MITCHELL: If you flip to the next 17 The next slide says, "Market data supports 17 page, it says, "Next steps for the ICO." THE WITNESS: Yep. 18 18 this opinion." 19 19 MR. MITCHELL: There is a line that says, What's this slide about? 20 THE WITNESS: I think this is pointing to 20 "Announce ICO on May 24th at Token Summit." THE WITNESS: Yeah. 21 what I would guess is other data in that study, we'll 21 22 MR. MITCHELL: By March 30th, 2017, you had 22 call it, just highlighting some of the data from that, 23 23 your eye on making an announcement at that May 24th you know, when the launch of cryptocurrency is being 24 launched at this point. And I think it's just 24 25 25 presenting some data on some of the things that are THE WITNESS: I believe so, yeah. That's

Page 332 Page 334 THE WITNESS: Usually when we don't include 1 what it looks like. 1 BY MR. LEASURE: 2 2 slides it's because they're not additive to what we're 3 3 Q Can I ask: On this slide, this second trying to communicate. It's usually not because --4 4 maybe, you know, we don't quite like the idea, or maybe point says, "Establish GTM strategy"? 5 A Yep. 5 it's articulated wrong, or maybe it's just confusing. 6 Q What's GTM? 6 Like, there is lots of ideas -- or lots of reasons for 7 7 why slides back here wouldn't ultimately end up making A Go-to-market. 8 8 Q So there was some thinking about a it to the main presentation. 9 marketing strategy for a token sale? 9 MR. MITCHELL: Can you flip to the 10 10 page 763. It says at the top right, "Is it A Go-to-market is not a marketing strategy. 11 Go-to-market -- marketing is a piece of it. A 11 cryptocurrency?" 12 go-to-market strategy is how you get a technology 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MR. MITCHELL: Do you have any memory of adopted broadly; how you design the technology, how you 13 14 design the product, how you communicate that product, 14 why this wasn't included? 15 how you get people to adopt it, all of the pieces to 15 THE WITNESS: I can't remember why. If I 16 16 get people to adopt a new technology. were to give my best guess, it probably felt probably 17 17 Q Got it. I apologize. Marketing is just a too in the weeds for the first introduction. 18 piece of that, there is other things going on? 18 MR. MITCHELL: Anything in there -- looking 19 A Yeah. 19 at it now, is there anything that looks sort of not 20 Q Okay. 20 correct to you? 21 MR. MITCHELL: The page that ends in 755, 21 THE WITNESS: In the slide itself, 763? 22 two pages on, just has some people sitting on their 22 MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. Something you didn't 23 phones and the word "questions." 23 thing was true at the time? 24 Do you remember getting questions? 24 THE WITNESS: So I think the first two 25 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. I don't 25 bullets seem accurate to me. The third bullet is not Page 333 Page 335 remember specifically or even generally if there were 1 really a factual statement. Maybe it was part of a 1 2 2 narrative to try to explain what we were doing. or were not questions. 3 MR. MITCHELL: The slides after the 3 MR. MITCHELL: The name "Kik coin," you 4 4 questions, do you think you also did these as well -mean? 5 5 THE WITNESS: Well, this slide wasn't spoke? 6 THE WITNESS: I don't think I -- I'm pretty 6 ultimately used, right? So this would be a working 7 sure I would not have created these. But I think if I 7 slide. So you asked me what I think is true on this. 8 8 The first two seem to be just basic industry had to guess, these were included in the back as sort 9 9 definitions, the first two bullets. The third bullet, of working slides that weren't ultimately presented. 10 MR. MITCHELL: What makes you think that? 10 you know, I think is, like, probably part of a THE WITNESS: It's just typically how we 11 narrative. But on this slide, it doesn't really make 11 12 sense. It doesn't connect to anything. So this was 12 produce slide decks is, you know, we have lots of 13 probably just a working slide. So when you asked me is 13 different slides, and we keep them at that back. We 14 14 it true, the third one, you know, it's not really a don't delete them in case we change our mind and we 15 15 want to bring them into the presentation. You know, true-or-false question. 16 nobody sees this actual deck itself. They just see it 16 MR. MITCHELL: Sure. As a matter of slide 17 being presented. So if -- we can stop it wherever we 17 construction, though, it doesn't seem to go here is 18 18 what you're saying? 19 MR. MITCHELL: So looking at the slides, do 19 THE WITNESS: It just seems like, what is a 20 you think that there were -- do you remember why these 20 cryptocurrency -- like, 1 and 2 are what a 21 cryptocurrency is. The third is something else. 21 weren't presented, any of them? 22 MR. MITCHELL: The next slide at 764, it 22 THE WITNESS: I don't remember why. 23 23 says, "Team structure." I've just never seen something MR. MITCHELL: Do you remember seeing, 2.4 like, a factual error, or, you know, something you 24 like this. What is -- is this -- looking at it now, do 25 don't want to tell people in these? 25 you know what this is? Like, what is this describing?

Page 336 Page 338 1 THE WITNESS: This might have been a 1 but you could also sell it at that point to somebody 2 2 else who wants to use it. working slide. I don't know what this is. This might 3 3 have been a working slide for the section on the MR. MITCHELL: That's part of the economics 4 4 that we discussed yesterday? product process, trying to describe how teams are 5 5 THE WITNESS: Correct. organized. But I'm not sure. 6 MR. MITCHELL: I'll take you to the last 6 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. 7 7 BY MR. LEASURE: page, which is 766. 8 8 Q As of yesterday, we talked about the white THE WITNESS: Yeah. 9 9 MR. MITCHELL: Is there something -- do you paper. 10 10 have any memory of why this wasn't used? A Yep. 11 Do you know whether this was used or not 11 Q Just getting to the public announcement 12 12 used? that we think happened in late May. On top of 13 13 publishing the white paper on a web page, what else did THE WITNESS: Why which? 14 14 MR. MITCHELL: This slide, 766. Do you Kik do to announce the Kin token? 15 know whether it was shown to people? 15 A The announcement itself, I believe, 16 16 happened at Token Summit in New York City. That was an THE WITNESS: I think this looks very 17 17 similar to an earlier slide. announcement on stage. And then we also posted a white 18 MR. MITCHELL: Which slide? 18 paper. That white paper was also posted on a website. 19 19 Outside of that, nothing specific jumps to mind; THE WITNESS: Slide -- Bates number 9750. 20 MR. MITCHELL: Looks a lot like the slide 20 although, I'm sure we did lots of things between then 21 21 and the token sale itself. that has at the top, "There is a community of investors 22 with the same vision"? 22 Q Okay. And we might walk through some of 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 that in a little while. Let's talk about the Token 24 24 MR. MITCHELL: I totally see that. I Summit. That was part and parcel of posting the white 25 25 actually meant to flip you to the next page, which is paper -- in other words, that was part of the raising Page 337 Page 339 766. 1 1 public awareness of a future token sale? 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 A I think that was us trying to stake our 3 MR. MITCHELL: Do you know whether that was 3 claim to those via the articulation and the opportunity 4 4 shown at the meeting? we saw in the vision and our leadership in pursuing 5 THE WITNESS: I don't believe this was 5 that vision. 6 shown at the meeting. But I can't say for sure. 6 Q By "leadership," I take it, you being 7 MR. MITCHELL: Do you remember why? 7 present talking about the vision was showing 8 8 THE WITNESS: I don't remember if it was or leadership? 9 wasn't shown. So I don't remember why hypothetically 9 A I think we wanted to establish that we saw 10 it would or would not be shown. I don't recognize this 10 this vision first. I think we wanted to establish that 11 11 this was an exciting vision, that we saw this vision 12 MR. MITCHELL: Is there anything in that 12 first. And we were staking the claim that we were 13 slide that is factually incorrect? 13 going to go after this vision first. 14 THE WITNESS: I think in the first 14 Q Why Token Summit as opposed to some other 15 15 bullet -- there is two bullets here -- "Because there venue? 16 is a finance supply of Kik coin, when demand for Kik 16 A I think we wanted an audience who 17 coin increases, the price also increases." I think 17 understood cryptocurrencies. You know, I think many 18 18 that that should be changed to: If demand for Kik coin people would say, hey, we're launching our own Bitcoin, 19 increases, the price also would increase. 19 and they would just not even know what Bitcoin was, 20 The second point, "Investors will want to 20 especially at that time. So we wanted an audience who 21 buy low and sell high." I think what that should read 21 understood cryptocurrencies. 22 22 is: If you buy the coin when demand is low and Q Who would then be able to better understand 23 therefore the price is low, and then the demand goes 23 the vision you were articulating? 24 up, then the price will also go up. 24 A You cannot understand the vision of Kin 25 And so you can either use it at that point, 25 unless you understand the fundamentals of

Page 340 Page 342 1 cryptocurrencies themselves. 1 remember the specifics. But I'm sure I did, yeah. 2 Q Got it. And included in that fundamental 2 Q Any other -- do you recall any TV 3 3 -- we've spent a lot of time yesterday and even just appearances or sort of mainstream media? 4 4 A I'm sure there was. I can't recall any now talking about the fundamentals of the economics. 5 You wanted people who kind of got that? 5 specific ones. 6 A You can't understand why Kin is a potential 6 Q Were you the -- to the extent there were, I 7 7 business model for Kik and for everyone else unless you understand you don't recall specifics, would you expect 8 8 understand the fundamentals of blockchain and crypto you would be the person, the face, talking for Kik? 9 9 economics itself. A That would be my expectation, yes. 10 10 Q Got it. Okay. Why you? You were the Q Was that true throughout -- because we're 11 presenter at Token Summit, correct? 11 in May right now in our conversation. 12 A Uh-huh. 12 Was that true throughout up to the token 13 13 sale, that it was mostly you? Q Why you? 14 Α Because it was my brainchild, my idea. 14 A I can't recall. 15 Q Okay. 15 Q Do you remember other people at Kik taking Who better to explain it? 16 16 the lead on being the face of the... 17 17 Q How did it go? A I don't remember anybody specifically doing A That's a good question. I haven't talked 18 18 it. But it might have happened. I don't recall. about that for a long time. I don't -- I remember the 19 19 Q Do you remember was there any particular 20 audience being excited about it. I also remember there 20 focus on particular types of news outlets to raise 21 21 being some skepticism that I heard about later in the awareness of Kin? 22 audience, like, because we were the first centralized 22 A I can't recall the specific news outlets. 23 company that was doing this. 23 Q Okay. We see a post -- again, this is 24 At the time, blockchain was largely, you 24 around the time the white paper was published, we see a 25 know, just very small teams who are starting fresh in 25 post on Medium about the Kin announcement. Page 343 Page 341 1 blockchain, had never built companies before. So I 1 Does that ring a bell for you? 2 remember the reaction being somewhat mixed. But I 2 A It rings a bell. 3 can't remember specifics. 3 Q Okay. What is Medium? 4 4 A Medium is a publishing platform, a blog Q I was going to ask you in terms of any 5 5 feedback you got. Do you remember any specific publishing platform. 6 feedback you got? 6 Q Does Kik use -- did Kik use Medium to talk 7 7 about Kin and the Kin token sale? A Just that, what I just shared. 8 8 Q We're going to turn to this in a bit. A Yes. 9 9 Q Why? Prior to the Token Summit, had there been any informal outreach to potential token purchasers 10 10 A I think when we wanted to explain our 11 11 vision to people, one way we would do that is I would 12 A Like, I know CoinFund obviously reached out 12 explain it on stage at Token Summit. Obviously not 13 to people who are buying cryptocurrencies. What other 13 everybody was at Token Summit. So we wanted to explain 14 14 communications happened, I can't recall. it to as many people in as many different ways as 15 Q That's fine. Okay. On top of Token 15 possible for them to see the vision we saw, to be 16 Summit, any other efforts -- right around the time that 16 excited about it, and to want to be a part of it. 17 the white paper was published, late May, around that 17 Q I should've asked before. Token Summit was 18 18 in 2017, it was in New York; is that right? time, any other efforts you remember to raise awareness 19 19 A Correct. of the upcoming token sale? 20 A Nothing specifically. But I know there 20 Q Let me show you a document. I'm marking 21 were. We did do things for sure. I can't remember 21 this as Exhibit 200. anything specifically right now. 22 22 (SEC Exhibit No. 200 was marked for 23 23 Q I'll represent to you I think I've seen a identification.) 24 video of you on CNBC. Does any of that ring a bell? 2.4 BY MR. LEASURE: 25 A CNBC, the name rings a bell. But I can't 25 Q Exhibit 200 is a multi-page printout of a

Page 344 Page 346 1 document titled "Announcing Kin, a Cryptocurrency for 1 doesn't have a CEO at all? 2 an Open Future." It has no Bates stamp. I'll 2 A Correct. 3 3 represent to you that I, myself, printed this off of a Q Okay. The --4 4 website called Medium.com. I printed it off on A Maybe let me -- because it's a complex 5 October 25th, 2018. 5 thing. Maybe just to double-click on that. Like --6 Do you recognize this document? 6 and we're trying to figure this out along with the rest 7 7 of the industry exactly how are these crypto projects A I do. 8 8 organized, like, what do you call the different roles Q What is this? 9 A I believe this is me announcing Kin and 9 in the ecosystem. 10 10 explaining Kin on Medium. So like, for example, internally today, we 11 Q Got it. Okay. It looks -- if you look on 11 talk about we have sort of the Kik side of Kik 12 the bottom left, there is a long URL. 12 Interactive, Inc., and we have the Kin side of Kik 13 13 Interactive, Inc. So internally, we would say I am the A Yep. 14 14 Q Medium.com/kinblog/ --CEO of both of those. 15 A Yeah. 15 We've also started referring to the Kin 16 16 side internally as, like, a placeholder name, Acme, Q Did Kik set up a blog on Medium to post 17 17 items about Kin? Inc. Because it's sort of, like, ConsenSys, for 18 A I'm not sure if we set one up specifically 18 example, is an organization contributing to the 19 or if we already had one. But it looks like this was 19 development of Ethereum. But they are not Ethereum 2.0 -- because I think we had a Kik blog as well. So it's 20 itself. So Acme, Inc., is contributing to the 21 21 like a new Kin blog from the URL. But I can't say for development of Kin, but it is not Kin itself. 22 22 Q Got it. So to make sure I understand that 23 Q Kik already maintained its own blog about 23 and sort of how Kik thinks of itself as an organization 24 24 the messaging app and Kik, the company, on Medium? today, it's still Kik Interactive, right? 25 25 A I believe so, yes. A Uh-huh. Page 345 Page 347 1 1 Q Did you write this? Q In part, it has employees who work on Kik, 2 A I believe I would have taken the lead on 2 the company, Kik, the messaging app, and those people 3 writing this and then gotten editorial help from 3 consider themselves as working for Kik? 4 4 others. A Correct. 5 5 Q But there is also people within Kik, the Q You're listed here -- sorry. If you see on 6 the top left, there is a photo of you. And you're 6 company, that work on the Kin project, if I can call it 7 described as founder and CEO of Kik and Kin. 7 that, right? 8 What does it mean to be the CEO of Kin? 8 A Yep. 9 A I don't think there is a CEO of Kin. I 9 Q And internally, you might describe those 10 remember actually a discussion around what we should 10 people as Kin people, or the Kin team, how -put here for my title because I am the founder and CEO 11 11 A I would say, like, today, it depends who 12 of Kik. I am a founder of Kin. But there is no CEO of 12 you would ask. You know, there is different leadership 13 Kin. So maybe this should say -- and I remember 13 teams for both the Kik side of the business and the Kin 14 discussing it, like, founder and CEO of Kik, comma, 14 side of the business. For example, if you were to ask 15 15 founder of Kin. the leadership team today, we are calling ourselves, 16 But we just left it as founder and CEO of 16 like, a placeholder, Acme, Inc. We've been referred to 17 Kik and Kin. So I wouldn't consider myself to be the 17 in the past as the Kin business unit. We've had 18 18 CEO of Kin. different names at different time. 19 Q This was something you considered and 19 Q At least inside Kik? 20 20 A Inside Kik. discussed with others at the time, how to describe your 21 relationship to Kin? 21 Q Ignoring corporate formalities, you're 22 A Yes. 2.2 comfortable being the CEO of Kin and Kik? 23 23 A I'm the CEO of the Kik business and the Kin Q And just to make sure I'm clear, I take it 2.4 you would be comfortable saying you were the founder 24 business unit inside Kik Interactive, Inc. 25 25 and CEO of Kik, you're the founder of Kin, but Kin Q That's fine. And then beneath you, I think

Page 348 Page 350 1 you said there is leadership teams on the Kin project. 1 advertising dollars and give everything else away for 2 What's that leadership team, who is that? 2 free making it nearly impossible for smaller 3 3 A Today? competitors to find sustainable business models." 4 4 Q Yeah. It goes on to describe "copy and crush." 5 A So the leadership team on the Kin side, the 5 Do you see that? 6 6 people working on Kin is -- we have -- do you want 7 7 titles, names, or both? Q That's referring to Facebook? 8 8 Q Names. If you can do titles, that would be A It refers to other large companies as well. 9 helpful. 9 But in our case, in Kik's history, it would be Facebook A Maybe I'll just do areas. We have a head 10 10 -- would be the -- the company most doing it to us. 11 of product, which is Alex. We have a head of 11 Q That's what I was asking about. We talked 12 engineering, which is Netanel. We have a -- his title 12 yesterday about Kik's challenges in copy and crush and 13 is president, which is Dany. We have a -- what I 13 the like. Was it mostly Facebook doing that to you 14 believe her title is chief compliance officer, which is 14 guys or was there another... 15 Eileen. We have a guy named Tanner, who is what we 15 A In terms of specifically doing it to Kik, 16 call my technical advisor. He helps me across both Kik 16 yes, it was mostly Facebook. 17 17 and Kin. We have Orna, who is HR. Q There were other companies out there that 18 I'm probably missing somebody. But I think 18 may have had -- been dealing with other monopolies or 19 that's -- and we have Matt, who is the chief marketing 19 difficult competition? officer. 20 20 A Yeah. 21 Q Okay. Second page. I just want to point 21 Q What does Matt do particularly? 22 A Matt is helping market evangelize the Kin 22 you to one or two more lines and we'll be done with 23 23 this. You provided a link to the white paper. ecosystem. 24 Q Kik, the company, has three offices; do I 24 Do you see that on the top? 25 25 have that right? A I do. Page 349 Page 351 Kik Interactive, Inc.? 1 1 Q The paragraph after that reads, "Once we 2 2 Q Correct. have established the new crypto currency, we will 3 That's a good question. I'm not sure. Kik 3 create demand for it by encouraging people to earn and 4 4 Interactive, Inc., at this point, like, I'm the CEO. I spend Kin within Kik, which is used by millions of don't believe -- we do not have a CFO. 5 5 people every day." 6 Q Let me interrupt you. I think we 6 Was that accurate? 7 7 miscommunicated. You guys are located currently in A I think that is accurate, yes. 8 8 Waterloo, New York, and Tel Aviv? Q Okay. Actually, I think we covered the 9 A Correct. We also have people in LA and San 9 other stuff in this document. I'll take it back from 10 10 Francisco. But yes. you. Thank you. 11 A I was just enjoying rereading this. 11 Q The people on the Kin project, are they 12 MR. MITCHELL: Can I just ask you just a 12 located in all three offices, are they concentrated in basic question. The Medium post linked to the white 13 13 a particular place? 14 A The majority are in Tel Aviv, Israel. The 14 paper. 15 15 next largest concentration would be New York. And then THE WITNESS: Yeah. 16 from there, they're sort of spread out. 16 MR. MITCHELL: Is the Medium post -- is 17 Q Are the marketing efforts focused in a 17 this like a summary of what you thought were the most 18 18 important things? I'm trying to understand why -- why particular place for the Kin project? 19 A The people who work on marketing with Kin 19 a Medium post? 20 are for the most part in New York. But Matt is in San 20 THE WITNESS: I think that was just the 21 21 Francisco. best place for me to share with the world my vision and 22 22 the best medium to do that. Q I think we got way away from this document. 23 23 MR. MITCHELL: I guess I'm just trying to Let me return us to it. Just real quick. If you look 24 at the first paragraph, the second sentence begins, 24 understand is this -- is this post a summary of the 25 25 "The biggest companies use their scale to amass white paper, or it's the ...

Page 352 Page 354 MR. GIBBS: So you can give your view if 1 THE WITNESS: No. I don't think this --1 2 this is not meant to be a summary of the white paper. 2 you can do so without getting into communications with 3 3 This is meant to be my vision for the problem I saw or advice from counsel. 4 4 THE WITNESS: I did get advice from counsel with the world and how a cryptocurrency could solve 5 those problems. 5 about the difference between an investment and a 6 BY MR. LEASURE: 6 security and the difference between a currency. And so 7 7 that -- I have my own views. But certainly, those Q Actually, keep that in front of you because 8 8 views would also be colored by that advice. I might refer to it in a few minutes. 9 A Sure. 9 MR. GIBBS: So my instruction to him will 10 10 Q So you helped prepare some documents like be to not testify to anything that reflects the content 11 this Medium post that face the public and talked about 11 of any communications with counsel. If you can offer 12 the Kin project, correct? 12 your view disentangled from any of those 13 13 A Uh-huh. communications, fine. If not, I would instruct you not 14 Q So when you were preparing those documents, 14 to answer. 15 did you make an effort not to have those documents 15 THE WITNESS: My view is that when you're 16 16 investing in something -- and why the word "investor" include the word "investors"? 17 17 A I seem to recall we did not want to include is wrong -- you're investing in something which will 18 the word "investors," yes. 18 generate revenue so that you can get a cut of that 19 19 revenue back. Whereas in Kin, in cryptocurrencies --O Why not? 20 A I think it's sort of misleading. I think, 20 and this was a hard thing for our investors to 21 you know, whenever there is something new, everybody 21 understand, for employees to understand, and I think tries to compare it to something from the past. You 22 22 for the world to understand -- is there is no revenue. 23 know, like, it's a classic move in Silicone Valley. 23 There is no revenue. 24 You know, this is, what is Airbnb? It's Uber for 24 Like, there is an asset. That asset -- the 25 houses or something like that. 25 price of that asset is a function of supply and demand. Page 353 Page 355 So I think, you know, they're trying to 1 If you own a piece of that asset, and demand goes up, 1 2 compare it to something in the past where, you know, 2 and the price of that asset will go up. That's just 3 when people buy something, people could use the word 3 the way it works. But there is no revenue anywhere in 4 "investors." But I think here, "investor" is the wrong 4 that equation. So how could it be an investment? 5 5 word. You know, I think you're buying a currency MR. MITCHELL: That's the description 6 because the supply of that currency is fixed, if demand 6 between -- that's, in your mind, the difference between 7 increases, the currency will get more value, become 7 an investment and buying an asset? 8 more valuable. That will give me more buying power. 8 THE WITNESS: That was my -- that's my 9 That would also give somebody else more buying power. 9 intuitive view for myself of how I -- like, why I 10 So you could either buy something yourself 10 didn't use the word "investor." 11 or sell it to somebody who wants to buy something. So MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry. Why you didn't 11 12 it is built into the fundamentals of cryptocurrencies 12 want to use that word in the public-facing documents? 13 that a cryptocurrency today could be more valuable 13 THE WITNESS: Right. 14 tomorrow. But it's not an investment. It's you're 14 MR. MITCHELL: And earlier in your answer, 15 buying an asset. 15 though, you said "our investors." 16 MR. MITCHELL: What do you mean buying an 16 And who were you talking about then? 17 asset? 17 THE WITNESS: The shareholders of Kik. The THE WITNESS: You're buying a currency. 18 18 venture capitalists. 19 MR. MITCHELL: So the difference between 19 MR. MITCHELL: Like USV?

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

investing -- what's the difference between investing

this. But let me -- because part of it is, you know,

understanding the historical law. So should I give my

THE WITNESS: So I can give you my view on

and buying an asset?

view on this?

THE WITNESS: Like USV, like Tencent, like

MR. MITCHELL: What did they -- did they --

THE WITNESS: They invested in Kik, yes.

MR. MITCHELL: What did they get?

everybody. That group.

they invested in Kik?

Page 356 Page 358 1 1 THE WITNESS: They got equity. THE WITNESS: I think they bought it 2 2 MR. MITCHELL: And the share of all of thinking that Kik could, through traditional business 3 3 Kik's revenues? models -- either through advertising or selling items 4 4 THE WITNESS: They got a share of the value like every other company in history could do so 5 created by Kik, which historically would be based on a 5 profitably -- and if so, the equity would be worth more 6 multiples of revenue for earnings and earnings being 6 money and that they could sell it at a higher price in 7 the future. 7 revenues minus cost. 8 8 MR. MITCHELL: So I apologize because I MR. MITCHELL: Is that the same for -- I 9 9 don't know this in detail. What are Kik's earnings? apologize. What's the name of the San Francisco --10 10 THE WITNESS: Zero. Or sorry. I should Foundation. Is that the same for the investment by 11 say when we sold the tokens, we calculated that as 11 Foundation Capital? 12 revenue. So we had \$98 million of revenue that year. 12 THE WITNESS: I think that's probably true 13 13 for all venture capitalists. But outside that, our revenue was negligible. 14 14 MR. MITCHELL: So did you understand that BY MR. LEASURE: 15 Tencent invested in Kik in order to obtain some type of 15 Q We've been talking about your understanding 16 16 revenue stream, like a piece of Kik's revenue? of whether Kin is an investment or not, and whether Kin 17 17 THE WITNESS: I think if we had said to purchasers are investors or not, right? 18 18 Tencent -- let me do it another way -- that Kik is A I think what I was trying to describe is 19 19 never going to make any revenue, that they wouldn't why I didn't like the word "investors." There is many 20 have invested. 20 reasons I don't think it's an investment. But I was 21 21 MR. MITCHELL: Did you discuss with them just trying to describe why I don't like "investor." 22 paying dividends? 22 Q Fair enough. I'm just trying to reorient 23 THE WITNESS: Not at the time, no. 23 the conversation. We were talking about your 24 MR. MITCHELL: Have you ever discussed with 24 understanding. And separately, you had obtained an 25 2.5 Tencent paying dividends? understanding through communications with counsel on Page 357 Page 359 THE WITNESS: I haven't discussed it with 1 1 these topics; is that right? 2 Tencent. But I have discussed it with other investors. 2 A Correct. 3 MR. MITCHELL: When? 3 Q We don't want to hear about the contents of THE WITNESS: I can't recall exactly. 4 4 those communications. If you're about to tell me, MR. MITCHELL: Before or after the Kik/Kin 5 5 stop. And look at Patrick, and not me. 6 token idea came up? 6 We're looking at the white paper, May of 7 THE WITNESS: To me, probably before. I 7 2017, going -- announcing Kin. Did those conversations 8 8 can't recall specifically. with counsel happen before this time? 9 MR. MITCHELL: And is it your understanding 9 A Before May of 2017? that -- let's say take USV. Did USV buy Kik's stock in 10 10 Q Yeah. Can you roughly place it in time? order to get dividends? 11 I can't recall when those conversations 11 12 THE WITNESS: If -- probably not. 12 began. 13 MR. MITCHELL: Why not? 13 Q Did they happen before September of 2017, 14 THE WITNESS: Because there wasn't a viable 14 the public token sale? 15 15 model at the time. Nobody else was doing that. A I can't remember. Before September 2017, 16 MR. MITCHELL: So what do you think -- what 16 yes, I'm sure they would have --17 do you think USV invested in? 17 Q I'm just trying to orient --THE WITNESS: Our ability to generate 18 18 A I can't recall specifically, but I'm sure 19 revenue through advertising or selling, selling things 19 they would have. 20 to our users directly. Those would be the only two 20 Q So you don't know if they happened before 21 21 options. or after the public announcement? 22 MR. MITCHELL: Did you understand that USV 22 A I can't recall. 23 was buying Kik stock because it thought the stock could 23 Q But you're sure they happened before the 24 appreciate in the future and it could sell the stock at 24 public token sale? 25 25 a higher price? A Correct.

1 Q And which counsel again, I don't want 2 you to give me the content of communications. But I 3 can ask when and who. 4 Which counsel did you have in mind? 5 A I would think it would be Cooley. 6 Q Okay. Any lawyers in particular? 6 A The lawyer who had been with us almost 8 since the beginning. A woman by the name of Nancy 9 Wojtas. 10 Q W-o-j-t-a-s? 11 A Yes. I think. So my expectation would be 11 always be a little confusing with who is editing 12 that I would she would be my point person. 10 Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for 11 a while by that point? 12 A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009, 16 Q Right. Not retained just in connection 18 with Kin? 19 A Right. 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 25 Q Fair enough. Keep that in front of you. 26 Jos you see that? 2 A I do. 3 Q Does it appear to you you can see so edits on the third page of this, comments co edits	mment on a g what.
you to give me the content of communications. But I can ask when and who.  Which counsel did you have in mind?  A I would think it would be Cooley.  Q Okay. Any lawyers in particular?  A The lawyer who had been with us almost since the beginning. A woman by the name of Nancy Wojtas.  Q W-o-j-t-a-s?  A Yes. I think. So my expectation would be that I would she would be my point person.  Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for a while by that point?  A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009,  A Right. Not retained just in connection with Kin?  A Right.  Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the with on this topic.  A I do.  A Ves. I thinks be te original draft from Hamish?  A Right I believe since somewhere in 2009,  B with who is editing a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing a lawys be a little confusing with who is editing	mment on a g what.
Can ask when and who.  Which counsel did you have in mind?  A I would think it would be Cooley.  Q Okay. Any lawyers in particular?  A The lawyer who had been with us almost since the beginning. A woman by the name of Nancy  Wojtas.  Q W-o-j-t-a-s?  A Yes. I think. So my expectation would be that I would she would be my point person.  Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for a while by that point?  A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009,  A Right. Not retained just in connection  with Kin?  A Right.  Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the  "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications with on this topic.  A I would think it would be Cooley.  bubbles on the third page of this, comments co bubbles on the right. Do you see that?  A I do.  Q Do you believe that's you commenting draft from Hamish?  Q Do you believe that's you commenting draft from Hamish?  A I do.  Q Okay. And I know it's a little it can always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little on fusion with some consense of a many some if I wrong it looks like the original draft "Once we've established the new cryptocurre investors but justicipants.' I think better to investors but justicipants.' I think that's me saying the word  A I recall th	mment on a g what.
Which counsel did you have in mind?  A I would think it would be Cooley.  G Okay. Any lawyers in particular?  A The lawyer who had been with us almost since the beginning. A woman by the name of Nancy  Wojtas.  O W-o-j-t-a-s?  A Yes. I think. So my expectation would be that I would she would be my point person.  O She had been corporate counsel for Kik for a while by that point?  A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009,  C Right. Not retained just in connection  with Kin?  A Right.  O Right. Not retained just in connection  with Kin?  A Right.  O Right.  O A Right.  O Right.  O A Right.  O A Right.  O Right.  O A Right.  O A Right.  O Right.  O A Right.  O Right.  O A Right.  O A Right.  O Right.  O A Right.  O A Right.  O Right.  O A Right.  O A Right.  O A Right.  O A Right.  O Right.  O A R	mment on a g what.
6 Q Okay. Any lawyers in particular? 6 A I do. 7 A The lawyer who had been with us almost 8 since the beginning. A woman by the name of Nancy 9 Wojtas. 9 A I do. 10 Q W-o-j-t-a-s? 10 Q Okay. And I know it's a little it can always be a little confusing with who is editing. 11 A Yes. I think. So my expectation would be 12 that I would she would be my point person. 13 Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for 14 a while by that point? 15 A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009, 16 2010, somewhere in there. 17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection 18 with Kin? 19 A Right. 10 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 ''who'' in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 26 Can't remember anybody else specifically. 27 Q I o you believe that's you commenting draft from Hamish? 28 Do you believe that's you commenting draft from Hamish?  9 Do you believe that's you commenting draft from Hamish?  9 Do you believe that's you commenting draft from Hamish?  9 Do you believe that's you commenting draft from Hamish?  9 Do you believe that's you commenting draft from Hamish?  9 Do you believe that's you commenting draft from Hamish?  9 Do you believe that's you commenting draft from Hamish?  9 Did I know it's a little it can always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little confusing always be a little confusing with who is editing always be a little	g what.
6 Q Okay. Any lawyers in particular? 6 A I do. 7 A The lawyer who had been with us almost 8 since the beginning. A woman by the name of Nancy 9 Wojtas. 9 A I do. 10 Q W-o-j-t-a-s? 10 Q Okay. And I know it's a little it can 11 A Yes. I think. So my expectation would be 12 that I would she would be my point person. 13 Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for 14 a while by that point? 15 A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009, 16 2010, somewhere in there. 17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection 18 with Kin? 19 A Right. 10 Q Okay. And I know it's a little it can 11 always be a little confusing with who is editing 12 in always be a little confusing with who is editing 13 me if I wrong it looks like the original draft 14 "Once we've established the new cryptocurre 15 A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009, 16 2010, somewhere in there. 17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection 18 with Kin? 19 A Right. 19 Did I summarize that edit accurately? 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 24 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thin	g what.
A The lawyer who had been with us almost since the beginning. A woman by the name of Nancy Wojtas.  Q W-o-j-t-a-s?  10 Q Okay. And I know it's a little it can always be a little confusing with who is editing that I would she would be my point person.  Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for a while by that point?  A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009,  C Right. Not retained just in connection with Kin?  A Right.  A	g what.
8 since the beginning. A woman by the name of Nancy 9 Wojtas.  10 Q W-o-j-t-a-s? 11 A Yes. I think. So my expectation would be 12 that I would she would be my point person. 13 Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for 14 a while by that point? 15 A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009, 16 2010, somewhere in there. 17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection 18 with Kin? 19 A Right. 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 Can't remember anybody else specifically.  8 draft from Hamish? 9 A I do. 10 Q Okay. And I know it's a little it can 11 always be a little confusing with who is editing 12 lappreciate that. Pointing to comment T4 me if I wrong it looks like the original draft 13 "Once we've established the new cryptocurre 14 "Once we've established the new cryptocurre 15 And the comment here reads, "They ar 16 investors but 'participants.' I think better to investors but participants.'	g what.
10 Q Okay. And I know it's a little it can 11 A Yes. I think. So my expectation would be 11 always be a little confusing with who is editing 12 that I would she would be my point person. 12 I appreciate that. Pointing to comment T4 13 Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for 14 a while by that point? 15 A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009, 16 2010, somewhere in there. 17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection 18 with Kin? 19 A Right. 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 Cokay. And I know it's a little it can always be a little confusing with who is editing 11 appreciate that. Pointing to comment T4 12 I appreciate that. Pointing to comment T4 13 me if I wrong it looks like the original draft "Once we've established the new cryptocurre which will be sold to investors via auction)." 16 And the comment here reads, "They ar investors but 'participants.' I think better to 18 leave out?" 19 A Right. 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 Who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 Can't remember anybody else specifically. 25 A I think that's me saying the word 26 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thin	•
10 Q Okay. And I know it's a little it can 11 A Yes. I think. So my expectation would be 11 always be a little confusing with who is editing 12 that I would she would be my point person. 12 I appreciate that. Pointing to comment T4 13 Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for 14 a while by that point? 15 A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009, 16 2010, somewhere in there. 17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection 18 with Kin? 19 A Right. 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 Cokay. And I know it's a little it can 11 always be a little confusing with who is editing 12 lappreciate that. Pointing to comment T4 13 me if I wrong it looks like the original draft 14 "Once we've established the new cryptocurre 15 And the comment here reads, "They ar 16 investors but 'participants.' I think better to investors but participants.' 18 leave out?" 19 A Yep. 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 Who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 Can't remember anybody else specifically. 25 A I think that's me saying the word 26 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thin	•
11 A Yes. I think. So my expectation would be 12 that I would she would be my point person. 13 Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for 14 a while by that point? 15 A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009, 16 2010, somewhere in there. 17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection 18 with Kin? 19 A Right. 10 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 always be a little confusing with who is editing I appreciate that. Pointing to comment T4 24 me if I wrong it looks like the original draft "Once we've established the new cryptocurre which will be sold to investors via auction)." 24 A Right. 25 A Right. 26 And the comment here reads, "They are investors but 'participants.' I think better to be leave out?" 26 A Yep. 27 Q Is that you saying in so many words that you saying they're not investors but participants. 28 A I think that's me saying the word 29 Can't remember anybody else specifically. 20 A I think that's me saying the word 21 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thing that it is incorrect because of all of the thing incorrect because of all of the thing that it is incorrect because of all of the thing	•
that I would she would be my point person.  12 I appreciate that. Pointing to comment T4  13 Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for  14 a while by that point?  15 A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009,  16 2010, somewhere in there.  17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection  18 with Kin?  19 A Right.  10 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the  20 U Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the  21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications  22 with on this topic.  23 A I recall that being my point person. I  24 can't remember anybody else specifically.  29 I appreciate that. Pointing to comment T4  10 me if I wrong it looks like the original draft  11 "Once we've established the new cryptocurre  12 which will be sold to investors via auction)."  13 And the comment here reads, "They are investors but 'participants.' I think better to investors but participants.' I think that 's me saying the word  24 can't remember anybody else specifically.  25 A I think that's me saying the word  26 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thin	•
13 Q She had been corporate counsel for Kik for 14 a while by that point? 15 A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009, 16 2010, somewhere in there. 17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection 18 with Kin? 19 A Right. 19 A Right. 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 29 The properties of the lawyers in the properties of the lawyers of the lawyers of the lawyers in the particular of the lawyers of the lawyers of the lawyers in the particular of the lawyers in the particular of the lawyers in the particular of the lawyers of the	and I val
a while by that point?  A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009,  Believe since somewhere in 2009,  Call of the sold to investors via auction)."  And the comment here reads, "They are investors but 'participants.' I think better to select out?"  A Right.  A Yep.  A Yep.  A Yep.  A Yep.  A I think that you saying in so many words.  A I think that's me saying the word  A I think that's me saying the word  A Right.  A I think hat's me saying the word  A Right.  A I think hat's me saying the word  A Right.  A I think better to a hat comment here reads, "They are investors but participants.' I think better to a hat comment here reads, "They are investors but participants.' I think better to a hat comment here reads, "They are investors but participants.' I think better to a hat comment here reads, "They are investors but participants.' I think better to a hat comment here reads, "They are investors but participants.' I think better to a hat comment here reads, "They are investors but participants.' I think better to a hat comment here reads, "They are investors but participants.' I think better to a hat comment here reads, "They are investors but participants.' I think better to a hat comment here reads, "They are investors but participants.' I think better to a ha	
A Right. I believe since somewhere in 2009,  15 which will be sold to investors via auction)."  16 2010, somewhere in there.  17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection  18 with Kin?  19 A Right.  19 Did I summarize that edit accurately?  20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the  21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications  22 with on this topic.  23 A I recall that being my point person. I  24 can't remember anybody else specifically.  25 which will be sold to investors via auction)."  And the comment here reads, "They are investors but 'participants.' I think better to investors but a leave out?"  20 Q Is that you saying in so many words.  21 A I think that's me saying the word.  22 Think that's me saying the word.  23 A I think that's me saying the word.  24 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the think.	•
2010, somewhere in there.  16 And the comment here reads, "They are investors but 'participants.' I think better to investors but investors but investors but investors but investors but investors but participants.  18 leave out?"  19 Did I summarize that edit accurately?  20 A Yep.  21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications with on this topic.  22 that you saying they're not investors but participants.  23 A I think that's me saying the word  24 can't remember anybody else specifically.  24 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thing	icy (solite of
17 Q Right. Not retained just in connection 18 with Kin? 19 A Right. 20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 26 Investors but 'participants.' I think better to investors but investors but investors but investors but participants.' I think better to investors but investors but investors but investors but participants.' I think better to investors but investors but investors but investors but participants.' I think better to investors but investors but investors but investors but participants.' I think better to investors but investors but investors but investors but investors but participants.' I think better to investors but investors but investors but investors but participants.' I think better to investors but investors but investors but investors but participants.' I think better to investors but inv	en't
18 with Kin?  19 A Right.  20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic.  23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 can't remember anybody else specifically.  18 leave out?"  19 Did I summarize that edit accurately?  20 A Yep.  21 Q Is that you saying in so many words.  22 that you saying they're not investors but part.  23 A I think that's me saying the word  24 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thin	
19 A Right.  19 Did I summarize that edit accurately?  20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 29 Did I summarize that edit accurately?  20 A Yep.  21 Q Is that you saying in so many words.  22 that you saying they're not investors but particular that the saying the word.  23 A I think that's me saying the word.  24 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thin.	ust
20 Q Anyone else? Again, I'm just asking the 21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 26 A Yep. 27 Q Is that you saying in so many words. 28 that you saying they're not investors but part. 29 A I think that's me saying the word. 20 A Yep. 21 Q Is that you saying they're not investors but part. 22 that you saying they're not investors but part. 23 A I think that's me saying the word. 24 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thin.	
21 "who" in terms of the lawyers you recall communications 22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 25 Q Is that you saying in so many words. 26 that you saying they're not investors but parts. 27 A I think that's me saying the word. 28 Timestors is incorrect because of all of the think.	
22 with on this topic. 23 A I recall that being my point person. I 24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 25 that you saying they're not investors but particles and they are saying the word. 26 an't remember anybody else specifically. 27 and they are saying they are saying the word. 28 and I think that's me saying the word. 29 and I think that's me saying they are saying th	ia
23 A I recall that being my point person. I 23 A I think that's me saying the word 24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 24 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thin	
24 can't remember anybody else specifically. 24 "investors" is incorrect because of all of the thin	cipants:
	~~
25 Q Fair enough. Keep that in Front of you.	
	w wnat
Page 361	age 363
1 Let me show you a document quickly.  1 the right word is because this is a fundamentally	new
2 Marking this as Exhibit 201. 2 thing. But the word we're leaning towards right	
3 (SEC Exhibit No. 201 was marked for 3 the word "participants."	
4 identification.) 4 Q You said "we" and "leaning towards.	' Was
5 BY MR. LEASURE: 5 that a topic of discussion within Kik what wo	
6 Q Exhibit 201 is a multi-page printout of an 6 A I think it was a topic in the industry at	
7 <b>e-mail chain ending May 22nd, 2007, from Ted Livingston</b> 7 the time, and also a topic internally. I think	
8 <b>to Hamish, H-a-m-i-s-h, McKenzie, with the subject "New</b> 8 everybody was trying to figure out what this thin	ig was
9 Version of Medium Piece." It begins with the Bates 9 and how to describe it.	
10 stamp KIK 26895. 10 Q Again, I'm admonishing you I don't w	ant to
11 Mr. Livingston, what is this document? 11 hear about conversations with Nancy or othe	
12 A What it looks like is Hamish and I 12 What can you remember about the inte	-
13 discussing the draft of the Medium post. 13 discussions within Kik about how to describe	
14 Q Hamish helped you is it your 14 bought Kin, whether investors, participants,	
15 recollection Hamish helped you draft this Medium post? 15 word?	
16 A It's my recollection that he helped me edit 16 A I don't recall any specific conversations	
17 it, yes. 17 about it.	
18 Q The Medium post is Exhibit do you 18 Q Do you remember who you might hav	e been
19 believe that's what ultimately was Exhibit 200? 19 discussing it with, if anyone?	
20 A Yes. 20 A I don't recall.	
21 Q So it's sometimes hard to tell, but if you 21 Q Okay. What did you ultimately go wi	ļ
22 look in your e-mail, at the top of page 1, you write, 22 what word?	h.
23 "See attached. We're really starting to flow. We are 23 A I can't recall. But it looks like this	h,
24 getting close. See comments attached."  24 edit, we just took this out.	h,
25 A Yep. 25 <b>Q</b> Fair enough. And leaving aside this	ch,
25 Q Tan chough And leaving aside uns	ih,

	Page 364		Page 366
1	particular document, this particular Medium post, how	1	A Typically, no.
2	do you prefer Kik to describe people who bought Kin?	2	Q Who does?
3	A I think the most accurate term today if	3	A That'd be our communications guy.
4	I were asked to be true today this is off the top of	4	Q Who at the time we're in May of 2017.
5	my head and maybe there are better words but it's	5	Who was that?
6	probably the word "purchasers."	6	A I would believe it to be Rod.
7	Q Just the fact that they bought Kin either	7	Q Is he still your communications guy?
8	in September 2017 or some other time, they purchased	8	A He is not.
9	Kin?	9	O When did he leave Kik?
10	A Correct.	10	A Recently.
11	Q Why not participants?	11	Q Why did he leave Kik?
12	A I think it's just like a stylistic thing.	12	A He was let go.
13	Like, participant participants in what sum? Like,	13	Q Why?
14	you know, is it some relay race? It's more of a	14	A The only reason that I'm hesitating is
15	marketing thing I think. I just think it's an unclear	15	because it feels, like, a little crappy to talk about
16	word.	16	somebody else being let go.
17	Q In terms of substance is it possible	17	Q Sure. I don't want to get into stuff.
18	that someone in September of 2017 bought Kin and has	18	Was his being let go in any way in
19	done nothing to participate in the Kin ecosystem to	19	connection with this investigation?
20	date?	20	A No.
21	A It's possible.	21	Q Was it in connection with problems about
22	Q Is that a concern you have with the word	22	communicating about Kin?
23	"participants," or is it just a stylistic thing?	23	A No.
24	A I hadn't thought of that. So no, that	24	Q Okay. Was it personal matters?
25	wasn't a concern.	25	A I think it was I think the gist of it
	Page 365		Page 367
1	Page 365 (Simultaneous speakers.)	1	Page 367 and you let me know if you want more details was we
1 2		1 2	
	(Simultaneous speakers.)		and you let me know if you want more details was we
2	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.	2	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief
2	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.	2 3	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes
2 3 4	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.	2 3 4	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the
2 3 4 5	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in	2 3 4 5	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not
2 3 4 5 6	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press	2 3 4 5 6	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.
2 3 4 5 6 7	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?	2 3 4 5 6 7	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press  release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do  announcements, we would also file a press release. So,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?  A I'm not exactly sure what happens. My best	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems like a lot of people at Kik, including more senior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?  A I'm not exactly sure what happens. My best guess from my knowledge of it is if you're doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems like a lot of people at Kik, including more senior people, left the company shortly before or maybe after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?  A I'm not exactly sure what happens. My best guess from my knowledge of it is if you're doing something and making an announcement, you know, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems like a lot of people at Kik, including more senior people, left the company shortly before or maybe after the Kin token sale?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?  A I'm not exactly sure what happens. My best guess from my knowledge of it is if you're doing something and making an announcement, you know, you talk to a bunch of different people, you stand on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems like a lot of people at Kik, including more senior people, left the company shortly before or maybe after the Kin token sale?  A Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?  A I'm not exactly sure what happens. My best guess from my knowledge of it is if you're doing something and making an announcement, you know, you talk to a bunch of different people, you stand on a stage at a Token Summit. You also can write a press	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems like a lot of people at Kik, including more senior people, left the company shortly before or maybe after the Kin token sale?  A Yep.  Q That's our impression.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?  A I'm not exactly sure what happens. My best guess from my knowledge of it is if you're doing something and making an announcement, you know, you talk to a bunch of different people, you stand on a stage at a Token Summit. You also can write a press release and post it to the newswire just in case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems like a lot of people at Kik, including more senior people, left the company shortly before or maybe after the Kin token sale?  A Yep.  Q That's our impression.  A You have the right impression.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?  A I'm not exactly sure what happens. My best guess from my knowledge of it is if you're doing something and making an announcement, you know, you talk to a bunch of different people, you stand on a stage at a Token Summit. You also can write a press release and post it to the newswire just in case anybody happens to come across it and wants to write a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems like a lot of people at Kik, including more senior people, left the company shortly before or maybe after the Kin token sale?  A Yep.  Q That's our impression.  A You have the right impression.  Q Why did that happen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?  A I'm not exactly sure what happens. My best guess from my knowledge of it is if you're doing something and making an announcement, you know, you talk to a bunch of different people, you stand on a stage at a Token Summit. You also can write a press release and post it to the newswire just in case anybody happens to come across it and wants to write a story.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems like a lot of people at Kik, including more senior people, left the company shortly before or maybe after the Kin token sale?  A Yep.  Q That's our impression.  A You have the right impression.  Q Why did that happen? That is a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?  A I'm not exactly sure what happens. My best guess from my knowledge of it is if you're doing something and making an announcement, you know, you talk to a bunch of different people, you stand on a stage at a Token Summit. You also can write a press release and post it to the newswire just in case anybody happens to come across it and wants to write a story.  Usually they don't result in stories so I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems like a lot of people at Kik, including more senior people, left the company shortly before or maybe after the Kin token sale?  A Yep.  Q That's our impression.  A You have the right impression.  Q Why did that happen?  A Why did that happen? That is a good question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Simultaneous speakers.)  A I just think it's an unclear word.  Q Fair enough. I'll take that back from you.  I'll take them both back actually. Thank you.  We also see an actual again, we're in  May, public announcement we see an actual press release by Kik. Does that ring a bell for you?  A Typically, whenever we would do announcements, we would also file a press release. So, like, seems likely.  Q What does that mean to file a press release? What happens?  A I'm not exactly sure what happens. My best guess from my knowledge of it is if you're doing something and making an announcement, you know, you talk to a bunch of different people, you stand on a stage at a Token Summit. You also can write a press release and post it to the newswire just in case anybody happens to come across it and wants to write a story.  Usually they don't result in stories so I'm not sure the effectiveness of press releases. But it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and you let me know if you want more details was we hired a new head of marketing, Matt, our chief marketing officer. He inherits a team when he comes in. There is many people in place. He has to put the team in place that he feels is best. And he did not feel that Rod was the right choice for his team.  Q That's fine. That's good. As I said yesterday, we see documents and don't really you know, we don't have opportunities to talk to people about what actually happened and what it felt like. So I'm going to seize that opportunity.  Impressionistically, from our end, it seems like a lot of people at Kik, including more senior people, left the company shortly before or maybe after the Kin token sale?  A Yep.  Q That's our impression.  A You have the right impression.  Q Why did that happen?  A Why did that happen? That is a good question.  Q And I'm very happy not to talk about the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 368

A Why that happened is because as we started to struggle with Kik in 2014, the impression -- you know, the simplest explanation is the people running the company don't know what they're doing. We need to bring in new people. So that's what we did.

### Q In 2014?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

A Starting in 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 -- 2016, 2017, we brought in a lot of new people, new leaders, who had the experience who could help us turn this around because, obviously, the previous leadership team didn't know what they were doing because if they did, we wouldn't be in this situation. So I made the hard decision to effectually swap out a bunch of my first leadership for this new leadership team, one person at a time. That new leadership team came in.

Many of them tried to help to the best of their ability. But for whatever reason, things only got worse. As things got worse, people started to blame each other and blame me for things getting worse. And the team itself became incredibly dysfunctional.

But as you're, you know, declining and failing, it's pretty much impossible to hire new people. You know, it would be very hard for me to say to somebody, hey, you should go work here, it's great to work here. Because that would not be the truth.

Page 370

A I believe that's Dany Fishel.

Q And have you replaced -- at least on the Kin side, have you replaced the earlier team with people who might have more familiarity -- or at least willing to learn about crypto and being a part of a crypto project?

A In some cases, yes. In some cases, they were internal promotions. Each -- it's a sort of case-by-case basis.

Q That's fair. Let's go back to May.

At the time of the May announcement that we've been looking at for a while now, what was the plan for distributing and selling Kin tokens?

A In May?

## Q Yeah. When you made the public announcement, what was the plan?

A I think the plan, loosely, would be the plan of any new cryptocurrency, which is we're going to create a new cryptocurrency. There is only going to be so much of it. We're going to sell some to people who want to be a part of this. And we're also going to integrate it into Kik.

Q And eventually -- just talking about the sale itself, leaving out those other -- the creation and integration -- at the time, was there a plan for a

Page 369

The truth was it was horrible to work here. That would be the truth. So as it became 3 clear that we weren't going to die, and we actually had

4 a winnable strategy once again --

### Q The Kin project?

A The Kin cryptocurrency business model and strategy around that business model. It -- I needed to clean up the team. And that's what I did.

Q And so it was you trying -- the people -the phenomenon of people leaving the company, that was you -- during and after the Kin transformation or business model -- you cleaning out earlier -- the earlier team?

A So I think if you look at -- I have two leadership teams today. We talked a little bit about that earlier, right? The Kik business side and the Kin business unit. If you look at the people that report to me today across each of those two teams, I believe when we announced Kin, the people that reported to me

20 then versus the people that report to me today, other 21

than my EA, who still reported to me then, still 2.2 reports to me today -- but in terms of, like, the

23 leadership team members, only one person who reported

24 to me then still reports to me today.

Q Who is that?

Page 371

### two-step selling process?

A I don't believe so, no.

### Q When did that emerge?

A I can't recall exactly when that emerged.

5 But my best guess would be somewhere, obviously, after 6

the announcement. But, obviously, before the sale.

Q And just to clarify terms. In the event, in terms of what actually happened, was there a process where rights to Kin tokens were first sold to wealthier private investors through SAFTs?

A Sorry. What's the question? Sorry. Can you say it again? I just blanked.

Q That's fine. I want to understand what actually happened. And then ask you when the plan for that emerged.

A Okay.

Q So in terms of what actually happened, were rights to Kin first sold to wealthy investors via SAFT,

S-A-F-T, agreements?

A We created a SAFT agreement and did do a presale to accredited investors. I believe so, yes, would be a good way to describe that.

Q So can we call that the presale?

A Yeah, let's call that the presale.

Q That happened, I guess, because the prefix

Page 372 Page 374 1 "pre," that happened before the public sale? 1 well. 2 A That happened before the sale, yes. 2 Q And the opportunity to get cash quickly 3 Q Okay. The sale. Okay. When did the plan 3 into the business presale, why was that helpful? 4 4 for that structure -- first the presale, then the A Because we didn't have much cash. And we 5 sale -- emerge? 5 were spending cash, and we were running out of cash. 6 A I can't recall exactly. But it seemed to 6 Q So it helped -- we talked about a runway 7 7 be a thing in the industry at that time. So I assumed yesterday. Did this help with the runway? 8 8 that's where it started, but I'm not sure. I can't A Yes. 9 9 Q Would that help the Kin project also to get 10 Q Why was it done that way with that two-step 10 cash in early? 11 process? 11 A Would that help the Kin project? 12 MR. GIBBS: Just --12 Q I'm trying to see if this was just to get 13 THE WITNESS: Based on advice from counsel. 13 cash into Kik, the company, or if getting cash from 14 MR. GIBBS: I think he's answered as far as 14 presale investors was helpful for building out or 15 he's comfortable answering. He knows I'm going to 15 developing the Kin project specifically? 16 16 A Say that one more time. instruct him not to disclose the contents of advice 17 from counsel, but I --17 Q Absolutely. You get cash in from presale 18 THE WITNESS: We were advised to do it that 18 investors --19 19 way by counsel. A Yep. 20 MR. GIBBS: That was right where I didn't 20 Q -- and that helps extend the runway? 21 want you to go. But that's fine. 21 A Yep. 22 BY MR. LEASURE: 22 Q So what I'm trying -- I'm trying to 23 O I don't want to know about advice from 23 understand if the cash that was brought in was used 24 counsel or what advice they gave you. 24 just to keep funding Kik, the business, and keep it 25 Was there -- leaving that aside --25 alive, or was it used in some way to help forward the Page 373 Page 375 A No. I can't even say that. I'll stop. 1 1 Kin project and advance of the token sale? 2 (Simultaneous speakers.) 2 A The cash was used to keep Kik running, yes. 3 BY MR. LEASURE: 3 Because we had to keep Kik running. It was also used 4 4 to put together and create the cryptocurrency as well. Q Was there an independent business reason to 5 5 sell -- aside from advice from counsel was there a Q On that latter piece -- put together and 6 business reason you were aware of to sell first to 6 create the cryptocurrency -- how was it deployed? 7 accredited investors via a SAFT and then to a public 7 What happened? 8 8 sale? A We had to write an ERC-20 smart contract. 9 A I'm trying to figure out what was advice 9 We had to get that audited. We had to write the public 10 10 from counsel versus what was not. -- the token sale contract. We had to integrate it 11 into Kik. We had to do a bunch of different things 11 Q I'm trying to figure out if there was a 12 12 "what was not" in there that we can talk about. related to the Kin sale. 13 A That's what I'm trying to figure out as 13 Q Pay for those efforts, both Kik employees 14 well. 14 working on that or maybe contractors working for Kik? 15 15 A Yes. Q Take your time. 16 A I think -- so maybe -- why did we do -- why 16 Q Anything else? 17 did we split the two apart is -- like, I don't think I 17 A Probably were other things. But related to 18 can talk about. But I think what was compelling about 18 those things two things like Kik or creating Kin. 19 doing a presale is you had people who deeply understood 19 Q I think Kik -- correct me if I'm wrong --20 blockchains and sort of the crypto industry. 20 Kik didn't spend all of the money raised in the presale 21 21 And you had people who just understood by September of 2017; is that right? 22 22 blockchain and cryptocurrencies period and so could A Correct. 23 understand Kin as a business model for Kik and other 23 Q And is that money still being used both for 24 developers. And I also think there was an opportunity 24 Kik, the business, and for Kin, the project? 25 25 to get cash into the business quickly in a presale as A Yes.

Page 376 Page 378 1 O Okav. 1 A Okay. 2 A I would say Kik the messenger. 2 Q As always, take your time. I'm going to 3 3 Q Thank you. That's a better way. That's point you to specific parts because, obviously, 18A in 4 4 what I was trying to ask, in fact. particular is a larger document. 5 And people who bought SAFTs, they didn't 5 My first question is going to be: What is 6 get Kin tokens the day they bought a SAFT; am I getting 6 Exhibit 18A? 7 7 that right? A Looks like the presentation used at a board 8 8 A Correct. meeting on May 23rd. 9 Q How did the SAFT work? 9 Q What's Exhibit 27? 10 10 A Looks like meeting minutes from that A My understanding is SAFT stands for Simple 11 Agreement for Future Tokens. So they would invest 11 12 money today with the promise of getting tokens in the 12 Q Do you remember this meeting? 13 13 A I don't recall it specifically. But it future. Q What happened if those public sale -- if 14 14 15 the tokens were never created? 15 Q Do you remember any discussion at that 16 A I don't recall exactly. But I think there 16 meeting about Kin? would be some sort of refund. But I don't recall 17 17 A It looks like we did discuss Kin, yes. 18 18 exactly. Q Do you remember that discussion, though? 19 19 A I do not. Q We see references in earlier documents 20 before the public -- before the SAFTs were executed and 20 Q That's okay. Let me point you to a few 21 the sale -- about within Kik, the idea of selling places in Exhibit 18A, the board decks, just to orient 21 22 tokens to people in different tranches maybe over time. 22 you. If I could point you to the one ending Bates 23 Does any of that ring a bell for you? 23 stamp 106872. 24 A It does ring a bell. 24 A Okay. 25 Q What can you tell me about that? 25 "Where we are." Page 377 Page 379 A Selling -- sorry. Say it again. Selling? Yes. 1 1 2 2 Q Selling to different people in different Q Do you see that? 3 tranches. Maybe like a phased sale and --3 A Yes. 4 A I believe, in the presale, one of the 4 Q Does this appear to be shortly before the 5 things that was common -- like in any time you're 5 publication of the white paper and the Token Summit? 6 selling something, it's -- like, the first person to 6 A I can't recall exactly when Token Summit 7 buy it, you know, is taking that first leap. So they 7 was so -- but from the notes, it looks like it was 8 would get a better price. So I think that was a common 8 before. 9 thing in the industry at the time. I can't recall if 9 Q That's fair. Again, I just want to orient 10 we ended up doing that or not. 10 you to my best sense --MR. MITCHELL: Did people who -- did people A When was the Token Summit? 11 11 who purchased tokens using a SAFT get a discount to the 12 12 Q May 25th -price that was paid by the public? 13 13 A Okay. Looks like two days before. 14 THE WITNESS: They did. 14 Q Something like that. Let me point you to 15 MR. MITCHELL: A 30 percent discount? 15 page 26 of the deck, which is 106893. 16 THE WITNESS: I believe so. 16 A 893. Okay. 17 BY MR. LEASURE: 17 Q Again, we were talking about the plan for a 18 18 Q Let me show you a document that might token sale and what the structure might look like. I 19 relate to some of this. This is a document previously 19 want you to take a look at the chart here. It's 20 entitled "Token Sale Structure (Soft Cap)." -- this is a document with my handwriting on it so I'll 20 21 give you one without it -- marked Exhibit 18A. I'm 21 A Uh-huh. 22 22 going to give you an accompanying document with it Q If you can take a look at that and just say 23 23 because I think it might relate to it, the same board is that rough -- first, do you believe that was the 24 meeting. This is a document previously marked as 24 plan at the time for the sale of Kin? 25 Exhibit 27. 25 A I think -- I can't remember exactly the

Page 380 Page 382 1 conversation. But this looks like a straw man of what 1 percent of them? 2 2 THE WITNESS: Correct. could be the structure. 3 3 Q So this wasn't finalized at this point? MR. MITCHELL: Did that change at the time? 4 4 THE WITNESS: That's what we ended up A I don't believe so, no. 5 Q Do you remember when it was finalized? 5 doing. We might have looked at other options between 6 A I do not remember. 6 here and there. But that's what we ended up doing. 7 MR. MITCHELL: Do you remember looking at 7 Q Does this -- how does this -- I think you 8 8 said straw man? any other options? 9 A Yes. 9 THE WITNESS: I remember us looking at lots 10 10 O How did this straw man differ from what of different options for different parts of this. I 11 actually happened? 11 can't recall if we specifically looked at that option. 12 MR. MITCHELL: Was there ever a point where 12 A So 10 trillion tokens, that was true. 13 13 -- the plan to just sell less than 10 percent? Float offered. I would say, amount initially sold, 10 14 percent. The 10 percent number is true. The tranches, 14 THE WITNESS: Not that I recall. 15 I can't recall what ended up we'd be doing for the 15 BY MR. LEASURE: 16 16 SAFT. I can't remember if it was just, like, a flat Q Can I point you quickly to Exhibit 27. Are 17 30 percent discount across all presale investors. I 17 these the minutes of the board meeting from May 23rd, 18 can't recall. And then I don't think there was any 18 2017? 19 19 discounts in the public sale. A They appear to be. 20 Q Right. Okay. At this time -- correct me 20 Q How are these typically drafted at Kik? 21 21 A I believe Nancy Wojtas would write these. if I am wrong --22 22 They would be circulated. And then they would be A I can keep going actually. Soft cap, we 23 didn't end up going with the soft cap. We ended up 23 approved. 24 going with the hard cap. 24 Q By whom? 25 2.5 Q Of 100 million? A By the board members, that they're Page 383 Page 381 A 125 million. 1 1 accurate. Q I apologize. Q So you would be a part of that approval? 3 A Sale time, I don't think that's the amount 3 of time we used in the end. But I can't recall 4 4 Q Okay. Just to see if it refreshes any 5 5 recollection. Under the section "Kin Ecosystem," do exactly. 6 Q Okay. So at this time, there was some 6 vou see that? 7 7 straw man or consideration in place for doing a SAFT A Yes. 8 8 Q "Mr. Livingston reviewed with the board the versus a public sale structure? 9 9 A Yes. That's what it appears to be. road map for the Kin ecosystem. He explained the 10 O But the details were altered after this? 10 vision of the Kin ecosystem, which encompasses a 11 Α Yeah. I would say the details were 11 decentralized ecosystem." 12 12 iterated on. It goes on, "He reviewed the proposed 13 13 Q And you don't recall -allocation of Kin. 60 percent to the Kin Foundation 14 A And the structure was iterated on with the 14 and 40 percent to Kik with 10 percent to be sold in the 15 details also. 15 presale and public sale of Kin." 16 16 Q Okay. Fair enough. But you don't recall Do you see where I read that? 17 when the final details were agreed upon? 17 A Yes. A I do not. I'm sure it's in an e-mail 18 18 Q Does that refresh any recollection of the 19 somewhere. 19 discussion at this meeting, the discussion of the plan, 20 MR. MITCHELL: The 10 trillion total 20 anything you can shed some light on? 21 supply, did that ever -- the plan at this point in time 21 A I'm not sure. Like, could you be more of this document was for -- the Exhibit 18A was for 22 22 specific? Like, I'm not sure what your question is. 23 there to be 10 trillion tokens overall; is that right? 23 Did we talk about 60 percent for the Kin Foundation and 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 40 percent for Kik? 25 MR. MITCHELL: And that Kik would sell 10 25 Q Yes.

A I think we did.  Q Okay. And you presented this plan to the board?  A Correct.  Q Do you recall any discussion around that with board members once you presented that? A Nothing specific. But fin sure we discussed it, why those numbers. Q Okay. Did the board approve the - if you look on gape 2, it says, "Tesolved that the board hereby approves the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."  Do you see that? A On the same page? A On the same page? A Ves.  Q Ohd the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."  A Yes.  Q Ob the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."  A Yes.  Q Ob the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper.  A Yes.  Q Ob the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper.  A Yes.  Q Ob the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper.  A Time With Mithous With the paper on asking people to ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  Page 385  Page 387  Pagin a fin the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed itmeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that hig red button  A Form a governance point of view?  A Lon a governance point of view?  A Lon and prove the timeline proposed to the accredited investors?  TITE WITNESS: I Contreal and yspecific discussions. It might have been discussed, though, I can't recall white paper.  Page 387  Page 38		Page 384		Page 386
board?  A Correct.  Q Do you recall any discussion around that with board members once you presented that?  A Nothing specific. But I'm sure we discussed it, why those numbers.  Q Okay. Did the board approve the if you look on page 2, it says, "Resolved that the board hereby approves the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."  Do you see that?  A On the same page?  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper.  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper.  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper.  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper.  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper.  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper.  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper?  A I think when it says, approved the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we load approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we laked about whether there was an act or event that finally at this is getting at is the hoard is good to proceed with stalked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button that same paper.  A Ponn a governance point of view?  A Ponn a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall.  A Ponn a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall approve and the public sale discussions of public views and but was a paper.  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall approve and button much t	1	A I think we did.	1	MR. MITCHELL: Can I ask just a couple
A Correct.  Q Do you recall any discussion around that with board members once you presented that?  A Nothing specific. But I'rs sure we discussed it, why those numbers.  Q Okay. Did the board approve the	2	Q Okay. And you presented this plan to the	2	v I
So   Do you recall any discussion around that with board members once you presented that?   A Nothing specific. But Irn sure we discussed it, why those numbers.   So   Cont. A Nothing specific. But Irn sure we discussed it, why those numbers.   So   Cont. A Nothing specific. But Irn sure we discussed it, why those numbers.   So   THE WITNESS: Yes.   THE WITNESS: I can't recall any specific discussions. But I do recall selling this to the public.   We're not going to sell to the public in westors?   THE WITNESS: I can't recall any specific discussions. But I do recall selling this to the public we're not going to sell to the public in westors?   THE WITNESS: I can't recall any specific discussions. But I do recall selling this to the public we're not going to sell to the public in we're not going to sell to the public. We're just agoing to sell to the public in we're not going to sell to the public. We're just agoing to sell to the public in we're not going to sell to the public. We're just after May where Kis sort of had a plan of like, ckay, we're not going to sell to the public. We're just agoing to sell to the public. We're just after May where Kis sort of had a plan of like, ckay.    We're not going to sell to the public in we're not going to sell to the public. We're just after May where Kis sort of had a plan of like, ckay.    We're not going to sell to the public in we're not flike. I want the board in whe ther the hoard and white paper.    14 A Yes.   THE WITNESS: I can't recall any specific discussions. In the public we're so the public.    15 Q Do the hoard approve the timeline proposed to the own shadows been very ambitious task.    16   THE WITNESS: Pool to the accredital investors?    17   A Wes.   THE WITNESS: Pool to the accredital investors?    18   THE WITNESS: Pool to the All the map to the public.    19   THE WITNESS: Pool to the All the map to the public.    10   The witn	3		3	MR. LEASURE: Of course you can. Let's not
with board members once you presented that?  A Nothing specific. But I'm sure we discussed it, why those numbers.  O Okay, Did the board approve the if you look on page 2, it says, "Resolved that the board hereby approves the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."  Do you see that?  A On the same page?  O I'm sorry, Page 2, 78789. There is a "Whereas."  A Yes.  O Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."  A Yes.  O Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper with the paper and the paper and the paper. "  A Yes.  O Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we become digital monopolies. And that is a very ambitious task.  Page 385  O Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly authorized the sale of Kin Same, and law and some body pushed?  A Yes.  O Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button.  A Yes.  O Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button.  A Yes.  D O you don't know which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A Page 30, Farm once where we have the public sale equivalent is of pushing the give button.  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A Page 30, Farm on the very beginning the source of the public call and the public sale equivalen	4	A Correct.	4	go off the record.
A Nothing specific. But I'm sure we discussed it, why those numbers.  Q Okay, Did the board approve the if you look on page 2, it says, "Resolved that the board hereby approves the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."  Do you see that?  A On the same page?  Q I'm sorry, Page 2, 78789. There is a  "Whereas."  Q Ohd the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin life "Whereas."  A Yes.  Upaper, I'm not sure what that means. I don't recall ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  Page 385  A da white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Page 385  A Yes.  Page 385  A Yes.  Page 385  A Yes.  Page 385  A Yes.  A Page 387  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Way there kik sort of had a plan of like, ckay, we're not going to sell to the accredical investors?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall selling this to the public was very important to me personally and to my view of the success of the project.  THE WITNESS: Because we had a the vision for Kin is, was, and has always been very ambitious. You know, it's basically putting together a fundamentally new economic structure, a fundamentally new every introduced the saic of all of the very beginning.  Page 385  A Wes.  A Yes.  Do do It. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the saic of Kin tokese? If you recall, we somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button  A From a governance point of view?  A Penn a governance point of view?  A Pion a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall gertle. Why?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between MR. MITCHELL: Was there any	5	Q Do you recall any discussion around that	5	MR. MITCHELL: At the time, this time in
discussed it, why those numbers.  Q Okay, Did the board approve the if you look on page 2, it says, "Resolved that the board allocation and white paper."  Do unsee that?  A On the same page?!  A Yes.  Q I'm sorry, Page 2, 78789. There is a "Whereas."  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper. "  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper?  A Yes.  D Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper?  A I think when it says, approved the white approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we baper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed if the paper.  D Q Got It. And yesterday, we talked briefly authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a nact or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a nact or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a nact or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a nact or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to to this that was the equivalent of that big red button.  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to to this that was the equivalent of that big red button.  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  A I don't recall which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall which board meeting it	6	with board members once you presented that?	6	late May, part of the plan was to sell Kin tokens to
9   Q Okay. Did the board approve the if you look on page 2, it says, "Resolved that the board a hereby approves the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."   12   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   3   3	7	A Nothing specific. But I'm sure we	7	the public, right?
look on page 2, it says, "Resolved that the board hereby approves the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."  Do you see that?  A On the same page?  O Man ame page?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall any specific discussions. But I do recall selling this to the public. We're just 2 going soel to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall any specific discussions. But I do recall selling this to the public. We're just 2 going to sell to the public. We're just 2 going to sell to the public. We're just 2 going to sell to the public. We're just 2 going to sell to the public. We're just 2 going to sell to the public. We're just 2 going to sell to the public. We're just 2 going to sell to the public. We're just 2 going to sell to the public. We're just 2 going to sell to the public. We're just 3 going the sell to sell to the public. We're just 3 going the sell to sell to the public. We're just 3 going the sell to sell to the public. We're just 3 going the sell to sell to the public. We're just 4 going the sell to sell to the public. We're just 3 goil to the carefuled investors?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall any specific discussions. But I do recall selling this to the public was very important to me personally and to my view of the success of the project.  MR. MITCHELL: Why?  THE WITNESS: Because we had a — the vision for Kin is, was, and has always been very 2 mibitious. You know, it's basically putting together a fundamentally new vay of more working together to take on what have been digital more poper. It is a paper. In most sure what that means. I don't recall  a paper. In not sure what that means. I don't recall  this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the spetiment where we pay and the very beginning.  Page 385  A lad a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  A Page 385  A lad a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting a	8	discussed it, why those numbers.	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
hereby approves the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper."  Do you see that?  A On the same page?  O I'm sorry. Page 2, 78789. There is a 15	9	Q Okay. Did the board approve the if you	9	MR. MITCHELL: And were there any points
allocation and white paper."  Do you see that?  A On the same page?  O I'm sorry. Page 2, 78789. There is a  "Whereas."  A Yes.  D Joint the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper?  A I think when it says, approved the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  Page 385  A white paper. But I can't recall. I think what the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper?  A d white paper.  D G of it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  D Yeah. Where the board said, proceed to the sale?  A I don't recall specifically.  D Fair enough.  THE WITNESS: Because we had a — the vision for Kin is, was, and has always been very ambitious. You know, it's basically putting together a monopoles. And that is a very ambitious task.  So I knew and believed that what would  Page 385  Page 387  The witnesses: I don't recall any specific discussions. I don't recall any specific discussions. I was the equivalent of that big red button.  A Yes.  During this time, were there discussions about how which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the jie of button.  A Page in a many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  What were the odds of success would be to have as many people in as many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  What were the odds of success would be to have as many people in as many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  What were the odds of success would be to have as many people in as many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  What will be a were part of that from the very beginning.  What were the odds of success would be to ha	10	look on page 2, it says, "Resolved that the board	10	after May where Kik sort of had a plan of like, okay,
Do you see that?  A On the same page?  Q I'm sorry. Page 2, 78789. There is a  "Whereas."  A Yes.  Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed rorodomy Kin allocation and white paper?  A I think when it says, approved the white approve at the timeline proposed ever, like, reading the white paper as saking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  Page 385  A a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  A feet albed about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sake of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was an act or event that finally somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button that sake?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall, we also whether there would be, but I can't recall. Think what this is getting at is the board signored to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Bage 385  Page 387  Page 3	11	hereby approves the timeline proposed roadmap Kin	11	we're not going to sell to the public. We're just
A On the same page?  Q I'm sorry. Page 2, 78789. There is a "Whereas."  A Yes.  Q Did the heard approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper?  A I think when it says, approved the white paper, I'm not sure what that means. I don't recall ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  Page 385  1 had a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button.  A Yes.  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall think what continued the sale?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall septiment to me personally and to my view of the success of the project.  MR. MITCHELL: Why?  THE WITNESS: Because we had a — the vision for Kin is, was, and has always been very ambitious. You know, it's basically putting together a fundamentally new vany of working together to take on what have becomed igital monopolies. And that is a very ambitious task.  So I knew and believed that what would  Page 385  Page 387	12	allocation and white paper."	12	going to sell to the accredited investors?
15 Q I'm sorry. Page 2, 78789. There is a 16 "Whereas." 17 A Yes. 18 Q And then there is a "Resolved." 19 A Yes. 20 Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed 20 ambitious. You know, it's basically putting together a fundamentally new economic structure, a fundamentally new business model, and a fundamentally new way of working together to take on what have become digital monopolies. And that is a very ambitious task. 21 Page 385 22 A I think when it says, approved the white paper. But I can't recall. I think white paper or asking people to 24 monopolies. And that is a very ambitious task. 25 So I knew and believed that what would 26 approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we 27 Page 385 28 Page 387 29 A white paper. But I can't recall. I think white paper and the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper. 30 Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed? 31 A Yes. 32 Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button	13	Do you see that?	13	THE WITNESS: I can't recall any specific
16 "Whereas." 17 A Yes. 18 Q And then there is a "Resolved." 18 19 A Yes. 20 Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper? 21 roadmap Kin allocation and white paper? 22 A I think when it says, approved the white paper, I'm not sure what that means. I don't recall ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we 25 approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we 26 approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we 27 at this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with white paper. 28 Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed? 29 A Yes. 20 Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button 21 A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically. 22 I be a province of the public approach in the end of white paper. 23 A I don't recall which board meeting that was? 24 Q Fair enough. 25 I and then there is a "Resolved." 26 A Yes. 27 The WTNESS: I don't recall all of the steps. But where we landed with 50 million and the 75 the sort of a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75 the proposet.  26 A I don't recall like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button. 27 A I believe the enough.	14	A On the same page?	14	discussions. But I do recall selling this to the
17 A Yes.  18 Q And then there is a "Resolved."  19 A Yes.  20 Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed roadmap Kin allocation and white paper?  21 apaper, I'm not sure what that means. I don't recall apaper, it I think maybe approved the idea that we  22 apaper, I'm not sure what that means. I don't recall apaper, it I think maybe approved the idea that we  23 apaper, I'm not sure what hat means. I don't recall apaper, it I think maybe approved the idea that we  24 ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  25 approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  26 approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  27 apper 385  28 Page 385  Page 385  Page 387  Page 386  Page 387  Pa	15	Q I'm sorry. Page 2, 78789. There is a	15	
18 Q And then there is a "Resolved." 19 A Yes. 20 Q Did the board approve the timeline proposed 12 roadmap Kin allocation and white paper? 21 A I think when it says, approved the white 22 ambitious. You know, it's basically putting together a fundamentally new conomic structure, a fundamentally new business model, and a fundamentally new ay of working together to take on what have become digital monopolies. And that is a very ambitious task. 22 So I knew and believed that what would 23 approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we 24 white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and 4 white paper. 25 Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed? 26 A Yes. 27 A I believe there would be, but I can't recall to this that was the equivalent of that big red button	16		16	
Very substitution of the timeline proposed Page 385  Page 385  Page 385  Page 387  And I don't recall board approve the idea that we Page Page A lithink what this is getting at is the board is peper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button	17		17	·
20	18	-		
roadmap Kin allocation and white paper?  A I think when it says, approved the white paper, I'm not sure what that means. I don't recall ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  Page 385  had a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button -  A From a governance point of view?  A I think when it says, approved the white was?  A I think when it says, approved the white working together to take on what have become digital monopolies. And that is a very ambitious task.  So I knew and believed that what would  Page 387  maximize the odds of success would be to have as many people in as many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between, say, this point in the end of May and September where Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  THE WITNESS: Idon't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between  May and September when - sorry.  May and September when - sor				
A I think when it says, approved the white paper, I'm not sure what that means. I don't recall ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  Page 385  had a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  Q You don't know which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall, like, what the governance quivalent is of pushing the big red button.  Page 385  Page 387  Pasimize the odds of success would be to have as many people in as many countries as possible feel like they were paople in as many countries as possible feel like they were paople in as many countries as possible feel like they were paper of that from the very beginning.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between say, this point in the end of May and September where sorty.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between say. The will will be pablic versus how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell t				
paper, I'm not sure what that means. I don't recall ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we  Page 385  had a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A Rail don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  Page 385  Page 387  maximize the odds of success would be to have as many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  MR. MITCHELL: By buying tokens? THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between  May and September when sorry.  During this time, were there discussions about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about dose.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about dose.  MR. MIT				
ever, like, reading the white paper or asking people to approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we 25 So I knew and believed that what would  Page 385  Page 387  had a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  A I don't recall which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A Fair enough.  Bage 385  Page 387  maximize the odds of success would be to have as many people in as many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  MR. MITCHELL: By buying tokens?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between May and September when sorry.  During this time, were there discussions about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  A I don't recall which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  24 Q Fair enough.				
Page 385  Page 387  had a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was an act or event that finally somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  A I don't recall which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  Page 387  maximize the odds of success would be to have as many people in as many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between, say, this point in the end of May and September where Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between  May and September when sorry.  During this time, were there discussions about how much to sell to the accredied investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75				
Page 385  had a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  D Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of view?  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  Page 387  maximize the odds of success would be to have as many people in as many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  MR. MITCHELL: By buying tokens?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between say, this point in the end of May and September where Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between button that sught have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between button to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: Si I don't recall all of the steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold — tokens sold in the public sale equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  Q Fair enough.				-
this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  Q You don't know which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A Fair mough.  Back whete equivalent of the public varsus how much to sell to the public varsus how much to sell to the public varsus how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between Kik had a plan of not selling to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale for a combined 125 million. With the 30 perce	25	approve it. I think maybe approved the idea that we	25	So I knew and believed that what would
this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  Q You don't know which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I for in a many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  MR. MITCHELL: By buying tokens?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between, say, this point in the end of May and September where Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between May and September when sorry.  During this time, were there discussions about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75		Page 385		Page 387
this is getting at is the board is good to proceed with the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  Q You don't know which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I for in a many countries as possible feel like they were part of that from the very beginning.  MR. MITCHELL: By buying tokens?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between, say, this point in the end of May and September where Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between May and September when sorry.  During this time, were there discussions about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75	1	had a white paper. But I can't recall. I think what	1	maximize the odds of success would be to have as many
the currently proposed timeline roadmap allocation and white paper.  4 white paper.  5 Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  5 A Yes.  10 Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red  10 A From a governance point of view?  11 A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  12 A I don't recall which board meeting that recall specifically.  13 Were part of that from the very beginning.  4 MR. MITCHELL: By buying tokens?  14 MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between, say, this point in the end of May and September where Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  10 HE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  11 Can't recall.  12 MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between discussions and plan of not selling to the public?  13 May and September when sorry.  14 During this time, were there discussions about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  15 A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  16 Was?  17 THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  18 MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  19 What were the options that people weighed?  19 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the  20 Was?  20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the  21 A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  22 And I don't recall, like, what the governance  23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  24 Q Fair enough.  25 Got it. And I don't recall, like, what the governance  26 Got it And I don't recall, like, what the governance  27 Got it And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  28 Got it And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  29 Got it And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  20 Got it And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  21 Seps. Bu				
white paper.  Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red  button  A From a governance point of view?  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  A I don't recall which board meeting that  A I don't recall, like, what the governance cquivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance cquivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance cquivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A Row MRICHELL: By buying tokens?  THE WITNESS: Correct.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between discussions of the public versus how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the  was?  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall, like, what the governance  cquivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A Grain recall specifically.  A Grain recall specifically.  A I don't recall hip the discussions and the public sale for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75	3		3	
about whether there was an act or event that finally authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button.  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A Fair many point between the hort sale, was, this point in the end of May and September where was, aby, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, this point in the end of May and September where was, and in the public?  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between the discussions about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale for a combined 125 milli	4		4	MR. MITCHELL: By buying tokens?
authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button.  A From a governance point of view?  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  A I don't recall which board meeting that was?  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.	5	Q Got it. And yesterday, we talked briefly	5	THE WITNESS: Correct.
talked about whether there was a big red button that somebody pushed?  A Yes.  Do you recall a board resolution subsequent to this that was the equivalent of that big red button  A From a governance point of view?  A From a governance point of view?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  A I don't recall which board meeting that  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall, was there any point between  B Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between  May and September when sorry.  May and September when sorry.  During this time, were there discussions about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the  Sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall, like, what the governance  equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A From a governance point of view?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I  AR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I  A From a governance point of view?  THE WITNESS: But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million. With the 30 percent discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I	6	about whether there was an act or event that finally	6	MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between,
9 THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific 10 A Yes. 11 Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent 12 to this that was the equivalent of that big red 13 button 14 A From a governance point of view? 15 Q Yeah. Where the board said, proceed to the 16 sale? 17 A I believe there would be, but I can't 18 recall specifically. 19 Was? 20 You don't know which board meeting that 21 A I don't recall which board meeting it was. 22 And I don't recall which board meeting it was. 23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button. 24 Q Fair enough. 29 THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I 10 discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I 11 can't recall. 12 A MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between 13 May and September when sorry. 14 During this time, were there discussions 15 about how much to sell to the public versus how much to 16 sell to the accredited investors? 17 THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes. 18 MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those. 19 Q You don't know which board meeting that 20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the 21 A I don't recall which board meeting it was. 22 then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale 23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button. 24 Q Fair enough.	7	authorized the sale of Kin tokens? If you recall, we	7	say, this point in the end of May and September where
10 A Yes.  11 Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent 12 to this that was the equivalent of that big red 13 button 14 A From a governance point of view? 15 Q Yeah. Where the board said, proceed to the 16 sale? 17 A I believe there would be, but I can't 18 recall specifically. 19 Q You don't know which board meeting that 20 was? 21 A I don't recall which board meeting it was. 22 And I don't recall which board meeting it was. 23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button. 24 Q Fair enough. 20 In MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between 11 can't recall. 12 MR. MITCHELL: Was there any point between 13 May and September when sorry. 14 During this time, were there discussions 15 about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors? 16 sell to the accredited investors? 17 THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes. 18 MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those. 19 What were the options that people weighed? 20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the 21 A I don't recall, like, what the governance 22 then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale 23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button. 24 Q Fair enough.	8	talked about whether there was a big red button that	8	Kik had a plan of not selling to the public?
11	9	somebody pushed?	9	THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific
to this that was the equivalent of that big red  button  A From a governance point of view?  Q Yeah. Where the board said, proceed to the sale?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  Q You don't know which board meeting that  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  During this time, were there any point between  May and September when sorry.  14 During this time, were there discussions about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the  steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  Q Fair enough.	10	A Yes.	10	discussions. It might have been discussed, though. I
button  A From a governance point of view?  Q Yeah. Where the board said, proceed to the sale?  A I believe there would be, but I can't  recall specifically.  Q You don't know which board meeting that  A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A I don't recount which board meeting that equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  B May and September when sorry.  During this time, were there discussions  about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the  steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75	11	Q Do you recall a board resolution subsequent	11	can't recall.
A From a governance point of view?  Q Yeah. Where the board said, proceed to the sale?  A I believe there would be, but I can't recall specifically.  Q You don't know which board meeting that A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  A I don't recall, like, what the governance equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  A From a governance point of view?  14 During this time, were there discussions about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors?  15 THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  18 MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  19 What were the options that people weighed?  THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the  20 Steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75	12	to this that was the equivalent of that big red	12	• •
15 Q Yeah. Where the board said, proceed to the 16 sale? 17 A I believe there would be, but I can't 18 recall specifically. 19 Q You don't know which board meeting that 20 was? 20 THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes. 21 What were the options that people weighed? 22 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the 23 And I don't recall, like, what the governance 24 Q Fair enough. 25 about how much to sell to the public versus how much to sell to the accredited investors? 26 THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes. 27 THE WITNESS: I don't recall me about those. 28 Steps. But With were the options that people weighed? 29 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75	13	button	13	
16 sale?  17 A I believe there would be, but I can't  18 recall specifically.  19 Q You don't know which board meeting that  20 was?  10 A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  21 A I don't recall, like, what the governance  22 And I don't recall, like, what the governance  23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  24 Q Fair enough.  16 sell to the accredited investors?  17 THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes.  18 MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  19 What were the options that people weighed?  20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the  21 steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and  22 then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale  23 for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent  24 discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75	14			_
17 THE WITNESS: There were discussions, yes. 18 recall specifically. 18 MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those. 19 Q You don't know which board meeting that 20 was? 21 A I don't recall which board meeting it was. 22 And I don't recall, like, what the governance 23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button. 24 Q Fair enough. 26 MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those. 27 What were the options that people weighed? 28 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the 29 steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and 20 then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale 21 for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent 22 discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75	15	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
recall specifically.  18 MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  19 Q You don't know which board meeting that  20 was?  20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the  21 A I don't recall which board meeting it was.  22 And I don't recall, like, what the governance  23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  24 Q Fair enough.  18 MR. MITCHELL: Sort of tell me about those.  19 What were the options that people weighed?  21 steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and  22 then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale  23 for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent  24 discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75				
19 <b>Q You don't know which board meeting that</b> 20 <b>was?</b> 21 A I don't recall which board meeting it was. 22 And I don't recall, like, what the governance 23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button. 24 <b>Q Fair enough.</b> 29 What were the options that people weighed? 20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the 21 steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and 22 then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale 23 for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent 24 discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75				•
was?  20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the 21 A I don't recall which board meeting it was. 22 And I don't recall, like, what the governance 23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button. 24 Q Fair enough. 20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall all of the 21 steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and 22 then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale 23 for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent 24 discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75				
And I don't recall which board meeting it was.  And I don't recall, like, what the governance  equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  Q Fair enough.  21 steps. But where we landed with 50 million presale and then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75		-		
And I don't recall, like, what the governance  22 then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale  23 equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  24 <b>Q Fair enough.</b> 22 then 75 million sold tokens sold in the public sale  23 for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent  24 discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75				
equivalent is of pushing the big red button.  23 for a combined 125 million. With the 30 percent  24 <b>Q Fair enough.</b> 23 discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75				•
Q Fair enough. 24 discount, it would make the 50 million and the 75				
-				
25 minion. Meaning, they would get equal parts of that				
I I		my don't we go on the record.	23	minon. Meaning, they would get equal parts of that

	Page 388		Page 390
1	10 percent, 5 percent each.	1	A I did.
2	And that felt symbolically like a very cool	2	Q You went to various public fora, meetings
3	statement, that both the private accredited side and	3	and the like, and talked about Kin?
4	the public side would be participating from day one	4	A Yeah.
5	equally.	5	Q Did you meet with people who were
6	MR. MITCHELL: So the plan was to have the	6	interested or thinking about buying Kin?
7	public get about half?	7	A Like on yes, I did. Like, on the
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.	8	presale side, I definitely met with people. I am just
9	MR. LEASURE: Off the record.	9	thinking of, like, the different categories of people I
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends Disc Number 1.	10	met with. I also did lots of public talks. Did some
11	Going off the record.	11	of those people end up buying Kin, probably. Although,
12	The time on the monitor is 11:02 a.m.	12	I'm not sure. So I'd say yes.
13	(A brief recess was taken.)	13	MR. MITCHELL: Why do public talks?
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins Disc Number	14	THE WITNESS: To explain the vision of Kin.
15	2. We are back on the record. The time is 11:17 a.m.	15	BY MR. LEASURE:
16	BY MR. LEASURE:	16	Q Sure.
17	Q Back on the record after a brief break	17	MR. MITCHELL: One more practical question.
18	during which, Mr. Livingston, did you have any	18	In terms of actually people you met
19	substantive conversations about this investigation with	19	individually or, you know, who is representing some
20	SEC staff?	20	entity, what ones do you remember meeting?
21	A I did not.	21	THE WITNESS: I remember meeting with
22	Q So we've talked about May, a Medium post,	22	Polychain I remember Polychain, Pantera. I did call
23	the white paper. Rolling the camera forward, how did	23	to some others. I can't remember their specific names.
24	how, if at all, did Kik market the Kin token sale?	24	Those are the ones that I recall specifically.
25	A When you say how did we market the token	25	MR. MITCHELL: Where did you meet with
			Ž
	Page 389		Page 391
1	sale, what do you mean by "market"?	1	Polychain?
1 2	sale, what do you mean by "market"?  Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token	1 2	Polychain? THE WITNESS: I can't recall.
		1	·
2	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token	2	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.
2	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?	2 3	THE WITNESS: I can't recall. MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?
2 3 4	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.
2 3 4 5	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them
2 3 4 5 6	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them  before or after the announcement at Token Summit?
2 3 4 5 6	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them  before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them  before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them  before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them  before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress  Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them  before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress  Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.  Q And you wanted to encourage as many people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them  before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.  BY MR. LEASURE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.  Q And you wanted to encourage as many people as possible to then participate in the project, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Was there a road show held in connection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.  Q And you wanted to encourage as many people as possible to then participate in the project, right?  A To be part of it from day one, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Was there a road show held in connection with Kin marketing events or talking about Kin?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.  Q And you wanted to encourage as many people as possible to then participate in the project, right?  A To be part of it from day one, yes.  Q And to be part of it by day one, they would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Was there a road show held in connection with Kin marketing events or talking about Kin?  A Did we go around the world to explain the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.  Q And you wanted to encourage as many people as possible to then participate in the project, right?  A To be part of it from day one, yes.  Q And to be part of it by day one, they would buy Kin tokens, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Was there a road show held in connection with Kin marketing events or talking about Kin?  A Did we go around the world to explain the Kin vision, I think yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.  Q And you wanted to encourage as many people as possible to then participate in the project, right?  A To be part of it from day one, yes.  Q And to be part of it by day one, they would buy Kin tokens, right?  A Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Was there a road show held in connection with Kin marketing events or talking about Kin?  A Did we go around the world to explain the Kin vision, I think yes.  Q Was that called a road show?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.  Q And you wanted to encourage as many people as possible to then participate in the project, right?  A To be part of it from day one, yes.  Q And to be part of it by day one, they would buy Kin tokens, right?  A Yeah.  Q Okay. I'm not going to quibble about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Was there a road show held in connection with Kin marketing events or talking about Kin?  A Did we go around the world to explain the Kin vision, I think yes.  Q Was that called a road show?  A I think that's typically the term for going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.  Q And you wanted to encourage as many people as possible to then participate in the project, right?  A To be part of it from day one, yes.  Q And to be part of it by day one, they would buy Kin tokens, right?  A Yeah.  Q Okay. I'm not going to quibble about the word "marketing," but spread awareness, whatever term	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Was there a road show held in connection with Kin marketing events or talking about Kin?  A Did we go around the world to explain the Kin vision, I think yes.  Q Was that called a road show?  A I think that's typically the term for going on the road, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.  Q And you wanted to encourage as many people as possible to then participate in the project, right?  A To be part of it from day one, yes.  Q And to be part of it by day one, they would buy Kin tokens, right?  A Yeah.  Q Okay. I'm not going to quibble about the word "marketing," but spread awareness, whatever term you want to use, Kik did that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Was there a road show held in connection with Kin marketing events or talking about Kin?  A Did we go around the world to explain the Kin vision, I think yes.  Q Was that called a road show?  A I think that's typically the term for going on the road, yes.  Q Why did you who did that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Did Kin market did Kin market the token sale?  A Did we did we explain Kin in many different forums, yes. Did we explain that we were doing a token sale, yes.  Q Why did Kin Kik do that?  A Because we wanted as many people to be a part of it as possible.  Q So you wanted to you and others at Kik wanted to go to public fora and explain the Kin project, right?  A Yeah. The vision for Kin, yeah.  Q And you wanted to encourage as many people as possible to then participate in the project, right?  A To be part of it from day one, yes.  Q And to be part of it by day one, they would buy Kin tokens, right?  A Yeah.  Q Okay. I'm not going to quibble about the word "marketing," but spread awareness, whatever term you want to use, Kik did that?  A Yep.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: In New York City?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you meet with them before or after the announcement at Token Summit?  THE WITNESS: I cannot recall.  MR. MITCHELL: Did you go to San Francisco to meet with anybody?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  Actually, I know, at a minimum, I went to Fortress Capital and met with them. So I recall that. Outside of that, I cannot recall specifically who else I met.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q Was there a road show held in connection with Kin marketing events or talking about Kin?  A Did we go around the world to explain the Kin vision, I think yes.  Q Was that called a road show?  A I think that's typically the term for going on the road, yes.  Q Why did you who did that?  A Who did which?

Page 394 Page 392 1 Q Good question. First, who put together? 1 form of -- written down in the form of a deck. 2 A I can't recall. Like, it was a group 2 Q Do you recognize it? 3 3 effort. A Not specifically, no. 4 4 Q Did you go? Q I'll represent to you that this was -- we can see custodians when we get document production. 5 A I did go to many events, yes. 5 6 Q Who else went with you? 6 This was in your files, but I can't tell you how it got 7 7 A I'm sure Rod, our head of communications at there or what is the date of the document. 8 8 A Yeah. I don't think -- like. I'm sure it the time, would have gone to many of them. And then 9 different people for other ones. Like, for example, I 9 would have been shared with me. I don't recall 10 know I went to TechCrunch Disrupt in China. I can't 10 specifically reviewing it. It might have happened, 11 recall when they did that. But I believe it was only 11 though. I just can't recall. 12 Rod and I at that one, for example. 12 Q That's fine. I actually just want to point 13 13 to specific pieces and ask -- use them to ask you what Q How about where else did you go on the road 14 14 show? happened and what you remember, okay? 15 A I think I did something in Europe in 15 A Sure. London, I seem to recall. I think I spoke in San 16 16 Q I guess first, maybe for context in the Francisco. I can't recall where else. 17 17 document, can I point you to the second page, that's 18 Q And who went with you to London and San 18 107737. It has --19 19 A Do you mind if I actually just look at the Francisco, if anyone? 20 A I would say Rod. But I can't recall who 20 rest of this --21 21 else. Q Take your time. 22 Q Did anyone who didn't work for Kik 22 A Okay. Sorry. Your question? 23 participate in the road show? 23 Q You had a chance to look at it. 24 A I cannot recall. I cannot recall. I don't 24 Is there any other context you can give me 25 25 -- nobody comes to mind. But it's possible. having reviewed the document? Page 393 Page 395 1 Q In addition to the road show, globally, A I'd only be speculating on what this could 1 2 sort of the -- the marketing or spread-the-word efforts 2 be. 3 prior to the public sale, did anyone who didn't work 3 Q That's fine. 4 4 for Kik help out with that? A I can do that if you want. 5 A I'm not sure if CoinFund would have helped 5 Q No, I don't. The second page, 107737. 6 with that in terms of identifying where we should 6 Do you see the table of contents? 7 speak, where crypto -- people interested in 7 8 cryptocurrencies would be or what events they might be 8 Q Does that give you any sense of what the 9 at. But I can't recall specifically. 9 purpose of this document is, or where it fits in to the 10 Q How about anyone not sort of being paid by 10 timeline we've been discussing? 11 A Looks like how we're going to get people Kik, was there some... 11 12 A I can't recall anybody specifically. 12 excited about Kin. 13 13 Q Okay. Let me show you a document. I'm Q So communicating about Kin to the public 14 14 going to mark this as Exhibit 202. and the plan for doing so? 15 15 (SEC Exhibit No. 202 was marked for A Yep. 16 identification.) 16 Q Do you have any sense, just based on the BY MR. LEASURE: 17 17 org chart of Kik at the time, who would have been 18 Q Look at Exhibit 202, and let me know when 18 responsible for thinking about these efforts? 19 you're ready. I'll represent for the record it's a 19 A I would think it would be led by Rod. But 20 multi-page document with the title "Kik Token Event 20 ultimately, it'd be Erin's responsibility. 21 Communications Strategy." And it begins with the Bates 21 Q Let me point you to the -- the next page is 2.2 stamp KIK 107736. 2.2 107738. It has "key audiences." 23 23 What is this document? 24 A The title says it's a communications 24 Q Can you take a look at that. 25 strategy. It looks like a strategy written down in the 25 Were these the audiences for Kik's

Page 396 Page 398 1 communication efforts around Kin? 1 you remember, in advance of the public sale? 2 A I think this is a list. I'm not sure about 2 A There is -- in crypto, there is always tons 3 3 the categorization. But this is a list of many of of false information on different -- in many different 4 4 people who were interested in cryptocurrencies at the 5 5 Q Sure. Particularly, with respect to Kin, 6 Q Were these people Kik targeted into the 6 did anything -- does anything jump out that concerned 7 7 you or bothered you being circulated at the time? subsequent efforts it made to communicate about Kin? 8 8 A I think what this is just trying to do is, A Nothing specific comes to mind. 9 Q Who handled Kik's presence on sites like 9 like, there's lots of different people here. And it's 10 Reddit? 10 trying to do its best to put them into a structure to 11 make it sort of easier to comprehend. 11 A I can't recall who specifically was 12 responsible for that at the time. 12 So I think, like, individual boxes, yes. 13 Q Did you do anything? 13 These are all groups that exist. I'm not sure I would 14 A I can't recall anything specifically. If I 14 have put them in these three buckets, but somebody did. 15 were to guess -- like, I obviously posted to Medium. I 15 Q Can I point you a little further in the 16 might have commented on Reddit. Although, I think I 16 document to the page with the Bates stamp ending 745. 17 actually created my Reddit account later. You could --17 And it comes with the title of "Community Management." 18 I'm ted\_on\_reddit is my username. You can look at when 18 A Okay. 19 my Cake day is. Actually, I believe I created that 19 Q Let me pause you on this. When it's 20 after, even much after the token sale. talking about community management, I see icons for 20 21 Q I see in e-mails there is some assignments 21 Reddit, Slack. Did Kik use sites like Reddit or Slack 22 being given to people within Kik about sort of 22 to communicate about the Kin token event? 23 community channels to monitor or post on. 23 24 Does any of that ring a bell? 24 Q What were the major websites that you used, 25 A Yeah. It doesn't ring a bell. But 25 if you remember? Page 399 Page 397 1 A I would guess Reddit and Twitter and Medium 1 intuitively, it makes sense. 2 would be the big ones. I can't recall exactly what we 2 Q But did you have any -- did you, yourself, 3 did with Slack. 3 have any assignments on particular... 4 Q How about Telegram? Did they have a 4 A It's possible, but not that I can recall. 5 5 presence on there? Q That's fine. Let me point you to 748 of 6 A Yep. Telegram. 6 this document. That's page 13. 7 Q YouTube? 7 A Yes. 8 8 A I would think so, yes. Q There is a reference to rolling thunder. 9 9 Q We saw Medium. Did you -- this talks 10 about, I guess -- people have to manage the presence 10 Q What is that? It's a cool name. What is 11 it? that Kik has on various websites like Reddit, right? 11 12 Let me ask that -- so for example, someone 12 A What is rolling thunder? 13 at Kik has to post things or keep an eye on the 13 Q Yeah. 14 14 community postings that are happening on those various A I think the term "rolling thunder" is used 15 websites? 15 a lot in the industry. And it's this idea that you 16 A I wouldn't say "has to." I would say, you 16 want to create, like, an increasing rolling excitement 17 know, there is two options. One is you could let --17 around something. So in this case, we wanted to create 18 like, people would post about Kin on Reddit, whether we a rolling increasing excitement around Kin. 18 19 were there or not. The advantage to being there is if 19 Q Did that happen? 20 people are spreading false information, then we can, to Was there an effort to do that? 2.0 21 the best of our ability, correct it. 21 22 Q Did Kik do that on various sites like 22 Q Let me point you to -- maybe it's helpful 23 to have an actual context -- 107749, the next page. Reddit? 23 24 A I believe we did, yes. 24 A Okay. 25 Q Was there false information going on, do 25 Q Says, "Phase 2 rolling thunder."

Page 400 Page 402 1 A Okay. That's what it looks like, yes. 2 Q Was this the plan to generate increased 2 Did that happen? 3 attention or interest in Kin? 3 I'm sure -- we definitely met with media. 4 A These look like various things we were 4 I can't remember the specifics of which media we met 5 doing to create awareness around Kin. 5 where and how. But definitely, we met with media. 6 Q And you participated in some of these 6 Q How does that -- someone sets up with a 7 efforts? 7 reporter and you provide them information about the Kin 8 A Yep. 8 offering; is that right? 9 9 Q Okay. Maybe more specifically, let me A I think -- I don't know specifically. But 10 point you to the next page, which ends in Bates stamp 10 if I were to guess, it's reaches out to reporter and 11 750, "Participant Road Show." 11 says, "Kin is going to launch its own cryptocurrency. 12 A Okay. 12 It's really exciting. Here is why you should hear the 13 Q Is this referring to a plan for the road 13 story from Ted." 14 show we talked about earlier? 14 Q Did you personally meet with some of the 15 A This looks like a list of people we could 15 reporters? 16 meet who could be interested -- who were interested in 16 A I can't recall any specific meetings, but 17 cryptocurrencies and could be interested in Kin. 17 I'm sure I did. 18 Q Just for the record, I'm just going to read 18 Q Okay. Fair enough. Again, I am using this 19 it. "Goal, Ted to meet with top 2 to 3 crypto 19 to sort of orient us. Were there other major events or 20 participants in each market." And then it lists out, I measures taken beyond what we've talked about in terms 20 21 guess, five or six places with different people or 21 of spreading the word about Kin in advance of the 22 entities there. 22 public sale? 23 Do you see that? 23 A Not to my recollection. 24 A I do. 24 Q Okay. Fair enough. I'll take that back. 25 Q Which of these happened? 25 Thank you. Talking briefly about public awareness and Page 401 Page 403 A I can't recall specifically which of them 1 1 talking about -- we've talked about Kin so far. I want 2 happened. Like, I do recall meeting with Pantera. I 2 to go back in time and talk about Kik's messaging app 3 do recall meeting with Tim Draper. I do recall meeting 3 business. 4 4 with Polychain. I do recall meeting with Brock Pierce. A Sure. 5 5 I don't recall any others. I met Anthony, Q When Kik wanted to market, promote, talk 6 I seem to recall, at an event one time. But I don't 6 about Kik, the messaging app, how did it do that? 7 think I sat down privately with him, but it's possible. 7 A When we were launching new features that we 8 I can't recall. I don't recall meeting with any of the 8 wanted to explain to the world and why they're 9 9 other names on this list. exciting, we would do Medium posts, we would speak at 10 Q That's fine. And is this in connection 10 events, we would call reporters. Many similar things 11 11 with what we've been calling the presale, trying to to what we just talked about. 12 12 identify different presale interest? Q Did it communicate it inside Kik, the 13 A Yep. I think that's correct. 13 messaging app, to the messaging app users? 14 14 Q Is it fair to say that whichever these A For the most part, no. 15 15 particular ones did or didn't happen, you went to Q Why not? 16 various places, including places in the U.S., and met 16 A If you build great features, users will 17 with potential presale investors? 17 discover them naturally over time. And so one of the 18 A Correct. 18 common critiques I've gotten over the years is we don't 19 19 do enough to market new features inside the app. And Q Okay. Did you -- the next page, 751, has a 20 20 my view is if it's a feature that users love, they'll road show -- has more information about a road show. 21 21 Do you see that? tell other users about it, they'll use it with them, 22 22 and they will discover it on their own. A Yep. 23 23 Q And then moving to the Kin sale. Was that Q Was this an effort to also reach out to 2.4 media? Am I reading this correctly, or is this 24 promoted at all in the Kik messaging app, do you know? 25 25 something else? A Not to my recollection.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 404

Q Why not?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A We have a history of typically not promoting new features inside the app. Again, letting them spread organically through the messenger. But I think on top of that, in any case, but especially in this case, this is a brand new technology. You know, it was a very early technology, very fragile technology. And so probably -- whenever we're rolling out a new feature, we rolled out a few users at a time. That's a common practice in the industry.

You know, when you don't know if something is going to work, you don't roll it out to everybody at the same so everybody has a bad experience right away. You roll it out slowly. So I think, especially in this case, like with blockchain technology being so young, we would want to roll it out in a very slow and controlled way.

Q Was there a concern within Kik or Kik's executive team about scaring off Kik messaging app users by rolling out Kin too quickly inside the messaging app?

A Scaring off -- you know, Kik users had used Kik Points and had loved them. So from a product point of view, from an idea point of view, I don't think it would be scary for users. I think probably what was

at once.

Q And Kin wasn't ready for that?

No blockchain in the world is ready for

that.

Q So it had to be a more gradual buildup?

O I want to talk a bit -- so we've talked about how Kik was trying to spread the word about the upcoming public sale of Kin, right?

A Trying to create awareness around what we were doing with Kin, why it was an exciting new vision, and why people should purchase tokens.

Q If you had to summarize Kik's pitch on those points, what would be your high level summary?

A Of which?

Q Of all of them. You're trying to spread awareness that you're about to be selling this token. It's a major event for the company. And you want people to be enthusiastic about it, right?

A I think the bullets of my message were -and I recall this actually because I think we did it many times -- is, you know, on one side, digital apps are becoming more and more important in our lives. We're using them to communicate with our friends, share pictures, order food. Pretty much, we run our lives

Page 405

scary is Kik Points was running on a tried and trued and highly scaled technology, which is a centralized database. So we had much more conviction that it would work well.

Whereas, blockchain was sort of the exact opposite. It was something that was brand new, did not work well, and almost nobody in the world had used yet. So I think when you say "scare off," I think there were high odds that the experience, the technology would break, and therefore, give Kik users a bad experience.

Q Got it. So the worry was that if you put it into the Kik messaging app immediately, as of the public sale, it simply might not -- there might be glitches or tech issues or it just might not work correctly?

A I think the concern was if I -- because I'm not sure quite -- that's not quite what I was saying. The concern was is if we put Kin into Kik and then tried to get everybody to use it right at the beginning, that that was would increase the odds that the technology would break, and therefore, be a bad idea.

Q Because just too many people would be trying to use it at once?

A Too many people would be trying to use it

Page 407

Page 406

through our phones.

And yet, increasingly, those apps are being owned and run by a smaller and smaller number of companies. And we're now on the path to where there will only be a few companies left. And every app we do and everything we do in our lives will be controlled by those few companies. And that ultimately -- that will lead to less innovation, that will lead to less choice, and ultimately, less freedom. We think the world needs a better way.

And we think a cryptocurrency is the only way to create that better way. So we are creating a new cryptocurrency to create a fundamentally new business model for developers and their consumers to band together, make money in a new way that's better for their consumers, and work together as one to take on these monopolies together, to advert a future disaster in global society where few companies run the world.

Q Let me just give you a reaction and follow it with a question to that. That -- I can see why that would be appealing vision and that I would want to -you know, I would cheer for you. But was there a pitch why I should spend money and then buy the tokens?

A So how are we going to solve this problem,

Page 408

1 I guess, is your question?

Q Sure. I can -- I could just give you money as a charity and help you, wish you the best in Facebook. But why should I give you my money and participate?

A Because if you just give us money, that's not going to change anything. Like, lots of people had given us lots of money, and yet, we were still here, and monopolies were increasingly owning the future. If it was just about money, like, we would have been fine. We wouldn't have needed to move into a crypto business model. The problem was much bigger than just money.

Q I guess I'm saying it sounds like, from what you're saying, the pitch was you should want --"You, the potential buyer of Kin, should want to avoid a future dominated by a monopoly like Facebook" --

A Uh-huh.

Q -- "so give us money to avoid that"?

19 A No.

Q Okay.

A So how are we going to solve that?

22 Cryptocurrency offers the one potential solution that

23 we've seen in our, you know, eight or nine-year

24 history, whatever it was at the time --

Q Right.

Page 410

work. And so this needs to be an ecosystem. An ecosystem of developers, of creators, of consumers, all

3 coming together, owning this currency, contributing in

their own ways to grow demand for the cryptocurrency,

5 and therefore, make the cryptocurrency they own more

6 valuable, but in doing so, making everybody else's

7 cryptocurrency more valuable at the same time.

Q Right. And we spent a lot of time talking yesterday about -- I think it was termed a value proposition in a document, but I think what you termed yesterday, the fundamental economics of cryptocurrencies, right?

A Yep.

Q That as demand increases, value -- you know, value will rise, and everyone shares in that -in that gain, right?

A Correct.

Q Okay. That idea, that value proposition, that fundamental of crypto economics, did you present that as part -- to people as part of raising awareness about the Kin token sale?

A I can't recall specifically, but people cannot understand Kin without understanding these

24 fundamentals of crypto economics.

Q So you expected people that bought Kin

Page 409

A -- to change the course of this, what felt like an inevitable -- what feels like an inevitable future. How are we going to do that? Like, you have to understand the fundamentals of cryptocurrencies and crypto economics. So we did our best to explain that. Like, why are cryptocurrencies -- why was Bitcoin a fundamental innovation? Because you can guarantee the scarcity of a digital asset. What does that mean?

Once the asset is created, there will never be more. So if demand of that asset goes, supply is fixed, and the price will go up. Why is that important? That creates a fundamentally new business model for consumer app developers, like Kik, but like every other consumer developer out there. It also puts developers and consumers on the same team.

Consumers can only spend what they earn, and so every developer's job is no longer to extract value, but is actually to find ways to get their users compensated for the value they provide. How all this works -- and maybe one other thing. The exciting part about this is, you know, if this were just being Kik, it would never work because, you know, at any point, Kik could stop accepting Kik Points or Kin or any other cryptocurrency.

This is why a Starbucks coin would never

Page 411

# likely did understand that?

A We understand it a lot better now than we did back then, even, like, me. So it's hard to say what exactly I or anybody else understood exactly back then. But that's, you know, sort of the foundation -- foundational idea of Bitcoin. You can guarantee the scarcity of a digital asset. And that's what opens up everything that makes, from my point of view, cryptocurrencies powerful.

# Q Got it. And you don't know sitting here today if you did or did not communicate that?

A I don't recall.

MR. MITCHELL: So we'll mark a DVD that has on its face the SEC seal and 4 -- it says "4-I have two questions." And on that -- well, and on that, it has a file. I'll turn on the TV. That file is a video file.

(SEC Exhibit No. 203 was marked for identification.)

MR. GIBBS: In the interest of time, while you're working on that, why don't I just note for the record our objection to the marking of the video file on the ground that, as I understand it, the file that is being marked is a clip of a longer video. And so we would object that the exhibit that's being marked is incomplete because it is a portion of a longer video.

Page 412 Page 414 1 MR. MITCHELL: So, Mr. Livingston, you can 1 sell at a higher price. And that's the thing that was 2 2 see the screen? just never possible. Amazon Credits, is the demand 3 3 THE WITNESS: I can. guaranteed to be the same? No. They can make more 4 MR. MITCHELL: All right. Do you recognize 4 whenever they want. 5 what this is? 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But nobody expects 6 6 THE WITNESS: I do not. the value of Amazon Credits to go up. Everybody 7 7 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Do you know who that expects the value of Amazon Credits to always be paying 8 8 one to one with the dollar. guy -- are you on the screen? 9 THE WITNESS: I am on the screen. 9 MR. LIVINGSTON: Right. I think it's, 10 MR. MITCHELL: Do you know who the other 10 like, different. Like, I think the Amazon Credits is 11 person is? 11 like a different way to use U.S. dollars; where this 12 THE WITNESS: I cannot recall who that is. 12 is, like, a fundamentally different financial (audio 13 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. 13 interference.) 14 14 (VIDEO PLAYING.) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My second question 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have two questions 15 for you is: What will Kik do in order to guarantee the 16 16 for you, actually. First of all, you said that value of Kin going forward? 17 17 cryptocurrencies were the first digital -- scarce MR. LIVINGSTON: So we cannot guarantee the 18 digital asset, but Amazon Credits existed before 18 value of Kin. You know, I think, once you create a 19 cryptocurrencies. And isn't there a finite amount of 19 cryptocurrency, it's on exchanges, and the price of it 20 Amazon Credits? And for that matter, all bank 20 is set by the market based on supply and demand. And 21 21 balances, as well as, for that matter, a work out so, you know, even though supply is fixed, if demand 22 quote? 22 goes down, the price is going to go down. But I think 23 MR. LIVINGSTON: So what I said is a 23 what we can guarantee is we are all in this, you know. 24 24 cryptocurrency for the first time ever can guarantee This is something we've been working towards for a long 25 25 the scarcity of a digital asset. You know, we ran Kik time. But this is something that you see in our Page 413 Page 415 Points. Are there only so many Kik Points? No. We 1 financial best interest, because of 30 percent. But, 1 2 can create more whenever we want. And you're just 2 actually, like, just to be honest, like, this is 3 going to have to trust us that we don't create too 3 something we have to do. We cannot compete with 4 4 many. And so I think, you know, you'll get Amazon Facebeook. We can't -- everything we do, they copy it 5 5 Credits. They create -- they create more all the time. two years later. They have way more developers, way 6 Do you think they have, like -- like, running low on 6 more scale. And that was the process, really, the 7 7 the Amazon Credits. What do we do? turning point, is when we saw Snapchat's S-1. And UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Will we have an 8 8 looked at them, we're like, "Wait, even Snapchat is 9 internal ledger for Kin to decide how much Kin is 9 struggling?" Like, this company has raised 10 created? 10 \$2.5 billion. They have 2,000 employees. They have an 11 MR. LIVINGSTON: So that's the beautiful 11 amazing insight, amazing brand. Kik got everything 12 thing about the blockchains. We know with Bitcoin, for 12 perfectly, and even they are struggling to compete with 13 example, there's only going to ever be 22 million, or 13 Facebook. 14 whatever it is. 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So there is nothing 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 21 million. 15 backing the Kin token? 16 16 MR. LIVINGSTON: 21 million. Thank you. I MR. LIVINGSTON: The only thing backing any 17 appreciate that. Sorry. And this -- so that's the 17 cryptocurrency is demand. Like, you know, why is 18 Bitcoin worth what it's worth? Why is Ether worth what 18 beautiful thing, is like as a developer, as anybody in 19 the ecosystem, even look at Bitcoin, and say so there's 19 it's worth? It's because there is a fixed supply and 20 only going to ever being 21 million Bitcoins. So the 20 there is so much demand. If demand goes down, then the 21 supply is fixed. So if the demand for Bitcoin goes up, price will go down. If demand goes up, the price will 21 22 economics 101, supply stays the same, demand goes up, 22 go up. 23 price is going to go up. And therefore, if I buy it 23 (END VIDEO.) 24 today if I think the demand is low because I think 24 BY MR. LEASURE: 25 tomorrow the demand will be higher, I will be able to 25 Q Was that you?

	Page 416	Page 418
1	A Yes.	1 cannot understand Kin.
2	Q Do you know where that was?	2 Q And I take it in talking at, I think it was
3	A I think it was in San Francisco, but I	3 called the Bitcoin Meetup, is also a helpful frame of
4	don't recall exactly.	4 reference for people who don't know about Kin yet,
5	Q I can represent to you that from the I	5 right?
6	think we pulled that off of YouTube. I think the data	6 A Correct.
7	around it looks like you were at a San Francisco	7 Q Because they do know about Bitcoin, right?
8	Bitcoin Meetup. Does that sound right?	8 A Correct.
9	A It sounds familiar.	9 Q Bitcoin is the most well-known
10	Q Okay. And was that in connection with	10 cryptocurrency, right?
11	raising awareness about the upcoming Kin token sale?	11 A Correct. It's the first and most
12	A I think that was about raising awareness	12 well-known, yes.
13	about Kin.	13 Q Okay.
14	Q Kin. Sure. Okay. But my point is that	14 You also said correct me if I'm
15	was do you have any reason to doubt that that was	15 wrong.
16	before the actual sale itself?	16 You were asked why you what is Kik going to
17	A No. I don't have any reason to doubt that,	do to guarantee the value of this cryptocurrency,
18	no.	18 correct?
19	Q Okay. You don't know either way?	19 A Correct.
20	A I don't recall specifically.	20 Q And you answered you can't guarantee the
21	Q And first, I want to apologize, one of my	value of a cryptocurrency, correct?
22	nightmares is watching myself talk on video, so I	22 A Correct.
23	apologize to you. It's painful for me to watch myself.	23 Q And that's accurate, right?
24	Is what you said accurate?	24 A That's accurate.
25	A I believe so, yes.	25 Q You stated and correct me if I'm
	Page 417	Page 419
1	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been	1 wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?
2	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"? A Yep.
2	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.	<ul> <li>wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?</li> <li>A Yep.</li> <li>Q Was that accurate?</li> </ul>
2 3 4	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep.	1 wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"? 2 A Yep. 3 Q Was that accurate? 4 A Yes.
2 3 4 5	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep.  Q Would you say you were describing your view	<ul> <li>wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?</li> <li>A Yep.</li> <li>Q Was that accurate?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what does that mean?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep.  Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there?	<ul> <li>wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?</li> <li>A Yep.</li> <li>Q Was that accurate?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what does that mean?</li> <li>A That means that we were going to do</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep.  Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there?  A Yes.	<ul> <li>wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?</li> <li>A Yep.</li> <li>Q Was that accurate?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what does that mean?</li> <li>A That means that we were going to do</li> <li>everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep.  Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there?	<ul> <li>wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?</li> <li>A Yep.</li> <li>Q Was that accurate?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what does that mean?</li> <li>A That means that we were going to do</li> <li>everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have</li> <li>it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately?	<ul> <li>wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?</li> <li>A Yep.</li> <li>Q Was that accurate?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And what does that mean?</li> <li>A That means that we were going to do</li> <li>everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have</li> <li>it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes.	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have  it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises	1 wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"? 2 A Yep. 3 Q Was that accurate? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And what does that mean? 6 A That means that we were going to do 7 everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have 8 it be the currency of exchange inside Kik. 9 Q Support the project? 10 A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up?	1 wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"? 2 A Yep. 3 Q Was that accurate? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And what does that mean? 6 A That means that we were going to do 7 everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have 8 it be the currency of exchange inside Kik. 9 Q Support the project? 10 A Be an active participant in the ecosystem. 11 We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin 12 or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up? A Correct.	1 wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"? 2 A Yep. 3 Q Was that accurate? 4 A Yes. 5 Q And what does that mean? 6 A That means that we were going to do 7 everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have 8 it be the currency of exchange inside Kik. 9 Q Support the project? 10 A Be an active participant in the ecosystem. 11 We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin 12 or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were 13 saying because it was in our financial interest,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up? A Correct. Q And that there was nothing actually that	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were saying because it was in our financial interest, because we own 30 percent of all Kin, that we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up? A Correct. Q And that there was nothing actually that the future value of Kin wasn't backed by any	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were saying because it was in our financial interest, because we own 30 percent of all Kin, that we were going to go all in on Kin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up? A Correct. Q And that there was nothing actually that the future value of Kin wasn't backed by any anything other than the laws of supply and demand?	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have  it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin  or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were  saying because it was in our financial interest,  because we own 30 percent of all Kin, that we were  going to go all in on Kin.  Q Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up? A Correct. Q And that there was nothing actually that the future value of Kin wasn't backed by any anything other than the laws of supply and demand? A Correct.	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have  it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin  or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were  saying because it was in our financial interest,  because we own 30 percent of all Kin, that we were  going to go all in on Kin.  Q Right.  A If we own 30 percent of Bitcoin, maybe we'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up? A Correct. Q And that there was nothing actually that the future value of Kin wasn't backed by any anything other than the laws of supply and demand? A Correct. Q Okay. You compared in that analysis,	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were saying because it was in our financial interest, because we own 30 percent of all Kin, that we were going to go all in on Kin.  Q Right.  A If we own 30 percent of Bitcoin, maybe we'd go all in on Bitcoin, but we didn't.  Q As an outsider, I wasn't there  A Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up? A Correct. Q And that there was nothing actually that the future value of Kin wasn't backed by any anything other than the laws of supply and demand? A Correct. Q Okay. You compared in that analysis, you compared Kin to Bitcoin, right? A Uh-huh. Q Why did you compare Kin to Bitcoin?	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were saying because it was in our financial interest, because we own 30 percent of all Kin, that we were going to go all in on Kin.  Q Right.  A If we own 30 percent of Bitcoin, maybe we'd go all in on Bitcoin, but we didn't.  Q As an outsider, I wasn't there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there? A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up? A Correct. Q And that there was nothing actually that the future value of Kin wasn't backed by any anything other than the laws of supply and demand? A Correct. Q Okay. You compared in that analysis, you compared Kin to Bitcoin, right? A Uh-huh.	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were saying because it was in our financial interest, because we own 30 percent of all Kin, that we were going to go all in on Kin.  Q Right.  A If we own 30 percent of Bitcoin, maybe we'd go all in on Bitcoin, but we didn't.  Q As an outsider, I wasn't there  A Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there?  A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up?  A Correct. Q And that there was nothing actually that the future value of Kin wasn't backed by any anything other than the laws of supply and demand? A Correct. Q Okay. You compared in that analysis, you compared Kin to Bitcoin, right? A Uh-huh. Q Why did you compare Kin to Bitcoin? A Bitcoin was the first cryptocurrency that was able to guarantee the scarcity of a digital asset.	wrong ''But we guarantee we are all in on this''?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have  it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin  or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were  saying because it was in our financial interest,  because we own 30 percent of all Kin, that we were  going to go all in on Kin.  Q Right.  A If we own 30 percent of Bitcoin, maybe we'd  go all in on Bitcoin, but we didn't.  Q As an outsider, I wasn't there  A Yep.  Q watching that video, it seems like at  least the questioner was concerned about how is Kik  going to support or relate to the Kin project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there?  A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up?  A Correct. Q And that there was nothing actually that the future value of Kin wasn't backed by any anything other than the laws of supply and demand? A Correct. Q Okay. You compared in that analysis, you compared Kin to Bitcoin, right? A Uh-huh. Q Why did you compare Kin to Bitcoin? A Bitcoin was the first cryptocurrency that was able to guarantee the scarcity of a digital asset. So if you understand Bitcoin, then you can understand	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were saying because it was in our financial interest, because we own 30 percent of all Kin, that we were going to go all in on Kin.  Q Right.  A If we own 30 percent of Bitcoin, maybe we'd go all in on Bitcoin, but we didn't.  Q As an outsider, I wasn't there  A Yep.  Q watching that video, it seems like at least the questioner was concerned about how is Kik going to support or relate to the Kin project.  Does that seem right to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. Would you agree we've been talking an awful lot yesterday and today about what you see as the fundamental economics of crypto.  A Yep. Q Would you say you were describing your view on that to the audience there?  A Yes. Q And you think you described it accurately? A Yes. Q Okay. So, for example, if demand rises if demand rises in the future, the value of a coin will go up?  A Correct. Q And that there was nothing actually that the future value of Kin wasn't backed by any anything other than the laws of supply and demand? A Correct. Q Okay. You compared in that analysis, you compared Kin to Bitcoin, right? A Uh-huh. Q Why did you compare Kin to Bitcoin? A Bitcoin was the first cryptocurrency that was able to guarantee the scarcity of a digital asset.	wrong "But we guarantee we are all in on this"?  A Yep.  Q Was that accurate?  A Yes.  Q And what does that mean?  A That means that we were going to do  everything we could to integrate Kin into Kik and have it be the currency of exchange inside Kik.  Q Support the project?  A Be an active participant in the ecosystem.  We could have said the exact same thing about Bitcoin or Ethereum or any other cryptocurrency. But we were saying because it was in our financial interest, because we own 30 percent of all Kin, that we were going to go all in on Kin.  Q Right.  A If we own 30 percent of Bitcoin, maybe we'd go all in on Bitcoin, but we didn't.  Q As an outsider, I wasn't there  A Yep.  Q watching that video, it seems like at least the questioner was concerned about how is Kik going to support or relate to the Kin project.

Page 420 Page 422 1 exchange. 1 things that come to mind. 2 2 I think we probably said something about O Okav. 3 3 A I think my recollection -- I didn't know the Kin Reward Engine, that we either set that up or 4 4 set that up with the Foundation. I can't recall the for sure, but my recollection is this is sort of like a 5 5 specifics. Bitcoin maximalist, you know, somebody -- the term is 6 somebody who believes in Bitcoin and nothing but 6 Q You don't recall if the Reward Engine was 7 7 the Foundation, you guys --Bitcoin, and Bitcoin is sort of like a religion. And 8 8 so was questioning the idea that a company like Kik A I seem to recall us talking about the 9 9 Foundation would start off -- like, we would control it would launch its own cryptocurrency because that was 10 10 and run it, and then we would decentralize it and make unheard of at the time, and was sort of having a 11 negative reaction to that. 11 it independent over time. 12 But, you know, in day one, it's a brand new 12 Q Oh, the idea of being why would a 13 thing, so somebody's got to set it up. So at that 13 centralized -- if I could use the lingo right. 14 moment in time, obviously, we would set it up. 14 15 15 Q And in terms of -- I don't want to call it Q Why would have centralized company with its 16 culture, but the crypto space at that time, was it 16 own CEO and management structure be entering the crypto 17 generally important, the concept that ultimate 17 18 governance be run by a decentralized organization at 18 A Every cryptocurrency to date had been 19 some point in the future? 19 launched by small groups of people who were working 20 A Say that one more time. 20 together but were new and unestablished, and yet, here 21 Q Yeah. I'm trying understand crypto culture 21 was an established company creating a cryptocurrency. 22 at the time. 22 Q And so that distinguished Kin from 23 A Yeah. 23 everything that had been out there at the time? 24 Q Was the goal -- was it expected that a 24 A We were certainly the first. 25 cryptocurrency would ultimately be run by some sort of 25 Q Do you think that helped distinguish Kin? Page 421 Page 423 1 A Yes. 1 disinterested foundation or decentralized organization 2 2 Q From its, I guess I can say, competitors or in the future? 3 other coins that people were looking at the time, the 3 A I think there's lots of different views on 4 4 fact that Kik was there, Kik was established with a governance in the crypto industry at large. 5 5 track record, that helped distinguish it? Q Yeah. 6 A I would say we have a long history of Kik 6 A We were -- you know, we were a developer, 7 7 being first. So maybe -- like, we're the first chat and so in many ways, we were the target audience for 8 8 Kin, and so we understood ourselves. It would give us app to go viral, the first chat app to launch 9 9 a huge sort of insight into what other developers would platforms, the first chat app in the western world to 10 launch bots, and the first VC-backed company, to my 10 probably want as well. If I were another developer and 11 I were building for Kin, I wouldn't want Kik knowledge, in the world to launch cryptocurrency. So I 11 12 12 guess that's what distinguishes us broadly. controlling it. 13 13 Q And do you think people -- do you suspect We've seen enough of that, like Facebook 14 14 that people who bought Kin may have liked that feature controlling their platform, Twitter controlling their 15 of Kin, that Kik was there? 15 platform, and then the rug being pulled out from under 16 16 A I think it was definitely a positive for developers. So I felt it was important on behalf of 17 sure. 17 other developers that this be not decentralized but 18 18 independent over time and decentralized to the maximum Q When you -- in advance of the Kin sale, 19 19 degree possible. But it's unclear even today how when you were talking to people about how -- what role 20 20 exactly decentralized governance can and will work in Kik would play in the project, what did you say? 21 A My understanding -- I can't recall exactly. 21 the future. 22 I'm sure we have lots of documents that share it. But 22 Q Got it. And I apologize for saying 23 my best recollection is we were going to create it. We 23 decentralized. The independence, though, that was 24 were going to integrate it into Kik. We were -- and we 24 something you thought was important to get people 25 were going to set up the Foundation. Those were three 25 interested in the Kin project?

Page 424 Page 426 1 A If I were a developer, I would want to know 1 A I do. 2 that there was a desire for this Kin Foundation, which 2 Q In promoting or discussing Kin prior to the 3 3 controlled 60 percent of all Kin, to be independent public sale, did you ever compare the crypto space in 4 4 over time. 2017 to the dot-com area? 5 O Otherwise, Kik would favor itself? 5 A I did. 6 Could favor itself. I don't think we ever 6 Q Why did you do that? 7 7 A I think I wanted to -- I don't recall would, but --8 8 specifically, let me say that. But if I were to Q No. Sure. But they don't know you, right? 9 A Yeah. 9 speculate, I wanted to make it clear that what I was 10 10 trying to do, I believe, is -- dot-com, there was a lot Q So there's -- to encourage them to 11 participate, you don't want them to trust you; you want 11 of -- a lot of excitement at the time. Ultimately, a 12 them to have a sense that there might be an independent 12 lot of the things that people were excited about turned 13 body in the future? 13 out to be failures. 14 A That thought was the goal, yes. 14 And yet, a few of the things that people 15 Q Got it. 15 were excited about or even not excited about at the 16 MR. MITCHELL: What do you mean 16 time turned out to be massive. And I think I was just 17 17 independent? What makes a body independent? simply drawing the comparison that I felt the same way 18 THE WITNESS: Independent meaning if --18 and do feel the same way about cryptocurrencies today, 19 it's not just enough for Ted, for example, to think 19 that most of them will be failures, but some of them 2.0 something is a good idea. It has to be a majority of 20 will change the world. 21 independent people who agree with him. And if they 21 Q And you think Kin could be one that becomes disagree with him, that it wouldn't go through. That 22 22 massive and changes the world? 23 would be independent to me. 23 A I absolutely believe that, yes. 24 MR. MITCHELL: Is the Kik Foundation 24 Q Okay. And was that part of how you 25 independent of -- sorry. Is the Kin ecosystem 25 described or encouraged people to participate in the Page 425 Page 427 foundation independent of Kik today? Kin sale? 1 1 2 THE WITNESS: I think we talked about this 2 A I think that's how I described my belief in 3 a little yesterday, but maybe not. Like, to me, 3 the vision. 4 4 independence is a spectrum, not a black or white. You Q In advance of the Kin sale? 5 5 A Yes. Absolutely. know, today, the directors of the Kin Foundation, and 6 the Kin Foundation controls that 6 trillion Kin, is 6 O Can we roll the next? 7 myself and William. So if I think it's a bad -- I 7 (SEC Exhibit No. 204 was marked for 8 think something is a good idea and he thinks something 8 identification.) 9 is a bad idea, and he disagrees with me, it goes to a 9 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So I'm going to mark the DVD as Exhibit 204. It's a DVD with the SEC seal 10 vote, then it won't happen. 10 So I would say yes, the Kin Foundation is 11 on it and words "6-I think this is like the dot-com." 11 12 12 MR. GIBBS: Just for the record, we have independent today. But, you know, maybe I can 13 influence William. So it would be good to have more 13 the same objections to the extent it's a clip as 14 and more independent people on the board contributing 14 opposed to the entire discussion. 15 15 to those decisions over time. MR. LYNCH: And did you say you were going 16 MR. MITCHELL: Can Mr. Mougayar cause the 16 to give us a copy for us to take with us? 17 Kin Foundation to do things over your objection? 17 MR. MITCHELL: I have them right here. 18 THE WITNESS: No. 18 MR. LEASURE: Yes. So maybe two things for 19 MR. MITCHELL: So you and Mr. Mougayar have 19 the record while we're dealing with our AV situation. 20 to both agree for the Kin Foundation to do anything? 20 MR. LYNCH: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. LEASURE: These -- we pulled -- we'll 21 21 22 BY MR. LEASURE: 22 represent to you we pulled these off of YouTube and 23 Q But just to summarize that, you have a 23 burned them to a DVD. I think they're publicly 24 preference for a more independent Kin ecosystem 24 available. But we will give you copies of the clips 25 foundation than exists at present? 25 we're showing -- a copy of the DVD containing the clips

Page 428 Page 430 1 we are showing. 1 2001, whatever year it was. It's going to be that all 2 MR. GIBBS: Okay. That's fine. Just to be 2 over again. And I think for us it's -- we believe 3 clear, my objection is to the incompleteness of the 3 that, you know, a few huge economic entities are going 4 4 portion that is being made part of this record here to come out of this space. And I think, actually, a 5 today. 5 few huge economic entities have already come out of 6 MR. LEASURE: Understood. 6 this space. And so I think, you know, it's like 7 7 MR. GIBBS: Okay. everything. It's risk and reward. I think, you know, MR. MITCHELL: I apologize. I literally 8 we have a good story. I think we're trying to do it in 8 9 closed it. For the record, this laptop is just afraid 9 a fair way. And I think our heart is in the right of Mr. Murtha and opened up when he touched it. 10 10 place. And we're going to do everything we can. What 11 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Just to clarify, you 11 really scares me at the end of the day is disappointing 12 also objected to playing the whole video because it's 12 people. And I think what scares me by doing a crowd 13 too time consuming, right, before we started? 13 sale is before, if Kik failed, I would disappoint a 14 MR. GIBBS: Yeah. That's why I said --14 bunch of rich people. Now, if Kik failed, I would 15 what I'm talking about is what's included as an exhibit 15 disappoint a bunch of regular people. And that really 16 to the record. 16 weighs on us. It really weighs on me. So we are going 17 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: I just want to say your 17 to do everything we can to make it a win for everybody. 18 objection is used to be a damned if we do and damned if 18 (END VIDEO.) 19 we don't objection, just for the record. 19 BY MR. LEASURE: 20 MR. GIBBS: But it's not. Because my point 20 Q Okay. Having watched that, that was you 21 is the entire thing should be attached in the exhibit. 21 speaking? A Yep. 22 Okay? I'm not -- I never suggested you should sit here 22 23 and play the entire video. So --23 Q Okay. And that was -- do you know where 24 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. I now 24 25 understand. 25 A I believe that's the same San Francisco Page 429 Page 431 MR. GIBBS: Okay. 1 1 event. 2 2 MR. MITCHELL: I put an image up on the Q Okay. And that was prior to the public --3 screen. Is that you on the left? 3 the sale of tokens to the public? 4 4 THE WITNESS: That is me. A Correct. 5 5 MR. MITCHELL: And do you know who the Q Okay. And that was part of the effort to 6 gentleman on the right is? 6 raise interest in the token sale? 7 THE WITNESS: I can't recall. 7 A To raise awareness about the -- about Kin, 8 8 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. 9 9 (VIDEO PLAYING.) Q Yeah. Okay. You compared the current 10 10 MR. LIVINGSTON: So I think -- for me, I crypto space, in 2017 at least, to the dot-com era; is 11 11 think this is, like, for better and for worse, you that right? 12 12 know. There is a lot of hype right now. And people A Correct. 13 are going to make a lot of money. People have made a 13 Q And is what you said an accurate statement 14 14 lot of money. People are going to lose a lot of money of your views at the time? 15 15 here. This is coming, right? It's been happening A Yes, it is. 16 multiple times as we've moved through this innovation. 16 Q That the space -- you know, there might be 17 At the end of the day, Amazon and Google tailor the 17 a significant amount of losers in the space, but that 18 18 dot-com. And so this is how I view, like, tokens at one or two giant entities might come out of that space? 19 ICOs. I think 90 percent of them probably are going to 19 A I used the word "entities" there. I'm not 20 20 sure entities -- currencies is what I meant. go to zero. People are going to lose a lot of money. 21 21 And regulators are going to come in and they're going Q Okay. 22 2.2 to say how do we make this modernization but still we A But yes. 23 23 can save for the consumers. And everybody is going to Q And that -- I've been using the word 2.4 try to figure this out and it's going to be crazy. I 24 "project" for Kin, just to avoid nomenclature. Were --25 25 was in like, high school, I think, like, 2001 -- 2000, were you attempting to convey that the Kin project

2.2

Page 432

could eventually become what you described as a huge economic entity?

A I think, I did think, do think, and was trying to convey that I think Kin can become one of the most used cryptocurrencies in the world, and that the most used cryptocurrency in the world is going to have a lot of demand, and therefore, it's going to have a lot of value.

Q Okay. You also said -- I get that this is on a stage, talking to people. So I don't want to -- so I don't want to force you to over-interpret words you said. But you said that you think, like everything, it's risk and reward.

Do you recall that?

A I do.

2.4

Q What did -- what did you mean by that?

A I think what I meant by that is, you know, at the end of the day, I think there's only going to be a few heavily used cryptocurrencies in the world. And so I think that's where I was trying to tie it back to dot-com. Like, there are millions of websites. But at the end of the day, today, we only really use ten of them.

So when you, as a developer or a consumer or somebody that just buys cryptocurrencies, any of

Page 434

A You should look at -- as anybody -- any participant in any crypto project and ecosystem, you should evaluate which ones are going to be most used in the world and why. And you should focus your efforts on contributing to the ones that have the best odds of succeeding.

Q And you were trying -- as part of these efforts, you were trying to convey that Kin, as compared to others, had better odds of succeeding?

A That Kin from my point of view and my experience and all the data we had seen absolutely had a better view -- better chance of succeeding than other cryptocurrencies.

Q Towards the end of that clip, you talk about not success but failure. You talk about how before, if Kik failed, you would disappoint, I don't have your exact words, but a bunch of rich people.

A Uh-huh.

Q Do you remember that?

20 A Uh-huh.

Q I take it you're referring to private -you know, private investors in Kik, the company, right?

23 A Yep.

Q But you also said, correct me if I'm wrong, that now, if Kik fails, you will disappoint a bunch of

Page 433

those people, and you're thinking about where to invest your time, your effort, your attention, your money, if you were to build an app for Ethereum, like lots of people are doing right now, I think Ethereum -- my personal view is Ethereum will not be one of the most used cryptocurrencies in the world.

And so all those people who are investing their time building Ethereum apps, they will have risked their time and, in many cases, their money with the team members, employees, and they will not have gotten a return because they will not have an app that gets used. And Ethereum will not be a high-demand currency.

Q Got it. So your view was in the crypto space in 2017, and maybe in 2018, it's a -- you thought that most of the projects would likely fail?

A Yes.

Q But a handful would have enormous success?

A Yes.

Q And that if you were -- if you were someone looking at a menu of where to invest your time, money, efforts --

A Yeah

Q -- you should look at the risk/reward in that light; is that accurate?

Page 435

 $1 \qquad \hbox{regular people, and that weighs on you.} \\$ 

Do you remember that?

A I do.

Q What did you mean by that?

A I said Kik. I think I meant Kin. And I think what I meant by that is, you know, we're sparking a new ecosystem here, a new currency with a new vision and a new sort of go-to-market strategy. And for it to work, thousands of -- thousands, hundred thousands, millions, hundreds of millions of people, billions of people ultimately would have to contribute their time, effort, and money in many different ways if Kin was going to be successful.

And so if Kin ultimately was not successful -- like, if Kik wasn't successful, I would only really be disappointing our venture capitalists, investors. But if Kin was not successful -- you know, I would have explained to the world why I saw this better way to compete with monopolies and how it could work. And if that didn't end up being true, that really scared me, that I would disappoint a lot of people. And I was trying to convey that.

Q And why would you disappoint them?

If it's on millions or billions of people
to make it a success, why does it matter -- why would

Page 436 Page 438 1 you be the one disappointing them? 1 that. But certainly, that's the logic of the whole 2 A Because it was my idea. 2 thing. That's the only thing that makes it a viable 3 3 Q So we were talking about efforts to raise business model. 4 4 awareness about Kin prior to the public sale. We've Q So you would expect if someone asked you 5 seen a few video clips. In those efforts, did you or 5 what's Kik's business model, that's what you would have 6 others at Kik talk about whether Kin would trade on 6 7 7 A I'm not sure. Again, these things are very 8 A I don't recall any specific, but I'm sure I 8 clear to me today. I think they were clear back then. 9 would -- Kin as a cryptocurrency cannot work and as a 9 But it was a long time ago, so I don't recall exactly. 10 business model cannot work, unless it's traded on 10 Q That's fine. Did people ask about that? 11 11 A I don't recall exactly. 12 Q And we talked about the reasons for that 12 Q Fine. We see you on YouTube --13 yesterday? 13 Uh-huh. 14 A Correct. 14 Q -- and at various conferences. 15 Q Did people ask you? 15 How about -- we talked about more old A I don't recall specifically. 16 16 school media like newspapers? 17 Q How about after the sale? Have people been 17 A Yeah. 18 asking Kik about whether Kin will trade on exchanges? 18 Q Does any of that ring a bell, the 19 19 interviewing -- interviews with newspaper reporters? 20 Q Yeah. Let me represent to you just -- when 20 A I don't have any specific recollection, but 21 I get on the gloves and go onto Reddit, it seems like a 21 it wouldn't surprise me. 22 common topic on various social media channels when 22 Q The Wall Street Journal, do you remember 23 people talk about Kin. Is that a fair statement? 23 any coverage there? 24 A It is one of the more common topics. I 24 A I don't have a specific recollection, but 25 would say that's fair. 25 it wouldn't surprise me. Page 437 Page 439 1 Q And why do you think that is? Q Fair enough. Let me show you a document 1 2 A I think there's some subset that want to be 2 I'm marking as Exhibit 205. 3 able to actively trade in cryptocurrencies and want to 3 (SEC Exhibit No. 205 was marked for 4 identification.) 4 be actively able to trade in Kin. And that's difficult 5 5 to do with -- or more difficult to do when Kin is not BY MR. LEASURE: 6 on bigger exchanges. 6 Q Take a look at and let me know when you're 7 Q Okay. And you think those are the people 7 ready. I'm going to have to make a few representations 8 8 I'm seeing or that one can see on social media asking to you about what this is because it doesn't have a 9 9 about Kin's tradability on exchanges? Bates stamp. 10 A Yes. 10 A Okay. 11 11 Q Okay. Fair enough. Another topic in terms Q First, I'll represent to you I printed this 12 of what I'm calling the marketing efforts or the -- you 12 off of a website called Factiva --A Uh-huh. 13 13 know, the awareness-raising efforts in advance of the 14 Q -- that carries news articles. I printed 14 public sale. Did you or others at Kik describe Kik's 15 15 own business model here? In other words, how Kik it off on October 16th, 2018. It says page 3 of 3. 16 stands to gain from the Kin project? 16 Page 3 of 3. It says this is three pages. The third 17 17 A I think so. I think in the video you just page is actually blank --18 showed, I talked about how it would be in our own 18 A Okay. 19 financial interest because we own 30 percent of all 19 Q -- so I took it off. With those 20 representations in mind, does this appear to be a copy 20 21 21 Q And did you explain to people that, "Look, of a Wall Street Journal article about Kin? 22 2.2 A It does in the future, value rises. This might be something 23 23 that, you know, we sell pieces of our Kin to make a Q Okay. Do you remember this article from 24 gain"? 24 the time? 25 25 A I'm not sure if, where, how I would explain A It's refreshing my memory. I don't

Page 442 Page 440 1 remember anything that's in it. But I recall now that 1 this as an investment. 2 there was a Wall Street Journal article. 2 Q Okay. And why do you think so? 3 3 Q Okay. And this is dated August 29th, 2017. A Because I think it would create an 4 4 Is that the ballpark of when you remember that article? expectation of people that was not accurate, an 5 A I don't recall, but I assume that's when it 5 expectation among people who didn't understand 6 was if you printed it then. 6 cryptocurrencies; what they were, how they worked. 7 7 Q Fair enough. Q And do you remember who gave you --8 8 MR. MITCHELL: Do you remember a Wall A I do not. 9 Street Journal article before the token distribution 9 Q -- that briefing point? 10 10 A I don't think there was a briefing point. event ended? 11 THE WITNESS: Not specifically. 11 That's just a general thing I recall when you asked, 12 BY MR. LEASURE: 12 things to stay from. I recall that being one of those 13 13 Q The journal says Paul Vigna, V-i-g-n-a. things. 14 14 A Yep. Q Got it. The second paragraph of this 15 Q Do you remember that person? 15 article which reads, "In doing so, Kik, which has 16 16 A Yep. encountered growth issues, is trying to tap into the 17 17 Q Who's that? surging interest in cryptocurrencies," there's a URL, 18 A He's a reporter, I believe, at the Wall 18 I'll own it, the quote continues, "and digital tokens 19 19 associated with them. It also is experimenting with a 20 Q Did you either meet with him or talk with 20 potential way for its investors to essentially cash out 21 21 him about the Kin offering? of the company without actually selling their equity." A I don't have a specific recollection, but I 22 22 Let me pause there. There's a couple of 23 know I've met with him over the years. And so from 23 assertions made there. Was it true that at the time, 24 24 this, it looks like I must have met with him about Kin Kik was trying to tap into the surging interest in 25 25 at this time as well. cryptocurrencies in digital tokens? Page 441 Page 443 1 A I would say no. I would say this was --Q And was that Rod and his group who was 1 2 2 Kik wasn't trying to tap into the surging interest. trying to arrange for meetings with the reporters at 3 this time? 3 Kik was realizing -- I was realizing that my dream of 4 4 A Yep. having this fundamentally new business model was now, 5 5 Q Okay. And I take it this isn't the only for the first time ever, not only just a good idea, but 6 one, that you might have met with other reporters? 6 also economically viable. 7 7 Q Did you correct this article? A Yeah. 8 8 A I did not. Q Okay. All right. And did you get, like, 9 9 talking points or briefing books in advance of that? Q Did anyone at Kik? 10 How did that process work for you? 10 Α No. 11 A Yeah. I would get briefing books, talking Q Okay. The second piece of what I read, 11 12 points. But for the most part, I typically look at 12 that Kik -- that it is also experimenting with a 13 those, but I write my own talking points after. 13 potential way for its investors to essentially cash out 14 14 Q You throw what you were given behind your of the company without actually selling their equity. 15 shoulder and handle it yourself? 15 Was that true? 16 A It's helpful for me to formulate my own 16 A I think what the author is trying to do 17 thoughts, like, one point of view. Sometimes I include 17 here -- and I'm guessing. 18 some of it, sometimes I include all of it, sometimes I 18 Q Please. 19 include none of it. 19 A -- is trying to explain in their own words 20 Q Just curious. The points that people 20 this new crypto business model. And I think they had a 21 very limited understanding of what this is, why it wanted you to say or encouraged you to say, were there 21 22 22 would work, and why we would do it. And so, you know, things they didn't want you to talk about or they 23 23 when you asked me is this true in the last sentence didn't want you to say? 24 A Were there points that they didn't want me 24 there, like, what the business model is now for the 25 to talk about? I think we did want to stay away from 25 shareholders of Kik is not a way to cash out of the

Page 444 Page 446 1 company. It's a fundamentally new business model for 1 on this first page that begins, "The company said" --2 shareholders as well. 2 3 3 Before, your options are to sell the Q -- do you see that? "The company said it 4 company or go public. That's it. But now, there is a 4 hopes that the tokens will entice more developers to 5 third option, which is to own a big piece of an asset, 5 build apps for the platform. If they receive the 6 have that asset be very valuable, and dividend that 6 tokens as payment, and those coins then appreciate in 7 asset out to shareholders. 7 value, developers would gain even more from working on 8 Q Let me ask about that. Has Kik distributed 8 Kik." 9 this asset as a dividend to shareholders? 9 First, was that a true statement of the 10 A We have not. 10 vision at the time? 11 Q Have you considered it? 11 A I think this is the journalist trying to A That's the whole model. We've absolutely 12 12 explain the vision in their own words. I would say 13 considered it, and I'm looking forward to the day when 13 this is not how I would explain it. 14 we can do that. 14 Q It begins with, "The company said." Are 15 Q When would that day -- like, what has to 15 you saying the company did not say that? 16 happen for that day to come? 16 A I think what the company did say is that 17 A I think we have to -- maybe it's based on 17 the currency -- like, it's a fundamentally new business 18 advice from counsel. 18 model for Kik and every other developers. It's the 19 Q Okay. Don't give me that advice. 19 currency that creates that business model, so it's the 20 A Yeah. 20 currency that is going to entice developers to build 21 Q Which lawyer? 21 apps that integrate Kin. I think everything after that 22 A Cooley's. 22 is their interpretation. 23 Okay. When? Q 23 O About the coins appreciating in value? 24 Ongoing. Like, this is the -- being able 24 A Yeah. For example, "would gain even more 25 to have this asset become more valuable and to then 25 from working on Kik," like, that sentence doesn't make Page 447 Page 445 dividend -- to sell some of that asset to -- you know, 1 1 sense. as the revenue plan for the company, but also to be 2 2 O Oh, that should say Kin? 3 able to dividend some of that asset as the reward for 3 And it shouldn't even say "working." It 4 4 shareholders with investors in the team, that is the should say "from integrating Kin into their apps." 5 5 fundamental of what makes this a win for that group. Q Fair enough. Okay. 6 Q Got it. And I should have asked this more 6 A So that's why I said, like, we wouldn't say 7 clearly. In terms of the envisioned dividend one 7 that because it's just factually incorrect. 8 8 day --Q Understood. 9 9 A Yeah. A Logically incorrect. 10 Q -- is it a dividend of Kin or is it cash 10 Q Let me point you to one other piece of this 11 11 obtained from selling Kin? article before we're done with it. It's on the second 12 12 A We don't know, for tax reasons mainly, but page. Towards the middle, there's a paragraph my preference would be a dividend of Kin. 13 13 beginning, "By offering a token." 14 14 Q And then the investors of Kik Interactive Do you see that? 15 15 could do what they want with the Kin? A Yep. 16 A Correct. 16 Q It reads, "By offering a token rather than 17 Q Okay. Other than advice of counsel, which 17 equity, the company hopes to raise funds without 18 18 I do not want to hear about, are there business reasons diluting existing shareholders. But shareholders' path 19 19 to monetizing their holdings in the company will now be why Kin -- excuse me -- why Kik has yet to act to 20 2.0 distribute Kin or cash obtained by selling Kin to tied to the token. Mr. Livingston explained," quote, 21 21 investors? "'it was a very hard sell," end quote, "to Kik's A No. Only -- it would only based --2.2 22 investors. Mr. Livingston said of the plan," quote, 23 23 "there was a lot of disagreement," end quote. O Advice of counsel? 2.4 A It would be advice of counsel. 24 A Uh-huh. 25 25 I don't want to know. The last paragraph Q Did you say that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 448

A I did say it was a very hard sell. There was a lot of disagreement, yes.

# Q And tell me about that hard sell in that disagreement.

A You also shared the previous paragraph, which provides context for this. So maybe I should explain the previous paragraph first.

### Q That's great.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

A I think this is one of the biggest misconceptions in sort of, like, the general audience. For example, this journalist thinking about this is -oh, it's a token instead of equity. The token and the equity, actually, they're fundamentally different. But people don't understand crypto. They don't understand what it is, where it's going, and so they're trying to compare it to something they know.

Like, for me, it wasn't that we were offering a token instead of equity. It's that we were opting for a fundamentally new business model. We were getting a new asset that would have guaranteed scarcity that we would own, and therefore, our shareholders would own pro rata that asset, whatever is left over at the end of the day.

So when I read that, I'm like, no, that's fundamentally untrue, logically untrue for what is a A No. Not to my knowledge.

Q Fair enough. The last line of this article quotes you, and it reads, quote, "'It's a fundamentally new way to exit," end quote, "he said of the digital tokens." Did you say that?

Page 450

A I did.

# Q And the exit you're describing is for investors out of their Kik Interactive equities?

A No.

### Q Who is exiting and what are they exiting?

A Maybe "exit" is the wrong term. What I was trying to get at is this is a fundamentally new way for investors to monetize their equity holdings. So before, the only ways you could exit were by selling to another company or by doing an IPO. That was the only two options in history. The third option was to go bankrupt. Those are the only ways in history that you could exit.

And likewise, that this was, you know, a brand new monetization model for developers, it was also a brand new monetization model for investors and their holdings. And so what I meant by this is, you know, a way to exit, a way to get paid, get a return from their investment in the company.

So, actually, logically, I don't think

Page 449

crypto business model. So that's why I'm saying when

I -- what was a hard sell? Not that above, because

3 what's above is logically untrue. What was a hard sell 4 was convincing our investors that this could be a

5

fundamentally new business model. Let me play it back.

What I was trying to convince the board and the investors in a very tough time was that I was the first in the world to discover the third monetization model in the history of the internet and that it would work for us. There was obviously a lot of skepticism that I would be the first in the world to discover the third monetization model in the history of the internet. And so that made it a very hard sell.

And there was a lot of disagreement about that because it's a very -- it was a crazy idea and it was a very tough time in the company.

Q So we talked about yesterday, I think it's fair to characterize, you perceived a challenge in raising this idea inside the company and then talking about it and persuading people inside the company about the Kin project, right?

A Correct.

23 Q Is that what you're referring to there?

24 A Yes.

Q Anything else?

Page 451

1 there actually will be any exit at the end of the day. 2 There might just be a dividend, but that would be it.

Q Right. Have there -- has there been -since the -- since the sale of Kin tokens in September of 2017, have investors in Kik Interactive pushed for there to be a dividend?

A I think there was a time when it was raised. Like, wow, if this is a great new business, like, why don't we try it out a little bit and get a bit of a dividend. I can't recall exactly. But ultimately, we decided not to do that.

So I think that idea might have been floated at some point, but we never did that.

Q I think we talked about this earlier. The decision not to do that or when to do that isn't driven by business considerations other than advice of counsel discussions; am I right?

A There were business considerations, but I would say those would directly factor into the advice from counsel. I couldn't pull them apart for you.

Q Fair enough. I don't want to push.

Rounding out marketing or awareness raising efforts for the Kin sale, did you go on podcasts?

A Yes. I believe I did. I don't remember any specifically, but I would assume so.

Page 452 Page 454 1 Q Just to ring a bell, did you appear on a 1 stickers was the minimum viable product, right? 2 2 A Uh-huh. Finance Magnates podcast as part of the promotion 3 3 efforts? Q Those stickers and the ability to unlock 4 that, how was -- how was that marketed or communicated 4 A Rings a bell, but I can't remember 5 5 in advance of the public sale? specifically. 6 Q Okay. Any others ring a bell? 6 A I don't recall. 7 7 Q Did you tell anyone about it? A I can't remember any specifically. 8 Q We're going to talk more this afternoon 8 A We had certainly lots of discussions about 9 9 about a term "minimum viable product" prepared for the it internally. Do you mean externally? 10 public sale. Do you know that term? 10 Q I do. And I apologize, yes. 11 A I do. 11 A I'm not sure. Like, I believe it was in 12 12 Q And what was the minimum viable product for the SAFT document, but I'm not sure. Some people would 13 the public sale -- in connection with the public sale? 13 have seen that if it was in there. I believe it was on 14 A What is a minimum viable product or what 14 the website. I believe it was part of the token sale 15 15 was our minimum viable product? materials. But I can't recall any of those things 16 Q Let's take it one by one. 16 specifically. 17 What is a minimum viable product? 17 Q You think on the website. 18 18 A A minimum viable product is an industry What do you remember? 19 term to help people think about what is the minimum set 19 A I don't recall what was on the website of sort of functionality that can be built and 20 beyond the white paper. 20 21 incorporated in a launch that satisfies everything that 21 Q So do you know if -- were the stickers 22 needs to be satisfied for this to -- for this launch to 22 themselves shown on the website? A I don't recall, but I don't think so. 23 achieve what it's trying to achieve. 23 24 24 Q Okay. Q And what was the minimum viable product 25 25 A But I don't recall. developed in connection with the Kin sale? Page 453 Page 455 A So when it came to launching Kin inside of 1 1 Q Was there a description that they would be 2 Kik, like, obviously, we had to create Kin, but we also available on the website? 3 wanted there to be ways to use Kin on day one. And 3 A I don't recall. 4 4 then there would be many, many more ways to use Kin, Q Don't recall either way? 5 obviously, over time. And so when it came to, okay, 5 A Don't recall. 6 well, what's that -- you know, there's lots of things 6 Q Okay. So you went on -- you went on a road 7 that are happening, but one of the things that's 7 show. You went to conferences. You met with 8 8 happening is Kin is getting integrated into Kik. journalists. You went on at least one podcast, 9 9 So the question is, okay, well, what does correct? 10 that thing need to solve for? Like, if we did any 10 A Uh-huh. less, it would not be viable. So from there, there Q Did you tell anyone about the availability 11 11 12 were technology considerations. There were user 12 of sticker packs? 13 experience considerations. There were strategic A I don't recall. I, you know, do recall 13 14 considerations. And then there were also compliance 14 talking about Kik Points. Kik Points -- using Kik 15 considerations. 15 Points to buy stickers was a -- you know, a widely used 16 And so when we look at all of those 16 thing, so probably would talk about that. But in terms 17 considerations and all the different options, what we 17 of what we would do with stickers for Kin and at the 18 18 ended up launching, what we called the minimum viable time of the token sale, I don't specifically recall. 19 product of Kin inside Kik, was the ability to unlock 19 Q Is it fair to say that the availability 20 different levels of stickers based on your Kin balance 20 of -- the ability to unlock levels of sticker packs, to be able to verify your status to other people in 21 21 that wasn't a primary part of your pitch about the Kin 22 Kik, the chat community. 22 project? 23 23 A I think the primary part of the Kin project Q Got it. And we'll talk more about how that 24 idea evolved or was developed. 24 was the vision for sure and what we would do. I think 25 The ability to unlock various levels of 25 part of the explanation was our history with Kik

Page 456 Page 458 Points, which stickers were the first thing we did with 1 1 A There was the use case of unlocking 2 Kik Points, so I'm sure that was part of it. 2 stickers inside Kik. 3 3 In terms of what the exact functionality Q And other than that, were there any others 4 4 would be on the day of the token sale, I can't recall that you know of? 5 what exactly I said or didn't say. 5 A I don't believe. Not to my knowledge. I 6 Q You don't recall ever saying there will be 6 don't think there were others. 7 7 sticker packs available? Q So to the extent there weren't use cases 8 8 A I don't recall not saying it. I don't other than unlocking stickers, why did people buy Kin 9 recall. 9 then in September of 2017? 10 Q You don't know either way? 10 A A lot of people ended up buying Kin. 11 A I don't know either way. 11 12 12 A So I can't speculate or have any way of Q Okay. Did Kik purchase online 13 13 advertisements in advance of the public sale? knowing why all of them bought Kin. But, you know, 14 14 A I believe we did, yes. whenever I would speak at events, people would --15 Q From whom? 15 whenever they would come up to me after, they would say 16 16 to me, "This is what the world has been waiting for. A I can't recall exactly. But I think 17 Facebook and Google, if I had to guess. 17 The world needs this. And I want to be part of this." 18 Q Did -- as part of that, did Kik target 18 Q Let me just -- let me just push on that and 19 certain types of users or demographics for Facebook or 19 get your impression. 20 Google ads? 20 A Sure. 21 21 A I can't recall. Like, I don't know. I Q If someone wanted to be part of the 22 don't recall. And I don't think I knew at the time. 22 ecosystem in the future where there were use cases? 23 actually. 23 Like, let's say you could, you know, buy certain 24 24 Q Who would have led that effort? content with Kin or a developer could integrate it into 25 25 A Probably somebody in marketing, but I'm not his or her app, right? Page 457 Page 459 A Uh-huh. 1 1 sure who. MR. MITCHELL: Someone -- excuse me. 2 2 Q Let's say they were even enthusiastic about 3 Someone who worked for Erin Clift? 3 doing so. Why would they buy in September of 2017? 4 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, that would be my guess. In other words, why wouldn't they wait for 5 5 BY MR. LEASURE: use cases to be realized and then buy? 6 Q Fair enough. We saw some potential use 6 A I think there is many different ways that 7 7 people could contribute to the success of a cases --8 8 A It might not have been, though. It might cryptocurrency. I think that's the transformative 9 9 feature of a cryptocurrency is everybody has stake, have been somebody in Israel. I'm not sure. Now that 10 I say that, I'm not sure. 10 everybody becomes evangelists. And so everybody 11 operates in their own self-interest to create more 11 Q I apologize for interrupting. 12 12 A Sorry. I apologize for interrupting you. demand, and therefore, make their own Kin more 13 valuable, they'll be making everybody else's Kin more Q We talked -- we saw some potential use 13 14 14 valuable as well. cases in the white paper. Do you remember that? 15 15 Some of those could be consumers using it A Yep. 16 Q As part of talking about or promoting the 16 in an app. Some of those could be developers. Those 17 upcoming Kin sale, were other specific use cases 17 could be brands. Those could be just people who want 18 to evangelize it to their friends and family. 18 discussed? 19 19 A I can't -- I'm sure we talked about it, Q But other than just the desire to 20 20 like a digital economy, what are all the ways that evangelize future use cases, why would you buy --21 people can exchange -- create and exchange value 21 again, I'm struck on: Why wouldn't you just wait for 22 2.2 through their phones. So I'm sure we did talk about the ecosystem to have a use case --23 23 MR. GIBBS: Objection to form. It's other ways, but nothing specifically comes to mind. 2.4 Q Were there use cases in place at the time 24 argumentative. 25 of the public sale in September of 2017? 25 BY MR. LEASURE:

Page 460 Page 462 1 O You can answer. 1 didn't -- couldn't know which ones were and which ones 2 A What does that mean? I don't know what 2 were not. 3 that means, what my lawyer just said. 3 MR. MITCHELL: What ones do you know? 4 THE WITNESS: I don't have specific 4 MR. MURTHA: It's an irrelevant objection. 5 5 recollection. I remember -- I seem to recall some of You can answer the question. 6 MR. GIBBS: It means I think he's just 6 our previous platform partners at the time buying some 7 Kin. I seem to recall maybe Betaworks was on those. I 7 arguing with you instead of asking a question to seek 8 could be wrong on that. So I don't have any specific 8 information. That's what it means. 9 recollection. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. So then I --10 MR. MITCHELL: And anyone other than MR. GIBBS: You can answer if you have an 10 11 11 Betaworks? answer. 12 THE WITNESS: I can't recall. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you repeat the MR. MITCHELL: And you think Betaworks 13 13 question? 14 bought in the public sale? 14 BY MR. LEASURE: 15 THE WITNESS: I can't recall when they 15 Q Sure. Again, if no use cases were in 16 bought. I can't even recall if they did buy. I 16 place, why buy in September of 2017, as opposed to when remember that was -- that's a name that jumps to my 17 17 the ecosystem is further developed? 18 memory. 18 A I think the biggest reason is, like, Kik 19 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So then sitting here 19 wasn't the only one struggling to compete in this 20 right now, do you know any developers who bought Kin monopoly-controlled world. Many developers were. And 20 21 tokens in the sale to the public? 21 so to hear a billion dollar -- CEO of a billion dollar 22 THE WITNESS: I don't think there would be 22 company say, "Hey, I'm struggling like you, and I think 23 a way for me to know because it's not a question we 23 this is a solution for us," a lot of people are saying, 24 asked. I can only speculate. 24 "I think this could be a solution for us, too." 25 MR. MITCHELL: In the last 14 months or 25 And so to be part of that on day one -- to Page 461 Page 463 be part of that movement on day one and say, "Hey, 1 13 months, have you been working with developers who 1 2 you're going to go do what you're going to do in Kik, 2 are interested in the Kin token idea? 3 and I'm going to do what I'm going to do in my app, and 3 THE WITNESS: Actually, yeah. There are 4 4 we're going to all do this together," was a very developers at a minimum on Reddit who are building 5 5 powerful proposition. applications for Kin, who do claim to have bought Kin 6 Q Do you know what percentage of purchasers 6 in the token sale. 7 7 MR. MITCHELL: Who? were -- in the public sale were developers versus other 8 8 THE WITNESS: For example, Kinny the Tip types of people? 9 A I can't say for sure. But I would say at 9 Bot was built by people in the Reddit community -- in 10 the time, even back then, most people who had gotten 10 the Reddit community. That app is alive on Android into cryptocurrencies, were excited about Bitcoin, were Google Playstore today. 11 11 excited about the blockchain, were developers. 12 MR. MITCHELL: So the Kinnit bot? 12 13 THE WITNESS: Sorry? Kinny the Tip Bot. 13 Q But you don't know? 14 A But I don't know. 14 MR. MITCHELL: Kinny the Tip Bot. THE WITNESS: Correct. So those are 15 Q And did Kik ever gather data about that? 15 16 A Not to my knowledge. Nothing I can think 16 developers who came together, met through the 17 of. 17 community, and decided to launch this application 18 18 MR. LEASURE: Okay. Let's go off the together. 19 record. 19 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Anybody else? 20 MR. MITCHELL: Can I follow up on that real 20 THE WITNESS: There's quite a few examples quick? What developers bought Kin tokens in the sale like that in the community today. That's the biggest 21 21 22 one that comes to mind. But I'm quite sure -- I'm 22 to the public? 23 THE WITNESS: I know some developers that 23 pretty sure there are others, but you could find out if 24 we know did specifically buy it. But I am sure that 24 you go on Reddit and find it. 25 many people who bought it were developers. But we 25 MR. MITCHELL: Sure. How big is it?

	Page 464		Page 466
1	How big is the tip bot?	1	blockchain?
2	THE WITNESS: What?	2	A Correct.
3	MR. MITCHELL: You said it's the biggest	3	Q And so I could just like with any
4	THE WITNESS: No. I said it's the name	4	crypto, I could use I could trade it to other people
5	that comes to mind. It's the most obvious example.	5	for anything, right?
6	They built a great app. So I personally like it, so	6	A Right.
7	that's why it comes to mind. But there are others.	7	Q I could give it away. I could trade it for
8	MR. MITCHELL: Are there any other	8	a service. I could trade it for money. I could do
9	developers that you've worked with since the sale	9	anything I wanted with it?
10	THE WITNESS: Why don't you like, I	10	A Correct.
11	didn't work with those developers. They did work as	11	Q Okay. And did Kik apologies if I've
12	part of the developer program. But I'm pretty sure	12	asked this before. Did Kik track that in the, let's
13	I'm not sure. I think my best guess would be that I	13	say, weeks after the September 2017 sale, what was
14	recall them saying they bought Kin in the original	14	happening on Ether?
15	token sale.	15	A Not to my knowledge.
16	MR. MITCHELL: On Reddit, they said that?	16	Q Okay.
17	THE WITNESS: Correct.	17	A Not that I recall.
18	MR. MITCHELL: They didn't say it you	18	Q And did Kik have any way of knowing what
19	personally?	19	people were doing with their Kin on the Ethereum
20	THE WITNESS: No. I haven't talked to	20	blockchain?
21	token sale purchasers personally. I probably have and	21	A Not that I can think of.
22	didn't know, but it's not something I do I do on	22	Q And if you
23	Reddit.	23	A I'm not sure.
24	MR. LEASURE: Off the record.	24	Q Let me ask that in a better way. Whether
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Disc	25	they were using it to buy goods and services, whether
	Page 465		Page 467
1	Number 2. Going off the record.	1	they were using it, you know, to trade it for other
2	The time is 12:46 p.m.	2	currencies, is there a way that Kik had to tell what
3	(Recess.)	3	people were doing with it?
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins Disc	4	A No.
5	Number 3. We are back on the record.	5	Q Okay.
6	The time on the video monitor is 2:04 p.m.	6	A I don't think so.
7	BY MR. LEASURE:	7	Q Inside the Kik messaging app, I could use
8	Q Back on the record after a lunch break	8	Kin to unlock sticker packs sticker packs for
9	during which, Mr. Livingston, did you have any	9	status, correct?
10	substantive conversations about this investigation with	10	A Correct.
11	SEC staff?	11	Q And by "unlock," that means I didn't have
12	A I did not.	12	to pay for those stickers. I would just show that I
13	Q So let's say I'm someone who bought Kin in	13	had a certain amount of Kin and get access to sticker
14	the September 2017 token sale.	14	packs?
15	A Okay.	15	A Yeah. You would verify that you owned a
16	Q What could I do with my Kin?	16	certain amount of Kin. And based on that verification,
17	A You could use it inside Kik to unlock	17	it would unlock certain sticker packs.
18	different levels of status represented by different	18	Q And that, not to restate what you said, it
19	levels of stickers, send those to anybody inside the	19	was the minimum viable product?
20	Kik community. You could trade it back and forth.	20	A That was the product experience we launched
21	Just like any cryptocurrency, we can't	21	with on day one inside Kik.
22	control what you can do with it. You could integrate	22	Q And just to be clear, I see references in
23 24	it into an app if you wanted to do that as well.	23	the documents to MVP, or minimum viable product.
25	Q Okay. Let's start with the trading. I take it at that point, Kin was on the Ethereum	24	A Yeah. I think that's the MVP inside of Kik. Like, the reason I am hesitating is
		4.3	IXIN. LINC. UIC I COSOII I AIII IICSIIAUII2 IS

Page 468 Page 470 1 O Yeah. 1 cetera. 2 2 A -- you know, there's also, like, the MVP Q Could -- again, I bought Kik -- let's say I 3 3 for creating the token and, like, creating the smart bought Kin on day one of the public sale. 4 4 contract to do the token sale. Like, there's -- that Could I engage in peer-to-peer transactions 5 wasn't the only thing. That was the MVP inside of Kik. 5 inside the Kik messaging app with my Kin? 6 Q Got it. Okay. And other than -- on top --6 A You could not. Not to my knowledge. 7 7 other than unlocking sticker packs within the Kik O Why not? 8 8 messaging app, what else, if anything, could I in A Why not? The reason for that was the 9 September 2017 do with the Kin that I bought? 9 blockchain technology was very new and immature. And 10 10 A I believe that was the only thing you could so I think our concern was with the current state of 11 do inside of Kik. 11 blockchain technology, if we enabled that, there's a 12 12 potential that we could crash the Ethereum network, Q Okay. 13 13 A There might have been other things with which would not just hurt all Kin users inside of Kik, 14 14 earning, but I can't recall specifically. obviously, but would hurt all users of Ethereum 15 Q Did Kik, or anyone set up at that time 15 broadly. And we didn't want to do that. 16 16 inside the Kik messenger app, a wallet for me to hold Q At some point, and I apologize, I can't 17 my Kin inside Kik? 17 remember where, I think I may have seen or heard a 18 A I believe some users were giving Kik, 18 reference to concerns about safety as well. That if depending on sort of their status within the community. 19 19 people on day one could buy or could transfer Kik --20 And so they would have a wallet with Kin in it for 20 Kin to each other inside the Kik messaging app, there 21 21 people to purchased Kin in the token sale. I think we might be safety concerns. 22 would -- they would access their preexisting wallet. 22 Does any of that ring a bell or is that not 23 So I don't think a new wallet was created 23 consistent with your memory? 24 for them, but I'm not 100 percent sure. 24 A I think safety has always been a concern 25 25 Q Preexisting wallet inside of the Kik for us, so it doesn't surprise me that we would be Page 469 Page 471 1 messaging app or outside of it? thinking about safety in peer-to-peer transfers as 1 2 A So any wallet is a public thing on the 2 well. 3 blockchain. So it's not like -- you know, there's no 3 Q But do you remember thinking -- do you 4 4 inside or outside. It's just a different way to view remember specifically thinking about that in connection 5 5 what's happening on the blockchain. with peer-to-peer transfers for Kin? 6 Q And were you describing what I've seen 6 A Yeah, I think -- I do remember thinking 7 7 about safety broadly when it comes to cryptocurrencies, referred to as an airdrop to certain Kik messenger app 8 8 and specifically when it comes to cryptocurrencies 9 9 inside Kik. A That was part of it, yes. So certain Kik 10 10 users got Kin given to them for free based on their Q Okay. And, again, we're on day one. I historical participation in the community. Getting 11 11 bought -- say I bought in the Kin sale in 12 12 those first users using it, they could unlock stickers. September 2017. Could I, at that time, earn Kin via And then also, anybody who purchased it in the token 13 13 the Kin Rewards Engine? 14 sale could also unlock stickers. 14 A As a developer? 15 15 Q As anyone? Q Okay. 16 MR. MITCHELL: The people who Kik gave Kin 16 So the Reward Engine is for developers. 17 to outside the sale, did that happen at that same time 17 Q 18 that the sale happened? 18 A The Reward Engine was not running then and 19 THE WITNESS: I can't recall the exact 19 is not running today. 20 20 Q That's what I was going to ask you. timing. BY MR. LEASURE: 21 21 It's not running today? 22 2.2 Q And why did Kik give them Kin? A Correct. 23 A I think it was just another -- I can't 23 Q In terms of today -- so we've walked 24 recall. My guess would be that it's just another way 24 through what could or couldn't be done with Kin as of 25 25 to test this out, this new technology, new product, et September 2017.

#### Page 474 Page 472 1 A Uh-huh. 1 application they're inside of. 2 Q It's now November of 2018. 2 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. 3 3 What has changed? THE WITNESS: But not what the exact 4 4 transaction was inside that app. A Many things have changed. Where do you 5 5 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. And when you say want me to start? 6 Q Okay. Can I do -- is there a wallet inside 6 what application they're inside of, what does that 7 7 of Kik? 8 8 A There is a wallet inside of Kik. THE WITNESS: So today, I think we have 9 Q So I can show my balance -- that wallet 9 roughly ten apps live in the Apple app store or the 10 10 shows my balance inside the Kik messaging app? Google Playstore that have integrated Kin, places 11 A So there is a wallet in Kik today. It's on 11 consumers around the world can go to earn and spend and 12 12 use Kin. the new fork of Stellar blockchain. There's also the 13 13 old wallet for the Ethereum blockchain, the new wallet MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. 14 for the Stellar -- fork of Stellar blockchain, if we 14 THE WITNESS: So you can tell by the 15 just call it the Kin blockchain. 15 blockchain which applications those transactions are 16 16 being generated by. Today, you can use it to earn in the 17 marketplace by doing things like filling out surveys. 17 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Are there 18 18 transactions that are not being generated by those And you can spend it on things like chat themes. You 19 19 can spend it on chat themes. That's today the extent apps? 20 of the functionality in Kik. 20 THE WITNESS: On the -- on the fork of 21 Stellar, not to my knowledge. Just by those apps. 21 Q Inside the Kik messaging app? 22 A Correct. 22 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Okay. 23 MR. MITCHELL: And the tokens that are 23 MR. MITCHELL: Can people exchange Kin 24 24 tokens that are on the Stellar -- fork of Stellar being transacted there, are those tokens on the new --25 2.5 on the Kin blockchain or on the Ethereum blockchain? blockchain for other currencies? Page 475 Page 473 THE WITNESS: It's technically both. Like, 1 THE WITNESS: Not yet. 1 2 so if you -- you can earn and spend on stickers on the 2 BY MR. LEASURE: 3 Ethereum blockchain, and then you can earn and spend on 3 Q So currently, there are developers and apps 4 chat themes on the new Kin blockchain. 4 that will accept Kin in exchange for goods or services? 5 MR. MITCHELL: So if someone earns tokens, 5 A Yes. 6 there's actually a transaction on the Ethereum 6 Q Okay. Rolling back the clock. It's 7 blockchain that adds -- gives them Kin tokens? 7 September of 2017. 8 8 THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes. There are Which developers or apps accepted Kin? 9 ways to earn Kin that exist on the Ethereum blockchain. 9 A Just Kik. 10 MR. MITCHELL: From inside the Kik app? 10 That's it? You were unaware of any others? THE WITNESS: Correct. That's my 11 11 Α Correct. Okay. 12 understanding. 12 13 MR. MITCHELL: What did Kik accept them MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: I think you said in 13 14 14 response to an earlier question that Kik did have not for? 15 THE WITNESS: Kik allowed you to unlock 15 the ability to -- Kik had the ability to see how many 16 transactions there were, but with the ERC-20 16 stickers based on your balance. 17 blockchain, it couldn't tell what those transactions 17 MR. MITCHELL: So did Kik -- did Kik 18 were, whether it was -- whether it was through an 18 provide any goods and services to people in return for 19 exchange or whatever sort of the tenor of the 19 Kin tokens? 20 20 THE WITNESS: If you're saying in terms of transaction. actually spending them where Kik would take your tokens 21 Is that also true with respect to the 21 22 Stellar fork blockchain, you can tell sort of the 22 in return for something, no. 23 quantity of them but not their character, like, what 23 MR. MITCHELL: And what about the opposite? 24 they're for? 24 Did Kik provide goods and services -- I'm sorry. 25 THE WITNESS: I think you can tell what 25 The opposite would be: Did Kik pay people

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

Page 476

1 Kin -- at the time of September of 2017, did Kik have 2 any systems where it was paying people Kin tokens for 3 them to do goods and services for Kik?

THE WITNESS: So the airdrops were given to

Kik users based on how much of a power user they were

6 inside the Kik ecosystem. So in a way, they were 7 getting paid for being good users. I'm not sure. I 8 know either then or shortly after, we rolled out the 9 ability to earn, I believe, from doing surveys. But I 10 can't remember the exact sequencing of that in timing.

> MR. MITCHELL: Was that -- were there surveys at the time that people got their -- made the decision to buy Kin tokens?

14 THE WITNESS: I can't recall.

15 MR. MITCHELL: Okay.

BY MR. LEASURE:

Q So we see in documents, starting around May of 2017, some discussion about should there be an MVP, what should the MVP be for the upcoming token sale.

A Uh-huh.

Q Does that ring a bell with you, that there

22 were discussions about that?

23 A It does

4

5

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. At a high level -- I'm going to walk

25 you through some documents to see if it refreshes your all the options, it was the best option on all of those criteria, yes.

# Q What were the other options being considered?

A I don't remember any specific options being considered. I think what I do remember is, you know, some of those different pieces. So from a technology point of view, Ethereum could handle almost no transactions per second. So if we did lots of earning and spending, like we had with Kik Points, millions of people earning and spending, if we just put that on the blockchain, the whole thing would grind to a halt.

In fact, I do remember doing a calculation that if we simply wanted to give 10 Kin to all of your users just to get them started, just one time 10 Kin, even if they never used it from there, they never earned more or spent any of it, that operation alone would bring the Ethereum network to a halt for something like 30 days.

### Q Just the distribution of 10 to Kik users?

A Correct. So there's a technology piece. The punch line there is the technology was very young. There was a product piece. There was word outside Kik is a chat community. You're interacting with new people. When you interact with new people, signals of

Page 477

status are important. 1

Page 479

Page 478

```
recollection. What do you remember about those
discussions as they evolved over time?
```

A About what the MVP was?

Q Yeah.

product, you're trying to figure out what is the minimum viable version of that product. What defines viable is depending on what the product is you're launching. In the case of Kin, I think the pieces that would contribute to viability would be it has to work from a user point of view, you know.

A So I think when you're launching any new

It has to work well for the users that are exposed to it. It has to work from a technology point of view. The technology needs to be able to support it. It has to work from a compliance point of view and all applicable laws. And it has to work from a strategic and timing point of view.

So I think those would be the four things that would contribute to what we decided would be our minimum viable product, that first product we would launch with Kin.

Q And this -- the access to sticker packs as an MVP, it complied -- it met those criterion you just

A I think it was the -- it was the best -- of

And so it felt like there would be a good utility there for our user base to be able to do that with Kin. And then there was also strategic. We had outlined our entire vision for the world to publicly see and copy in May. We were very concerned that once again, we would get copied and crushed, and our very concern that once again we will get copied and crushed. And so we wanted to move quickly.

MR. MITCHELL: What user base were you just mentioning that would like the stickers or...

12 THE WITNESS: I don't understand the 13 auestion.

> MR. MITCHELL: Am I right you just said that you -- that you considered that the stickers -that this was a chat app and that showing status was -had value --

19 MR. MITCHELL: -- to the user base. 20 THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. MITCHELL: Is that right? 21

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

THE WITNESS: Correct. 22

23 MR. MITCHELL: What user base? 24 THE WITNESS: The user base of Kik. 25

MR. MITCHELL: The messaging app?

Page 480 Page 482 1 THE WITNESS: Correct. 1 ensuring that this met the legal definition of a 2 BY MR. LEASURE: 2 currency, which is how we viewed it. 3 3 Q I think you mentioned one of the criteria MR. MITCHELL: Again, we're just staying on 4 4 or things being looked at was compliance; is that this subject. The "this" you're talking about is that 5 right? 5 the Kin token met the definition of the currency? 6 A Yep. 6 THE WITNESS: Correct. 7 7 Q Did Kik attempt to develop sticker packs as BY MR. LEASURE: 8 8 an MVP in part of concerns for compliance with Q I'm going to show you a document that's 9 securities laws? 9 previously been marked as Exhibit 179. Take a look and 10 10 A We considered all applicable laws when let me know when you're ready. I'll identify it for 11 we -- you know, money transmission is another area I 11 the record. It's a one-page printout of an e-mail 12 would put into compliance. And it was also technology. 12 chain ending June 10th, 2017, with the subject "Crypto 13 13 MVP/Alpha," A-l-p-h-a. Q Okay. But, again, was it in part of -- out 14 of concern for complying with the securities laws? 14 A Uh-huh. 15 A I'm trying to think. I don't want to do 15 Q And has the Bates stamp KIK 103267. 16 the privileged thing. We were getting advice at the 16 Mr. Livingston, what is this document? 17 time on this initial product. Some of that advice --17 A This appears to be an e-mail -- e-mail 18 again, I'm just trying to --18 thread. 19 MR. GIBBS: Let me see if I can help. 19 Q Okay. From Eran Ben-Ari? 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 A Yep. 21 21 MR. GIBBS: It's okay in answering his Q Okay. And do you -- do you remember this 22 question to identify the subject matter of the advice. 22 e-mail discussion? 23 THE WITNESS: So yes. 23 A I do. 24 BY MR. LEASURE: 24 Q Okay. Backing up for a minute. We've been 25 Q So you discussed with Kik's counsel what 25 a talking about the MVP. Can you -- can you place this Page 481 Page 483 1 1 MVP should accompany the public sale? in the context of discussions about what an MVP should 2 A Yes. 2 be? 3 Q That was Cooley? 3 A An MVP should be a minimum viable product 4 4 A Yes, to the best of my knowledge. that satisfies all pieces of what you're trying to 5 5 launch and test out. Q No one else -- no other counsel you 6 remember? 6 Q And this is people discussing the sticker 7 A I know we had a bunch of different counsels 7 packs as a potential MVP. Do I have that right? 8 8 in the company at the time. I can't remember which law A That's what it looks like. 9 firms were working on what. 9 Q Okay. Eran's e-mail on June 5th that 10 Q Fair enough. Okay. Let me show you a 10 begins the chain, do you see this? 11 11 A Yep. MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, you talked about 12 12 Q He writes, "Hi, all. Below is a link to 13 compliance in terms of not wanting to violate -- having 13 the spec I wrote a few weeks ago. This was approved by 14 product violate the law. Did I understand that right? 14 Nancy and later used as the basis for what Jairaj," 15 15 THE WITNESS: I think we -- I don't know. J-a-i-r-a-j, "implemented within Kik." 16 We wanted to make sure that anything we did, whether a 16 Do you recall the specs that Eran is 17 token sale or anything we've done ever in the history 17 referring to here? 18 of the company, would comply with all known laws. 18 A I don't recall the specific spec, but I 19 MR. MITCHELL: So I guess I'm trying to 19 have a recollection of generally being similar to what 20 understand. Was your concern that somehow -- that 20 we launched, is my guess. 21 giving people access to the stickers might violate some 21 Q Specs for the sticker packs? 22 law? 2.2 A Right. And the functionality of unlocking 23 THE WITNESS: I think we -- I don't think 23 stickers based on your balance. 2.4 it was about the stickers violating a law. I think it 24 Q Got it. And just from this e-mail, is it 25 was about the broader idea of, you know, making --25 right that around this time, the actual stickers

Page 484 Page 486 entire product bottom up, and the MVP will not be used 1 themselves are being developed by people at Kik? 1 2 A It looks like that, yes. 2 in any way." Let me pause there because he says "we 3 3 Q Do you remember that process, how they went discussed." 4 4 about doing that? Do you recall discussions along the lines 5 A I don't recall specifically. But from 5 that this e-mail is referring to? 6 6 this, it looks like they worked with Phil and Ilan to A I do not, but I'm sure they happened. 7 7 get these stickers. Q Okay. Was there -- again, leaving aside a 8 8 Q Okay. The next sentence says, "This discussion, did you have in mind that once Kin was 9 9 definition was written with one purpose only," in all integrated into Kik, that the entire product, sticker 10 10 caps, "COMPLIANCE." packs, would be rebuilt from the bottom up? 11 A Uh-huh: 11 A I think maybe what's the context here of, 12 Q "This is not an MVP for product purposes 12 like, what would be rebuilt is important. 13 13 Q Please. nor to satisfy any good user experience with crypto 14 14 A I think to understand this, you have to 15 Do you -- do you -- did you agree with Eran 15 understand where Kin came from, which is -- the 16 16 original idea was let's take Kik Points and put it on a that the definition was written with one purpose only, 17 17 compliance? blockchain. Like, if Kik Points alone was a 18 A I think this is a bit of a complicated 18 cryptocurrency, it would be the most used question, I think. You know, as I said, we were --19 19 cryptocurrency in the world. 20 20 when we lunched this thing about this minimum viable And so to have that product, with all the 21 21 product, we were thinking about it from a bunch of different ways to earn and spend it, and then to try to points of view; the technology point of view, the 22 22 put it on the blockchain meant that, like I said, even 23 product point of view, the compliance point of view, 23 just giving 10 Kin to our millions of users was not a 24 and the strategic point of view. 24 viable option with the current state of the technology. 25 25 So I think what Eran is saying here is this And so what we had to do is we had to take Page 485 Page 487 1 spec from -- this spec is saying it's looking at what 1 all the things we had done and wanted to do with Kik 2 are all the options, and it's -- this one is 2 Points and narrow it down to what the blockchain 3 primarily -- it's looking at it from a compliance first 3 technology could support today. I think for Eran, 4 4 point of view. myself, and a lot of people, you know, that was a hard 5 5 Q Okay. But the overall sticker packs and thing to do, you know, sort of a frustration with a 6 their development --6 7 A Uh-huh. 7 But at the same time, when you launch 8 8 Q -- it's your view that that was not merely something, you get in the game, people get excited, it 9 9 accelerates the rate with which we could build out Kin developed for compliance purposes? 10 10 A I think it was the intersection of what the from there. So when -- like, rebuild, you know, there 11 technology could allow, what would be -- meet all 11 was no earning and spending here. So I don't know if 12 12 applicable laws from a compliance point of view, what rebuild, it's -- we would have to expand the product. 13 Q Okay. So you don't -- you don't recall -users would find valuable, and what we could launch and 13 14 14 it sounds like you don't recall the discussion that get in market with, knowing that we had shared the 15 15 whole vision with the entire world, so that we could do Eran is referring to here? 16 now today. It was the intersection of all of those 16 A I don't recall. I do not recall this 17 four. And I think -- yeah, it was the intersection of 17 specific discussion. 18 all those four 18 Q Okay. I'll take that back. Thank you. 19 MR. MITCHELL: And the users that you were 19 So, obviously, you've described to me the 20 just mentioning, those are the Kik app users? 20 thinking that you recall going into deciding on sticker 21 THE WITNESS: Yep. 21 packs as an MVP for Kin. Was there business or market 22 22 BY MR. LEASURE: analysis done to decide what sticker packs appeal 23 23 versus other potential MVPs? Q Okay. He further writes that -- following 24 where I was just reading, he writes, "We discussed that 24 A Not that I can specifically think of. 25 25 once we integrate Kin into Kik, we will rebuild the Q Okay. I guess this might follow up from

Page 488 Page 490 1 that, but let me ask anyway. Did Kik ever get any 1 we saw, from my point of view, a lot of bad things 2 feedback from potential Kin purchasers prior to the 2 happening, like just blatant scams. 3 3 public sale about sticker packs? And so I remember us wanting to be very 4 4 A I did hear from people that they thought it careful to make it clear that we were not like them in 5 was cool. Most -- these people I heard from were not 5 any way, and that this was a legitimate project based 6 Kik users previously, but they became Kik users, 6 on years of thinking and not just some scam like so 7 7 thought it was cool that they could bring their Kin many other projects we saw at the time. 8 8 into Kik and unlock stickers, and that they had done Q And did anyone say, you know, to avoid 9 that and used that and thought it was cool. 9 being seen like some of those other projects, we should 10 10 Q Okay. So after the public sale, you heard slow down this project, build out more? 11 from some users that they had signed up for Kik and 11 A I think we -- like, we constantly 12 accessed sticker packs? 12 reevaluated the launch date for the token sale. I 13 13 A Right. think we pushed it back multiple times. And that was 14 14 Q Okay. Can you tell me anything more about for different reasons each time. That was for 15 those users or those discussions? 15 technology reasons, you know, the technology took 16 16 A Now, they're sort of offhand discussions longer to build than we thought. That was for product 17 that was largely --17 reasons, you know, we were still building out the 18 18 Q People you knew already or people who product. 19 19 reached out to you? That was for compliance reasons, you know, 20 A People I knew already. 20 based on advice from -- it was a topic of discussion 21 21 Q Like, a business or acquaintances or with counsel at the time. But from my point of view, I 22 friends or anything? 22 wanted to launch Kin as soon as possible. 23 A This case I'm thinking of, it was somebody 23 Q Let me show you a document that might bear 24 from Cointree. 24 on some of this. This is a document previously marked 25 25 Q Oh, okay. So someone who had worked with as Exhibit 151. Take a look and let me know when Page 489 Page 491 1 you guys on the Kin project? you're ready. I'll identify it for the record as a 1 2 A Uh-huh. 2 two-page printout of an e-mail chain ending June 13th, 3 Do you remember which person? 3 2017, with the subject "Board Materials." Exhibit 151 A It was -- what's his name? I could find 4 4 has the Bates stamp Kik Foundation Cap 2701. 5 5 A Okay. out. Daniel. 6 O Someone -- Daniel from Cointree? 6 O What is this document? 7 7 A It's an e-mail from Fred. A Yes. 8 8 Got it. Okay. Moving forward in time. Q Okay. Just to point -- to move it ahead 9 9 So were there discussions in June involving maybe, do you see you are on the first e-mail in the 10 board members about whether to delay the public sale 10 chain, your name is there? 11 11 and build additional functionality for Kin? A I do, yep. 12 A I think we were constantly evaluating what 12 Q Okay. And then it looks like you're off 13 are all the options for the intersection of product, 13 the chain in the top. Do you see that? 14 14 A I do see that. technology, compliance, and timing. 15 15 Q And so you were always doing that -- we see Q Okay. So I just want to focus on the part 16 some references in June. Does anything ring a bell of 16 you're on. Does this appear to be referring to a board 17 discussions with Fred Wilson and other people at the 17 meeting, an upcoming board meeting? 18 18 A It does appear to be, yeah. board, anything like that, at that time? 19 A Yeah, rings a bell. 19 Q Okay. Something in June 2017, the board, 20 20 right? O Tell me what you remember. 21 21 A I remember us being concerned about what A Uh-huh. 22 was happening in the industry at large at the time, 2.2 Q He writes, "Just so you both know, I'm 23 that a lot of sort of irresponsible things were 23 increasingly nervous about the speculative fever in the 2.4 happening, a lot of scams were going on, you know, 24 token sale world. I will advocate that we take a very 25 25 there was a lot of excitement in the market. But also, cautious approach so Kik doesn't become the poster

Page 492 Page 494 1 1 child for bad behavior." O So would you agree that there was on the 2 Do you see that? 2 one hand attention to get into the market as soon as 3 3 A I do. possible to not be copied and crushed? 4 4 Q And you were referring earlier to concern A It's not a matter of whether we get copied. 5 about scams and things happening in this space. 5 It's only a matter of whether we get crushed. And the 6 Is that what Fred was concerned about here 6 sooner we get in market, the larger lead we have, the 7 or something else? 7 stronger we are as an ecosystem by the day that A I think what Fred was concerned about 8 8 Facebook inevitably copies us and comes to crush us. 9 here -- I can't say for him for sure. 9 Q Understood. And there was a tension 10 Q Of course. 10 between that on the one hand versus trying to do this 11 A But if I were to guess, it's what I was 11 responsibly, not even optically appearing like, you 12 concerned about as well, which as -- you know, we 12 know, scams you were seeing out there? 13 viewed crypto as a fundamentally new business model, a 13 A Yes. We have the best vision of anybody in 14 new way to compete, a new way to build value for 14 the world, but we also took the most cautious approach 15 consumers. But so many of these other projects just 15 of anybody in the world. And if we could do those two 16 sort of looked like they had an idea overnight and were 16 things, we think that would be the best possible option 17 launching a token sale and in cases selling very high 17 of all the options. 18 dollar amounts for those tokens. 18 Q Let me point you to what Fred subsequently 19 And so I don't think here the concern is 19 wrote. He writes on Item 2, if you see that --20 that we had bad behavior. I think it was that we A Uh-huh. 20 21 wanted to do everything we could so that we wouldn't 21 Q -- "We should not sell tokens to the," 22 get incorrectly lumped in with those that were 22 quote, "'crowd," end quote, "until we have implemented 23 exhibiting bad behavior. 23 Kin inside Kik and can show it working just like Kik 24 Q Got it. Okay. And did you and Ted -- you 24 Points. We should show that we can settle off chain 25 are Ted. Did you and Fred talk about that? 25 and on chain before offering Kin to the crowd." Page 493 Page 495 A Well, he sent this e-mail to me. And 1 1 Let me pause there. Can you -- first, do 2 everything he, like -- it was, like, a very interesting 2 you recall Fred proposing this? 3 time. Like, for me, it was super exciting because I 3 A I do. 4 4 knew we were going to go all in on this dream I had had Q And did you discuss this proposal with 5 for years. And that by doing that, it could save Kik, 5 Fred? 6 but also save the consumer app industry broadly. 6 A I don't recall specifically discussing it. 7 It could potentially become the most used 7 But I do recall me have similar thoughts, I believe, at 8 cryptocurrency in the world. And so I was very excited 8 9 9 on one side and very eager to get in the game knowing Q Is it fair to say Mr. Wilson wanted to slow 10 that competition was coming and that people once again 10 down the project until certain development milestones would try to copy and crush us. On the other side, I 11 11 were reached? 12 and along with Fred and many others were very concerned 12 A I think what we're getting at here is we had an evolving understanding of what exactly a utility 13 about the state of the token market, seeing many 13 14 14 was and also what exactly -- how exactly compliance people -- even today, I think many of the top ten 15 currencies are never going to be used. 15 would work in this space. And so at this time, I would 16 16 And so saying like we don't want any part say it's not that he wanted to slow it down per se. 17 of that. And so how do you balance those two things is 17 You know, he wanted to make sure we had the 18 18 a very delicate thing. On one side, it's exciting. right utility, as we all did. And this is what was our 19 You know this is a massive opportunity, and you know 19 understanding of what that utility might be at the 20 competition is coming once again. 20 21 And after having spent ten years of your 21 Q Can you explain to me -- I think you said 22 22 life on this, this time, you want to win. But at the you had an evolving understanding of utility at this

23

24

25

time.

A Uh-huh.

Can you explain that to me?

same time, seeing all of this bad behavior in the

incorrectly lumped into that bad behavior.

market, and making sure that you are not going to get

23

24

25

#### Page 498 Page 496 1 A Yeah. I think when we looked at minimum 1 2017, with the subject "Draft E-mail." It has the 2 viable product, like I talked about earlier, there were 2 Bates stamp KIK 113490. 3 3 four factors; compliance, technology, product, and A Okay. 4 timing. And so what are all of the options there? You 4 Q Do you recognize this document? 5 know, like anything, you start out, you sort of have 5 6 one option. And then as you work through, one of our 6 Okay. Have you ever seen this document or 7 7 values is we look at all the options, we try to been forwarded this document? generate all of the options on all those factors. 8 8 A I don't recall specifically seeing it 9 And so the option we ultimately went with 9 before. 10 is different than what this initial option here has 10 Q Okay. Have you had a chance took take a 11 proposed. 11 look at it? 12 Q Right. This isn't what you guys did? 12 A I have not. 13 A Correct. 13 Q Okay. So should I read through it? 14 Q And you couldn't settle -- in the event, in 14 15 September 2017, you couldn't settle off chain and off 15 Q Yes, please. 16 16 All right. chain? MR. MITCHELL: Off chain -- excuse me. Off 17 17 Q I'm showing you this in part to see if it 18 chain and on chain. 18 refreshes any recollections of a board meeting that is 19 MR. LEASURE: Correct. I misread that. 19 either consistent or inconsistent of what's in here. 20 THE WITNESS: So, for example, we do not do 20 Let me start by asking: Did reading that 21 off chain settling today. Why do we not do it? 21 help refresh any recollections of a June 2017 board 22 Because then you get into money transmittal licensing 22 meeting and discussions around it? 23 laws. So, for example, here, what he's proposing 23 A It did not. 24 turned out to be not viable from a compliance point 24 Rats. 25 of view. 25 A I would tell you if it did. I -- like, Page 497 Page 499 1 BY MR. LEASURE: there were many discussions going on at the time about 1 2 Q Did you implement Kin inside Kik and show 2 the exact structure and how we could make it work and, 3 it working? 3 you know, presale and different discounts they got. I 4 4 A We did. believe the discounts they ultimately got are different 5 Q Through sticker packs? than what's here, for example. So there was a lot of 6 6 discussions around this. Q Any other way? 7 Q Sure. 8 8 A So I don't recall this specific discussion. A No. Q Okay. I'll take that back. I'm going to 9 Q Let me point to one of two things and see 10 show you a document previously marked as Exhibit --10 if you can recall discussion, you know, generally at 11 well, first, this is referring to a board meeting 11 this time of what people are saying. 12 mid-June. Do you remember a board meeting in mid-June 12 A Yeah. 13 13 discussing Kin? Q It starts, it says, "At the last board 14 14 A Not specifically. There were many board meeting, before Fred and Jim had to drop off the call, 15 15 meetings going on at the time, so -the proposed course of action suggested to ensure 16 Q I got you. I understand. Let me show you 16 minimum risk to the company and the board (in 17 a document and see if it refreshes anything. I'm 17 recognition of the frothiness of the cryptocurrency 18 18 showing you a document previously marked as markets generated this time) was to conduct a 19 19 Exhibit 181. Take a look at it and let me know when \$100 million presale and eliminate the public token 20 you're ready. To help you, I think this is -- well, 20 distribution event." 21 this is a multi-page printout of an e-mail with an 21 Let me pause there. Was that in 22 attachment. I believe the attachment contains of the 2.2 consideration, not -- just not doing a public 23 text of -- that's in the e-mail. 23 distribution even at all? 2.4 A Okay. 24 A I don't recall it specifically being a 25 Q It's -- Exhibit 181 is dated June 14th, 25 discussion. But I'm sure it was one of the options we

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 500

Q What did you think about that?

would have looked at at the time, yeah.

3 A I thought it was a bad option.

Q Why?

1

2

4

7

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

5 A Because at this point -- I'm not sure about 6

this point specifically, but at this point or around

this point, it was clear through the presale

8 conversations that we were going to get the money, and

9 that this was no longer about the money. And so maybe 10 the lowest risk thing to do would be to only do a

11 presale.

> But in doing that, you would eliminate the option for the broader public to join the project on

14 day one. And for this project to succeed, this

15 ambition, this scope of vision, required as many people 16 as possible in as many countries as possible to become

stakeholders in the project in day one.

And so for me, this was no longer about the money. This was about launching Kin with the most

momentum possible. Q All right. The next sentence there reads, "The conversion of the SAFT rights into Kin would occur at the time the Kin ecosystem was fully functional versus at the time there was a minimal viable product."

Did you see that?

Page 502

compliance point of view, from a product point of view,

2 from a technology point of view, and it allowed us to 3 establish our leadership sooner, get in market sooner,

build momentum sooner, then we should absolutely do it.

Q Okay. Did Mr. Wilson have a different view?

A Not to my recollection.

Q He didn't push -- okay. That's fine. Let me point you to one other section. This is on the second page, 113491.

A Uh-huh.

Q It writes, "We reached out to the lead investor on the presale and talked about extending the time before the company would conduct the TDE and offered the reason why. And much to our surprise, the proposed delay was viewed adversely and would impact the lead investor's decision to participate in the presale."

A Uh-huh.

Q Let me pause there. Do you recall this outreach -- this outreach described here to a lead investor?

23 A Generally -- not specifically, but 24 generally, I am aware of it, yeah.

Q Tell me what you're aware of.

Page 501

A I do.

Q Okay. On that topic, a discussion of, you know, converting SAFT rights at a fully functional time versus at a time when there's a minimum -- minimal viable product, do you remember discussion about that?

know, what exactly the definition is of fully functional versus minimum viable, like, to me, there is -- minimum viable is where you start. To me, there's the end vision, a currency used by billions of people and thousands of applications. That's the end vision. And then what is fully functional in between,

A Not specifically. When I look at this, you

Q Were you -- around this time, were you suggesting to Mr. Wilson that Kin should go to market with only limited utility as opposed to full utility?

A I don't think I was suggesting that. I think probably what I was suggesting is that we should try to get in market as soon as possible to establish leadership in this race.

Q Okay. And if that means not having the time to build out more things, that it was worth doing?

A That -- if it meant that we would not be on side from a compliance point of view, we absolutely should not do it. But if we were on side from a

Page 503

A That what is written here is we were trying to figure out the right option on all those different factors of how to launch the ecosystem. One of the options was to wait longer and, you know, build on chain, off chain, which we later realized was not an option from compliance. But it looks like it was one of things being considered at the time.

And that when we -- it looks like here, I didn't do the reach out. But it looks like here that pushing back the launch of the ecosystem was viewed adversely by this investor's decision to participate, which to me, is not to my surprise at all.

Q Why?

A For the same reason that I wanted to get in market as soon as possible is probably the same reason they should want to get in market as soon as possible, which is you have figured out one of the biggest -what I believe will one day be viewed as the biggest opportunities in human history. You are better positioned than anybody to launch this and go after that, but you have shared this with the world.

Whenever you have an opportunity that is this big, there will no doubt at some point be competition. And every day we delay is a day we give to the competition to one day catch up.

	Page 504	Page 506
1	Q Do you know who the lead investor is that's	1 A I haven't looked at it yet, but I can do
2	being referred to here?	2 that.
3	A I don't know specifically. But if I had to	3 O Please.
4	guess, I would say it's Pantera.	4 A It looks like a board resolution.
5	Q Okay. I'll take that back. Thank you.	5 Q Okay. And it's dated June 20 well, the
6	MR. MITCHELL: Are you aware of anyone from	6 e-mail attaching is dated June 26th. Do you see that?
7	Kik reaching out to Pantera in the way described in	7 A Yep.
8	this e-mail?	8 Q Okay. Can I point you to the board
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know specifically.	9 resolution on the page with Bates stamp ending 326?
10	But it looks like somebody did reach out to them.	10 A Yep.
11	MR. MITCHELL: Any idea who?	11 Q There are several whereases.
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know who. But if I	Do you see that?
13	had to guess, I would think it would be Peter or I	13 A I do.
14	would think it would be Peter.	14 Q Second whereas, "Whereas the corporation
15	MR. MITCHELL: Peter Heinke?	proposes to offer for sale to the public an app coin
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.	called Kin at such time as the Kin ecosystem is
17	MR. MITCHELL: And if you wanted to know,	17 functional."
18	who could tell you who's being described here?	18 Do you see that?
19	THE WITNESS: Where? I'm sorry.	19 A Yep.
20	MR. MITCHELL: This Exhibit 181, is there	20 Q Following down that, there's a whereas
21	somebody who could tell you who the lead investor	21 right above where it's redacted.
22	referenced here is?	22 A Yep.
23	THE WITNESS: I would guess Peter or Nancy	23 Q "Whereas the corporation proposes to raise
24	would be good people to ask.	24 up to an additional \$50 million in a public token
25	MR. MITCHELL: Oh, Nancy Wojtas	distribution event once the Kin ecosystem is built
		·
	Page 505	Page 507
1	Page 505  THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this	Page 507
1 2	_	
	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this	1 out."
2	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is	1 out." 2 Do you see that?
2	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do.
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action?
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No. THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you 9 to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No. THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you 9 to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and 10 it's a few days later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you 9 to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and 10 it's a few days later. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th. 13 I guess that's two days later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you 9 to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and 10 it's a few days later. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th. 13 I guess that's two days later. 14 A I do, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you 9 to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and 10 it's a few days later. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th. 13 I guess that's two days later. 14 A I do, yeah. 15 Q Okay. If I can point you to the board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have previously been marked Exhibits 48 and 49. Take a look	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you 9 to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and 10 it's a few days later. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th. 13 I guess that's two days later. 14 A I do, yeah. 15 Q Okay. If I can point you to the board 16 resolution, Exhibit 49. The second whereas states,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have previously been marked Exhibits 48 and 49. Take a look at Exhibits 48 and 49 and let me know when you're	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you 9 to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and 10 it's a few days later. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th. 13 I guess that's two days later. 14 A I do, yeah. 15 Q Okay. If I can point you to the board 16 resolution, Exhibit 49. The second whereas states, 17 "Whereas the corporation proposes to offer for sale to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have previously been marked Exhibits 48 and 49. Take a look at Exhibits 48 and 49 and let me know when you're ready. I'll identify Exhibit 48 for the record.	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you 9 to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and 10 it's a few days later. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th. 13 I guess that's two days later. 14 A I do, yeah. 15 Q Okay. If I can point you to the board 16 resolution, Exhibit 49. The second whereas states, 17 "Whereas the corporation proposes to offer for sale to 18 the public an app coin called Kik at such time as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have previously been marked Exhibits 48 and 49. Take a look at Exhibits 48 and 49 and let me know when you're ready. I'll identify Exhibit 48 for the record.  It's June 26th, 2017 e-mail with an	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you 9 to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and 10 it's a few days later. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th. 13 I guess that's two days later. 14 A I do, yeah. 15 Q Okay. If I can point you to the board 16 resolution, Exhibit 49. The second whereas states, 17 "Whereas the corporation proposes to offer for sale to 18 the public an app coin called Kik at such time as the 19 corporation can introduce the MVP defined below."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have previously been marked Exhibits 48 and 49. Take a look at Exhibits 48 and 49 and let me know when you're ready. I'll identify Exhibit 48 for the record.  It's June 26th, 2017 e-mail with an attachment. It has a Bates stamp KIK 103324.	Do you see that?  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where it was agreed upon to take those courses of action?  A Not specifically. But I think it makes sense, based on these notes.  Q Okay. I want to show you then point you to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and it's a few days later.  A Okay.  Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th.  I guess that's two days later.  A I do, yeah.  Q Okay. If I can point you to the board resolution, Exhibit 49. The second whereas states,  "Whereas the corporation proposes to offer for sale to the public an app coin called Kik at such time as the corporation can introduce the MVP defined below."  Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have previously been marked Exhibits 48 and 49. Take a look at Exhibits 48 and 49 and let me know when you're ready. I'll identify Exhibit 48 for the record.  It's June 26th, 2017 e-mail with an attachment. It has a Bates stamp KIK 103324. Exhibit 49, the June 28th e-mail with an attachment,	1 out." 2 Do you see that? 3 A I do. 4 Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where 5 it was agreed upon to take those courses of action? 6 A Not specifically. But I think it makes 7 sense, based on these notes. 8 Q Okay. I want to show you then point you 9 to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and 10 it's a few days later. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th. 13 I guess that's two days later. 14 A I do, yeah. 15 Q Okay. If I can point you to the board 16 resolution, Exhibit 49. The second whereas states, 17 "Whereas the corporation proposes to offer for sale to 18 the public an app coin called Kik at such time as the 19 corporation can introduce the MVP defined below." 20 Do you see that? 21 A Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have previously been marked Exhibits 48 and 49. Take a look at Exhibits 48 and 49 and let me know when you're ready. I'll identify Exhibit 48 for the record.  It's June 26th, 2017 e-mail with an attachment. It has a Bates stamp KIK 103324. Exhibit 49, the June 28th e-mail with an attachment, KIK 117728. Are you ready?	Do you see that?  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where it was agreed upon to take those courses of action?  A Not specifically. But I think it makes sense, based on these notes.  Q Okay. I want to show you then point you to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and it's a few days later.  A Okay.  Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th.  I guess that's two days later.  A I do, yeah.  Q Okay. If I can point you to the board resolution, Exhibit 49. The second whereas states,  "Whereas the corporation proposes to offer for sale to the public an app coin called Kik at such time as the corporation can introduce the MVP defined below."  Do you see that?  A Yep.  Q And do you see that there's a "define"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have previously been marked Exhibits 48 and 49. Take a look at Exhibits 48 and 49 and let me know when you're ready. I'll identify Exhibit 48 for the record.  It's June 26th, 2017 e-mail with an attachment. It has a Bates stamp KIK 103324. Exhibit 49, the June 28th e-mail with an attachment, KIK 117728. Are you ready?  A Ready.	Do you see that?  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where it was agreed upon to take those courses of action?  A Not specifically. But I think it makes sense, based on these notes.  Q Okay. I want to show you then point you to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and it's a few days later.  A Okay.  Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th.  I guess that's two days later.  A I do, yeah.  Q Okay. If I can point you to the board resolution, Exhibit 49. The second whereas states,  "Whereas the corporation proposes to offer for sale to the public an app coin called Kik at such time as the corporation can introduce the MVP defined below."  Do you see that?  A Yep.  Q And do you see that there's a "define"  below MVP?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have previously been marked Exhibits 48 and 49. Take a look at Exhibits 48 and 49 and let me know when you're ready. I'll identify Exhibit 48 for the record.  It's June 26th, 2017 e-mail with an attachment. It has a Bates stamp KIK 103324. Exhibit 49, the June 28th e-mail with an attachment, KIK 117728. Are you ready?  A Ready.  Q Do you recognize Exhibit let's start	Do you see that?  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where it was agreed upon to take those courses of action?  A Not specifically. But I think it makes sense, based on these notes.  Q Okay. I want to show you then point you to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and it's a few days later.  A Okay.  Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th.  I guess that's two days later.  A I do, yeah.  Q Okay. If I can point you to the board resolution, Exhibit 49. The second whereas states,  "Whereas the corporation proposes to offer for sale to the public an app coin called Kik at such time as the corporation can introduce the MVP defined below."  Do you see that?  A Yep.  Q And do you see that there's a "define" below MVP?  A Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Well, Nancy wrote this e-mail. So I hope like, I wonder if is there is a question in, like, is there a way to find out who's being referenced here?  MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, that's what I was asking.  THE WITNESS: I would have you asked Nancy?  MR. MITCHELL: No.  THE WITNESS: Okay. Or have you asked Peter? He's also on this e-mail.  BY MR. LEASURE:  Q We'll take it back. Let me show you two more around the time. And these are probably best shown together. I'm handing you documents that have previously been marked Exhibits 48 and 49. Take a look at Exhibits 48 and 49 and let me know when you're ready. I'll identify Exhibit 48 for the record.  It's June 26th, 2017 e-mail with an attachment. It has a Bates stamp KIK 103324. Exhibit 49, the June 28th e-mail with an attachment, KIK 117728. Are you ready?  A Ready.	Do you see that?  Do you see that?  A I do.  Q Okay. Do you recall a board meeting where it was agreed upon to take those courses of action?  A Not specifically. But I think it makes sense, based on these notes.  Q Okay. I want to show you then point you to Exhibit 49 because the language looks different and it's a few days later.  A Okay.  Q Okay. Exhibit 49, you see it's June 28th.  I guess that's two days later.  A I do, yeah.  Q Okay. If I can point you to the board resolution, Exhibit 49. The second whereas states,  "Whereas the corporation proposes to offer for sale to the public an app coin called Kik at such time as the corporation can introduce the MVP defined below."  Do you see that?  A Yep.  Q And do you see that there's a "define"  below MVP?

	Page 508		Page 510
1	for the buildup on this. These appear to have	1	Q Why
2	different languages for the for lack of a better	2	A I don't know which is that the term
3	word, triggering or accompanying event for the public	3	"built out" is unspecific and it's unclear. You know,
4	sale?	4	one day, if we'd be looking back on this, what did we
5	A Yep.	5	mean by "built out"? Whereas in this version, we're
6	Q And they're two days apart?	6	very specific about we've replaced built out with
7	A Yep.	7	the MVP, which is specifically described.
8	Q Is there anything you can to do to help me	8	Q And was there a discussion about wanting to
9	understand what's happening or why we see two different	9	have more specific language in the board resolution?
10	board resolutions at this time?	10	Anything ring a bell for you?
11	A I don't have a specific recollection. But	11	A Nothing specific rings a bell. But I do
12	if I were to offer you my best guess	12	know, like, in these, we want to be specific about
13	Q Please.	13	what's being approved.
14	A it was a desire to be more specific	14	Q Is there any debate or do you recall at
15	about when exactly we would do the public sale. You	15	this time about what needed to be in place at the time
16	know, in the first one, application where is it	16	of the public sale?
17	before the rollout of the Kin ecosystem could mean	17	A I do recall we were constantly evaluating
18	anything. It's very unclear what exactly that means.	18	all the options on product, technology, compliance, and
19	Whereas here, it's very specific about what it means.	19	timing.
20	Q Let me go to the first one. If I can point	20	MR. MITCHELL: So Exhibit 48, that's an
21	you to the whereas above the redacted piece.	21	executed board resolution?
22	A Uh-huh.	22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	Q It says the public token distribution event	23	MR. MITCHELL: The board members all
24	will happen, quote, "once the Kin ecosystem is built	24	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
25	out."	25	MR. MITCHELL: approved it?
	Page 509		Page 511
1	A Uh-huh.	1	THE WITNESS: Yep.
2	Q Do you see that?	2	MR. MITCHELL: Do you remember anyone after
3	A Yep.	3	that point raising a concern with the resolution?
4	Q Was the Kin ecosystem built out at the time	4	THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
5 6	of the token distribution event?	5	MR. MITCHELL: You don't recall anybody?
7	A Depends on how you define "built out." I	7	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Obviously, at some point, it got raised because it got changed, but I
8	think that was the problem with this document.  Q Was it functional?	8	don't recall why.
9		9	MR. MITCHELL: Do you know why the
10	A lt was functional.  Q And you don't know if it was you don't	10	second do you know why the second resolution was
11	know if the term "built out" is too vague for you to	11	circulated and then executed?
12	be able to say whether it was or wasn't built out in	12	THE WITNESS: I do not recall.
13	September 2017?	13	MR. MITCHELL: Do you know who changed
14	A The term "built out" is very general and	14	the who drafted the language that's in Exhibit 49?
15	could mean many number of things. Is Ethereum built	15	THE WITNESS: I do not. But my guess would
16	out today? I'm not sure because it's not working very	16	be Nancy, but I do not.
17	well, and people are talking about how to do Plasma.	17	MR. MITCHELL: Why? Why do you guess it's
18	So is Ethereum built out today? I don't know. It's	18	Nancy?
19	not very specific. It's hard to evaluate with that	19	THE WITNESS: Because my understanding is
	terminology.	20	she drafts all of these resolutions.
20		21	MR. MITCHELL: But you don't remember I
20 21	Q Okay. Let me just see if I understand	41	
	Q Okay. Let me just see if I understand your what you what you recall. You don't you	22	
21	your what you what you recall. You don't you		just want to make sure I understand. You don't remember anything about well, let me say it in a
21 22		22	just want to make sure I understand. You don't
21 22 23	your what you what you recall. You don't you don't know why there are board resolutions with	22 23	just want to make sure I understand. You don't remember anything about well, let me say it in a

Page 512 Page 514 1 raising concerns about Exhibit 48 that led to 1 technology providers to help us with this process, but Exhibit 49? 2 2 I can't remember specifically. 3 THE WITNESS: I do not. 3 Q Yeah. And I take it -- I think for MR. MITCHELL: Is there any other time that 4 4 compliance purposes, it was important that Kik do this 5 you remember at Kik sort of having a board resolution 5 process and know who people were who were signing up to 6 get executed, and then people going back and wanting to 6 buy Kin, right? 7 edit it and make another version? 7 A That was a -- one of the reasons, but not 8 THE WITNESS: I do not. 8 the primary reason, in my point of view. 9 BY MR. LEASURE: 9 Q What was the primary reason? 10 Q I'll take both of them back from you. 10 A Compliance was a factor. This is a brand 11 Thank you. 11 new space. Many people were selling tokens with no 12 So in the public sale, Kik required people 12 registration whatsoever. In fact, most were -- you 13 to register? 13 know, that was the path of least friction when selling 14 A Uh-huh. 14 a token. And yet, we were one of the few that required 15 Q Is that right? 15 passport registration. Why? 16 16 Well, you know, we weren't sure how -- my 17 Q And it gathered information from them? 17 recollection is how AML laws would apply. So we said 18 Α Yes. 18 it doesn't hurt certainly to get everybody to register 19 Q Do you remember what categories of 19 with their passports. That was sort of a nice side 20 information they gathered? 20 benefit. The real reason we did this, from my point of A I don't remember specifically. I know we 21 21 view, it was -- because it was the only way we could 22 gathered passports for some people. I'm not sure. I 22 guarantee a fair distribution of the public sale tokens 23 think we gathered social security numbers for some 23 to everybody who wanted to join the project on day one. 24 people. Various different things. 24 Q You were worried that some people might get 25 Q So name? 25 a disproportionate amount of Kin on day one? Page 513 Page 515 1 A Before the Kin token sale, to my A Yep. 1 2 recollection, there was no token sale that had ever 2 Q Age? 3 A I believe so. 3 been done like Kin before. Every token sale previously 4 4 traded off between one of two options. One is to do an Citizenship or passport? 5 5 A I believe so, yeah. uncapped sale, so anybody who wants to participate can. 6 Q And people have to give a passport photo? 6 But then the amount you end up selling 7 A Like, everything on the passport, yeah. 7 those tokens for frequently becomes, from our point of 8 8 view, in my point of view, an irresponsible amount of Q Yeah. Sure. Right. So I had to give a 9 9 money. The other option is to cap the sale. But then passport photo; is that right? 10 A Uh-huh. Yes. I believe a photo of their 10 a couple of big people come in, take it all the way passport and a photo of them. from everybody else, and all the sort of, quote, 11 11 12 unquote, unsophisticated people on the technology side 12 Q Okay. So you could see if it looked like 13 don't have an ability to participate on day one because 13 them? 14 14 it's all gone before they can get there. A Yes Q Got it. 15 Q And some people got -- not kicked, but 15 16 kicked -- not kicked out of the registration, but 16 A And so the only way to have both a 17 people at Kik would ask for additional information or 17 responsible amount of money, from our point of view, 18 different photos; is that right? 18 what was a responsible amount of money, and to 19 A I'm not sure. 19 guarantee that everybody would be able to participate 20 20 was to make everybody register with their passports. Q Did Kik review the things that were 21 submitted by people? 21 That was what would have allowed us to say 22 22 A Yes. one allocation per one human being. And that was the 23 23 only way to do that. Q Do I have it right, that Kik may have hired 24 a company to help them with this process? 24 Q And you were comfortable with -- it sounds 25 A I think we hired several different 25 like you went through a -- you. It sounds like Kik

Page 516 Page 518 went through a relatively significant diligence process 1 1 to think that's correct, incorrect? 2 to ask people to affirm who they were? 2 A I have no reason to think it's correct or 3 3 A Yeah. It took a ton of work to set up that incorrect. 4 4 process. We got a ton of passports. I personally Q No reason either way? 5 reviewed, I think, a couple of hundred passports, for 5 A Yeah. 6 example. It was a ton of work to do it this way, but 6 That wasn't something you looked at? 7 7 we felt that that was worth it. Because it was the A Not that I recall. 8 8 only way, from our point of view, that we could provide Q Okay. How about -- I understand it sounds 9 a fair token sale. 9 like it was important for you that people -- that this 10 10 Q Got it. And you were comfortable with the was a fair process where people could participate, 11 work that was done. Let me put it in a better way. 11 12 12 A Uh-huh. Enough work was done that you were 13 comfortable that people were who they said they were? 13 Q Did you look at the distribution of the 14 14 dollar value of Kin that people purchased? 15 Q Okay. And that they -- their age, their 15 A Not that I can recall. I can recall 16 hearing different dollar points, but I can't recall 16 information was what they said it was? 17 A Yes. 17 specifically what those were. For example, how many 18 Q Their country was where they said it was 18 people bought a thousand dollars or less. I can't 19 19 from? recall what those numbers are, though. 20 A Yes. 20 Q Yeah. That's -- I'm asking -- let me ask 21 21 Q Okay. What can you tell me about the it more impressionistically. Are you able to say 22 average? There were 10,000 purchasers, I think, 22 whether a lot of Kin was purchased by a lot of small 23 roughly, of Kin in the public sale. 23 holders, or it was lumpy and a few people purchased a 24 Is that in the ballpark? 24 significant dollar value of Kin? 25 25 A Uh-huh. Are you able to say impressionistically Page 519 Page 517 1 Q Okay. Why don't you tell me about -- did 1 either way? 2 you look at average demographics, average age, country? 2 A I cannot. But I can say that, like, sort 3 Was that data something you looked at? 3 of the first step of the token sale evenly split all 4 4 A I think we had data on all those different the tokens that were available by all the people they 5 5 things. I don't recall looking at any specific data. had registered so that everybody had a fair opportunity 6 O That wasn't something you were interested 6 to purchase tokens up to an equal cap. 7 7 in? Q Okay. A I think we were interested in countries. I 8 8 A So, like, in that first phase, everybody 9 don't recall what the data was there. I'm sure we were 9 would be able to at most get, I believe it was 10 interested in other things, but I don't recall anything 10 somewhere around \$6,000 worth of Kin. 11 11 Q And then there was a second phase where 12 Q Can you ballpark what percentage of -- by 12 people could get more? 13 dollar amount, if possible, what percentage of dollar 13 A Yes. 14 14 value of Kin was purchased by people in the U.S. versus Q Okay. I'll tell you, I had seen in the 15 15 other countries? data. There are some people who bought a million 16 A I don't recall. But if I had to guess, I 16 dollars worth of Kin. 17 would say something like 30 percent maybe. But maybe 17 Does that -- does that sound right to you? 18 it's more. I can't recall. 18 A Could be, yeah. 19 Q How about age? Do you know the average age 19 Q Okay. That wouldn't surprise you if that 20 of a Kin purchaser? 20 were --21 21 A I do not. A No, that wouldn't surprise me. 2.2 Q If I was to represent to you we saw an 2.2 Q Okay. How about you -- prior to the Kin 23 average age of around 35 --23 project, you had been the CEO of a company running a 24 A Okay. 24 messaging app, right? 25 25 Q -- does that sound -- do you have a reason A Correct.

Page 520 Page 522 1 Q And we've talked about the people -- anyone 1 Q Okay. We've seen the use cases in the 2 around the world can use the Kik messaging app. 2 white paper. 3 3 A Yep. A Uh-huh. 4 4 Q But we've talked about sort of who the Q Was there any market analysis done prior to 5 audience was for that app and how it changed over time. 5 the public sale about how people might want to actually 6 6 use Kin in the future? 7 7 Q Do you have data or do you have a sense of A I think -- I don't know exactly what 8 8 what the overlap is between the people who bought Kin analysis was done. I think some of it came from the 9 in the public sale versus people who use the Kik 9 experience with Kik Points. Some of it probably could 10 10 messaging app? have come from analysis of the market. Some of it 11 A I do not. 11 could have just been, you know, innovative thinking and 12 12 ideas. It could have come from any number of places. Q Do you know -- so you don't know if it's 13 13 almost all Kik users, if it's almost not any Kik users Q Yeah. And we've talked about a lot of that 14 who bought Kin? You don't know either way? 14 today. We've seen -- we talked about -- or yesterday. 15 A I do not. 15 We've talked about Kik Points in your experience, and 16 16 Q How old did you have to be to buy Kin? we talked about CoinFund market analysis. 17 A I can't recall. 17 I'm trying to see if there was anything 18 O 18, 21? 18 else done to see, okay, how is Kin -- how might Kin be 19 A Maybe 18. I can't recall. 19 used in the future that you can think of. 20 Q And is that still the -- can a 14-year-old 20 A I'm sorry. What's the question? 21 21 now hold -- own Kin? Q The question is: Was there anything other 22 A Kin is a cryptocurrency. And so like any 22 than Kik Points experience, CoinFund's analysis, that 23 cryptocurrency, once you own it, you get to decide what 23 you recall being done to study how might people use Kin 24 to do with it. 24 25 25 Q So yes, I guess? A Maybe -- I guess I'm not -- like, what were Page 521 Page 523 A Probably. Yes. the use cases we put in the white paper? That was not 1 1 2 2 meant to be an exhaustive list of all the ways that Kin Q Okay. Yeah. We see an analysis performed 3 on behalf of Kik by a company called Sylvain Labs, 3 would ever be used. 4 4 S-y-l-v-a-i-n. Q Let's go off the use cases. I'm trying to 5 5 A Uh-huh. see if Kik commissioned or did itself any studies about 6 Q Does that ring a bell for you? 6 using Kin. 7 7 A Yep. A I can't recall. 8 8 Q What was their -- what were they asked to Q Okay. Okay. Let's --9 9 MR. MITCHELL: Can I just ask a follow-up do in connection with Kin? 10 A I think they were asked to create a 10 on the identity process? Earlier, you talked about the proposal for what the brand of Kik could become to turn process that Kik used to sort of verify identify of the 11 11 around growth of the chat app. 12 12 people who registered for the sale. 13 Q Did it have anything to do with Kin? 13 Do you recall that? A Sylvain was also involved with Kin, so 14 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yep. 14 15 there was -- maybe you're referring to something else 15 MR. MITCHELL: Did Kik use -- sorry. Were 16 that I'm thinking of. 16 there -- were there some countries that Kik was not 17 Q Yeah. I see them doing like a wallet study 17 willing to sell Kin into? 18 and things like that, that seem relevant to Kin the 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 project. Does any of that ring a bell? 19 MR. MITCHELL: For example, what? 20 A Got it. No, that doesn't ring a bell. 20 THE WITNESS: China and Canada. MR. MITCHELL: All right. And did Kik rely 21 Q Is that something -- who -- was that 21 2.2 2.2 on that process, that client identification process, in something --23 A Probably Erin and Hayeon would work with 23 deciding whether or not to sell to people because it 2.4 Sylvain on -- like, they're the ones who worked with 24 thought they were in China or Canada? 25 Sylvain on everything. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

	Page 524		Page 526
1	MR. MITCHELL: As part of the registration	1	A As of today, I have heard of it, yes.
2	process, did Kik ask any questions to people who were	2	Q You don't know if you had heard of it prior
3	registering about what assets they held?	3	to the Kin sale?
4	THE WITNESS: I can't recall. Like, what	4	A I don't know if I heard of it before the
5	assets, like, what dollars, or what do you mean?	5	public sale or after the public sale. I don't recall.
6	MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. How much what	6	Q Okay. Do you know how you came to hear of
7	assets they held.	7	it?
8	THE WITNESS: Not to my recollection.	8	A I don't recall.
9	MR. MITCHELL: Did Kik take any steps to	9	Q Okay. Prior to the public sale, did you
10	verify, you know, how much money or how much assets the	10	believe that the sale of Kin tokens to U.S. purchasers
11	people who were registering for the public sale held in	11	without registering that sale with the SEC was legal?
12	deciding whether it was willing to sell to them?	12	A Sorry. Say that one more time.
13	THE WITNESS: Not to my recollection.	13	Q Sure. Kin let me let me break it up.
14	MR. LEASURE: Off the record.	14	That might make it easier.
15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.	15	A Okay.
16	The time is now 3:11 p.m.	16	Q Kin sold Kin tokens to the to the
17	(A brief recess was taken.)	17	public, right?
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	18	A Yes.
19	record. The time is 3:18 p.m.	19	Q In 2017. And that included the public in
20	BY MR. LEASURE:	20	the U.S., correct?
21	Q We're back on the record after a brief	21	A Correct.
22	break during which, Mr. Livingston, did you have any	22	Q And it did so without registering that
23	substantive conversations about this investigation with	23	offering and sale with the U.S. Securities and Exchange
24	SEC staff?	24	Commission?
25	A I did not.	25	A Correct.
	Page 525		Page 527
1	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth	1	Page 527  Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal?
1 2	_	1 2	-
	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth	1	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal?
2	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time	2	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes.
2	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay.	2 3	<ul><li>Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal?</li><li>A Yes.</li><li>Q Why did you believe that?</li></ul>
2 3 4	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Why did you believe that?</li> <li>A Based on advice from well, I had</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer.	2 3 4 5	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with
2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I	2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer.  MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here.  BY MR. LEASURE:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GIBBS: If you can answer the question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer.  MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here.  BY MR. LEASURE: Q We've seen references in documents to securities laws and even a case called Howey. A Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here. BY MR. LEASURE: Q We've seen references in documents to securities laws and even a case called Howey.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GIBBS: If you can answer the question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer.  MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here.  BY MR. LEASURE: Q We've seen references in documents to securities laws and even a case called Howey. A Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GIBBS: If you can answer the question without revealing the content of the communication,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here. BY MR. LEASURE: Q We've seen references in documents to securities laws and even a case called Howey. A Uh-huh. Q Are you have you prior to the public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GIBBS: If you can answer the question without revealing the content of the communication, then go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here. BY MR. LEASURE: Q We've seen references in documents to securities laws and even a case called Howey. A Uh-huh. Q Are you have you prior to the public sale of Kin tokens, had you heard of a case called	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GIBBS: If you can answer the question without revealing the content of the communication, then go ahead. THE WITNESS: So I can say I was having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer. MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here. BY MR. LEASURE: Q We've seen references in documents to securities laws and even a case called Howey. A Uh-huh. Q Are you have you prior to the public sale of Kin tokens, had you heard of a case called Howey or the Howey test?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GIBBS: If you can answer the question without revealing the content of the communication, then go ahead. THE WITNESS: So I can say I was having discussions with counsel? Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer.  MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here.  BY MR. LEASURE: Q We've seen references in documents to securities laws and even a case called Howey. A Uh-huh. Q Are you have you prior to the public sale of Kin tokens, had you heard of a case called Howey or the Howey test?  A I can't recall the first time I heard about the Howey test or a Howey case, but I certainly have heard of it today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GIBBS: If you can answer the question without revealing the content of the communication, then go ahead. THE WITNESS: So I can say I was having discussions with counsel? Okay. MR. LEASURE: And you're asserting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer.  MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here.  BY MR. LEASURE: Q We've seen references in documents to securities laws and even a case called Howey. A Uh-huh. Q Are you have you prior to the public sale of Kin tokens, had you heard of a case called Howey or the Howey test?  A I can't recall the first time I heard about the Howey test or a Howey case, but I certainly have heard of it today.  Q You certainly have heard of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GIBBS: If you can answer the question without revealing the content of the communication, then go ahead. THE WITNESS: So I can say I was having discussions with counsel? Okay. MR. LEASURE: And you're asserting privilege over that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer.  MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here.  BY MR. LEASURE: Q We've seen references in documents to securities laws and even a case called Howey. A Uh-huh. Q Are you have you prior to the public sale of Kin tokens, had you heard of a case called Howey or the Howey test?  A I can't recall the first time I heard about the Howey test or a Howey case, but I certainly have heard of it today.  Q You certainly have heard of it A Heard of it today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GIBBS: If you can answer the question without revealing the content of the communication, then go ahead. THE WITNESS: So I can say I was having discussions with counsel? Okay. MR. LEASURE: And you're asserting privilege over that? MR. GIBBS: Over the content of those discussions, yes. BY MR. LEASURE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. I want to reiterate for the nth time A Okay. Q that I don't want you to tell me about conversations you had with a lawyer.  MR. LEASURE: I'm going to as I said I would yesterday prior to going on the record, I'm going to alert counsel that this area of questioning might intrude upon or at least answers he might give might involve the attorney-client privilege. So pay attention. And we obviously welcome you asserting privilege here.  BY MR. LEASURE: Q We've seen references in documents to securities laws and even a case called Howey. A Uh-huh. Q Are you have you prior to the public sale of Kin tokens, had you heard of a case called Howey or the Howey test?  A I can't recall the first time I heard about the Howey test or a Howey case, but I certainly have heard of it today.  Q You certainly have heard of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. Did you believe that was legal? A Yes. Q Why did you believe that? A Based on advice from well, I had conversations with MR. GIBBS: That's fine. THE WITNESS: How do I say that? MR. GIBBS: It's THE WITNESS: What am I saying again when it's attorney-client privilege? MR. GIBBS: What you need to not do is disclose the content of a communication between yourself and the lawyers. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GIBBS: If you can answer the question without revealing the content of the communication, then go ahead. THE WITNESS: So I can say I was having discussions with counsel? Okay. MR. LEASURE: And you're asserting privilege over that? MR. GIBBS: Over the content of those discussions, yes.

Page 530 Page 528 1 A Cooley's. 1 sell to Canadians. 2 2 MR. MITCHELL: Why did you only have Q Anyone else? 3 3 A I can't recall who beyond Cooley's. discussions with Canada, with regulators in Canada? 4 THE WITNESS: We had registrations, I 4 Q And this was prior to the public sale? 5 5 believe, from 130 countries. So having conversations A This is prior, yes. 6 Q Okay. So would you agree that you were 6 with everybody would be a difficult thing to do. We 7 7 also had discussions with counsel on this topic. aware of potential securities laws issues relating to 8 8 MR. MITCHELL: You had discussions with Kin when planning the Kin token sale? 9 A Yes. 9 counsel on the topic of who to talk to? 10 10 Q And you consulted with counsel about those THE WITNESS: Correct. 11 issues? 11 MR. MITCHELL: Did you -- other than --12 sorry. Other than Canadian law and U.S. law, did Kik 12 A Yes. 13 13 analyze the Kin token sales to see whether the Q Okay. And did Kin decide not to sell Kin 14 14 tokens to people in certain countries -securities laws of other countries -- any other 15 A Uh-huh. 15 countries would apply to the sale? 16 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. I don't 16 Q -- because of concerns over those 17 countries' securities laws? 17 recall if we did or did not look at countries beyond 18 18 Canada and U.S. A I think we decided to not sell in 19 19 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Where were the largest countries, Canada and China, after having discussions 20 20 number of Kin purchasers located? with counsel. 21 THE WITNESS: I do not know. That data 21 Q Okay. Let me try this: Why did Kin not 22 sell -- why did Kik not sell Kin to Canadian 22 could be found. 23 23 BY MR. LEASURE: purchasers? 24 A I think I put it publicly on the internet 24 Q Can we -- let's drill down a bit into what 25 25 why. From my point of view -- we met with the OSC. happened with the Ontario Securities Commission, the Page 531 Page 529 From my point of view, they wouldn't say definitively OSC. 1 1 2 one way or the other what was or was not a security 2 A Sure. 3 when it came to cryptocurrencies. From my point of 3 Q First, it sounds like there was a physical 4 4 view, they still haven't said that publicly. meeting at the OSC. 5 5 And because of that, I was not willing to A Yep. 6 take the risk in Canada. And as my home country, I 6 Q Did you go to that? 7 felt that this was -- that's where we had discussions 7 8 8 because that's the country we were in. And I was Q Okay. How did -- what was the genesis of 9 disappointed with their lack of what I viewed as would 9 that meeting? How did the idea for the meeting come 10 be clear guidance. And so I said, you know what, we'll 10 up? Who approached who? 11 just exclude all of Canada. 11 A My recollection is that Peter, our CFO, 12 MR. MITCHELL: Were there any other 12 heard through sort of a friend of a friend sort of 13 countries that you thought didn't give clear guidance? 13 thing that the OSC, he was unsure of us -- the idea of 14 THE WITNESS: I thought no country gave 14 us selling tokens. And so my recollection is that he 15 15 clear guidance. recommended we go see them and talk to them. 16 16 MR. MITCHELL: Were there any other Q Do you have any more detail about what the 17 countries you excluded because they hadn't given clear 17 friend of the friend said to Peter about the OSC or its 18 18 guidance, other than Canada? concerns? 19 19 THE WITNESS: We only had conversations in A Just that they -- I seem to recall the word 20 Canada, to my knowledge, with securities people. So I 20 "unhappy" being used, but -- unhappy about us selling think back then, nobody had given clear guidance. But tokens. But I can't recall specifically. 21 21 with Canada, it was different because we had had a 22 22 Q And it was specifically about Kik selling 23 conversation. And based on that conversation, and then 23 tokens? 24 we had discussions with counsel. We also had 24 A Yes. 25 discussions with counsel, we ultimately decided not to 25 Q Why?

Page 532 Page 534 A I can't -- I don't know. 1 1 meeting? 2 Q Okay. 2 A My recollection is, no, it wasn't purely a 3 A It was just, "Hey, a friend of a friend who 3 result of that meeting. It was part of ongoing discussions with them, but I can't say for sure. 4 4 knows the OSC," I seem to recall the word used, 5 5 Q With "them," being OSC? "unhappy," but I can't recall specifically, "We should 6 go see them." 6 A Yes. 7 7 Q And you did? Q So is it possible that your counsel had 8 further interactions with OSC on the topic of Kin? 8 A And we did. 9 Q Who went from your side? 9 A Yes. 10 10 A I believe myself and Peter. We might have Q Okay. Let me --11 had a lawyer with us, but I cannot recall. 11 A It's definitely possible, yeah. 12 Q Do you know if they did? 12 Q Do you know -- you don't remember if you 13 13 A I seem to recall that they did, yes. did or didn't bring a lawyer with you? 14 A It seems like we would have, but I don't 14 Q Okay. And what can you tell me about how it went? 15 recall if we did or did not. 15 16 16 Q Tell me about the meeting itself then. MR. GIBBS: You're asking about the later 17 What happened? 17 interactions just between the lawyer and the OSC? MR. LEASURE: Yes. 18 18 A It was a while ago. I can't recall 19 19 specifically. What I seem to understand -- what I MR. GIBBS: Okay. Don't relay the content 20 seemed to recall is that I explained the vision for 20 of any communication from the lawyers to you about 21 21 those interactions. We're asserting privilege over the Kin, why we were doing it, as I have done today. I 22 seem to recall explaining sort of the fundamentals of 22 communication by the lawyer to the client about the 23 crypto economics and how all this works and why it 23 lawyer's interactions with the regulators. 24 24 THE WITNESS: I only heard about could be -- actually be a solution to the problems we 25 were facing -- we and most consumer companies were 25 interactions with the OSC, from my recollection, since Page 533 Page 535 facing. 1 then through the lawyer. 1 2 I seem to recall them not saying one way or 2 MR. GIBBS: So I'll instruct you not to 3 the other whether they would be supportive or not 3 4 4 supportive of us doing that. MR. LEASURE: Okay. 5 5 Q What did they say? 6 A I can't recall. I seem to recall them 6 BY MR. LEASURE: 7 largely just being in listing mode. 7 Q I'm going to show you a document previously 8 8 Q Was there a -- sometimes a meeting ends marked Exhibit 186. This is a multi-page document. 9 9 with a next steps or what will happen after that. It's a letter with a large attachment. I'm going to 10 A Uh-huh. 10 just be pointing you to the letter, if this helps you. Q Did the meeting end with next steps in mind 11 11 A Okay. 12 12 or something else expected to happen? Q Exhibit 186 is a letter with attachments A I don't recall having any clear next steps 13 13 dated November 2nd, 2017. It appears to be from the 14 14 Blakes law firm to the OSC. It has the Bates stamp SEC at the end of the meeting. 15 OSCE 5. 15 Q So at that point, roughly, can you --16 roughly, can you tell me when that meeting was? 16 A Uh-huh. 17 A I cannot. 17 Q Have you seen this letter before? 18 Q It was before the public sale, I take it? 18 A I saw it last week. 19 A Yes. 19 Q Okay. You didn't see it at the time, 20 20 November 2nd? O Okay. What happened after that? 21 21 A I don't know specifically. I just know it A Not that I recalled at least as of last 22 got to the point where we decided, based on where -- we 2.2 week. 23 had conversations with counsel, and then later decided, 23 Q Sure. So you don't remember whether or not 24 you know what, let's just exclude Canada. 24 you reviewed it in advance to it being sent? 25 25 Q And was that just purely the result of that A No.

Page 536 Page 538 O Who is Blakes? 1 A Correct. 2 A I believe they're a law firm in Canada. 2 Q And I'll just represent to you it appears 3 3 Q Okay. And -- well, were they Kik's law that this letter from your lawyers to the OSC says the 4 4 same thing, that it wasn't clear. firm? 5 A I believe so, yes. 5 A Yep. 6 Q And did they represent Kik in connection 6 Q Okay. And then, just going on, it says, 7 7 with the Kin offering? "In fact, the first time that the OSC staff 8 8 A I believe so, yes. definitively communicated the position that the ITD 9 Q It sounds like they weren't your primary. 9 constituted an offering of securities was in the 10 10 It sounds like that was Cooley. telephone call to me from Ms. Chaukos," C-h-a-u-k-o-s, 11 A Correct. 11 "on September 5, shortly before the scheduled launch 12 12 Q Okay. Got it. Let me just read a few 13 lines and see if it jogs any recollection, if there's 13 Did you see where I read that? 14 anything you can say. 14 A No. Sorry. Where? 15 A Uh-huh. 15 Second-to-last paragraph. 16 16 On the first page? Q After the first paragraph, the letter 17 reads, "We acknowledge your expression of OSC staff's 17 Correct. 18 current position that the ITD constituted an offering 18 A Okay. Okay. 19 19 Q Okay. What can you tell me about the 2.0 And do you see that the ITD is a defined 20 communication referred to here on September 5? 21 term relating to the sale of Kin? 21 A I don't recall hearing about this 22 A Yep. 22 communication 23 Q Okay. When did you come to -- well, first, 23 Q So prior to the token distribution event, 24 did you ever come to understand yourself the OSC's 24 if I can call it that, did you ever hear that OSC staff 25 position on whether Kin sales were sales of securities? 25 had definitively communicated a position that the sale Page 539 Page 537 1 A I did not recall having definitive guidance constituted an offering of securities? 1 2 any time before the token sale that they were saying 2 A Not that I recall. 3 for sure that they believed this was an offering of 3 Q Did anyone -- well, you didn't hear that. 4 securities. 4 Do you know if anyone at Kik knew that? 5 5 Q Okay. Right. The letter continues, "We A I -- how could I know that? I don't recall 6 continue to disagree with that characterization, 6 hearing that from anybody. 7 although, that is not the focus of this response. I 7 Q Okay. You don't recall hearing that from 8 8 do, however, wish to correct the record where you anybody. Had you known that, do you think your 9 9 indicated that OSC staff's position had been approach to the public sale would have changed? 10 communicated to me in the meeting on August 14th and 10 A I don't think so. 11 Q You would have proceeded with not selling discussions on August 21st. Those statements are not 11 12 entirely accurate nor fair to myself or Kik." 12 in Canada or China, but selling in other countries? 13 Now, the letter continues, but I just want 13 14 to look at those dates. 14 Q Okay. Are you aware of any distinctions 15 A Uh-huh. 15 between how Canadian law and U.S. law treats the 16 Q Were those meetings that are referred to 16 definition of securities? 17 here meetings that you were -- that you were describing 17 A I'm not aware. 18 Q Okay. So if something is a security under earlier, that you were present at? 18 19 A I was present at one meeting with the OSC Canadian law, are you aware of any reason why it 19 20 in person. I'm not sure which of these two or if 2.0 wouldn't be a security under U.S. law? 21 either of these two were that meeting. 21 A I'm not aware of any reason why it would or 22 Q Okay. And your recollection is you didn't 22 would not be. 23 leave that meeting with a clear impression from the OSC 23 Q This is just something you don't know 24 about whether they thought Kin sales were sales of 24 about? 25 securities, right? 25 A Right.

Page 540 Page 542 1 Q Okay. Kik didn't register the offering and 1 MR. LEASURE: Great. 2 2 sale with Kin with the U.S. SEC prior to the public MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Mr. Livingston, the 3 3 sale, correct? Blakes firm, that's Kik's law firm, correct? 4 THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes. 4 A Correct. 5 5 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. If you look at Q Did Kik reach out to the SEC prior to the 6 public sale? 6 the letter, there's an appendix that begins on SEC 7 7 OSCE, and 13 is the last number. A Not to my knowledge. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 Q Why not? 9 MR. GIBBS: Same privileged instruction. 9 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: And this appears to be 10 10 information that the Blakes firm provided to the OSC on If you can answer the question without 11 revealing the content of attorney-client 11 Kik's behalf. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 communications, do. If not, don't. 13 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Is that -- that's how 13 THE WITNESS: I cannot. 14 14 BY MR. LEASURE: you read this as well? 15 Q I'm sorry. I just want to clear up an 15 THE WITNESS: I think what this is, is of 16 all the people that had registered so far -- I'm not 16 ambiguity. Is --17 17 A Why we did not approach the SEC, I feel sure if this was pre-token sale or post-token sale. 18 18 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Well, the letter is like I cannot reveal. 19 dated November 2nd, 2017, correct? 19 Q You can't answer the question without 20 revealing privileged communications? 2.0 THE WITNESS: Correct. 21 21 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. And so whether A Correct. 22 MR. LEASURE: And you're instructing him 22 this data is before the token sale or after the token 23 23 sale, you'll agree with me, will you not, that the not to answer on that basis? 24 24 lion's share of the participants in the Kin ITD are in MR. GIBBS: I am indeed. 25 2.5 MR. LEASURE: Great. Just to clarify the the United States? Page 541 Page 543 THE WITNESS: I don't know about the term 1 1 record. 2 MR. GIBBS: Yeah. 2 "lion's share." 3 BY MR. LEASURE: 3 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Fair enough. 4 4 Q Okay. I'm sorry. Just because I don't Let's use numbers. 3,500 of them. 5 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. fully remember if we covered the waterfront here. MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Is that right? 6 There was discussion about whether or not 6 7 to reach out to the U.S. SEC in advance of the Kin 7 THE WITNESS: That's what it says. MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Is that consistent with 8 8 sale? 9 9 your understanding? MR. GIBBS: You can go ahead and answer 10 that. I --10 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if this is THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 registration or the people who actually ended up 11 MR. GIBBS: Because it's the subject of the 12 purchasing. But if it -- let's assume for a second it 12 13 is the people that actually ended up purchasing, what I 13 communication. 14 14 would want to know is what the total count is and what THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 15 BY MR. LEASURE: the participation amount is, to answer your question. 16 Q And were to ask you the contents of that, 16 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Well, it says the total 17 that would -- well, can you tell me the contents of 17 participation amount is \$16 million, U.S. dollars. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. But we'd have to add up that communication? 18 19 19 that entire column to figure out what percentage --A Leannot. 20 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: I understand. 2.0 Q Because of attorney-client privilege? 21 THE WITNESS: -- the United States was. 21 A I cannot because of attorney-client 22 MR. MITCHELL: Roughly how much -- roughly 22 privilege. 23 23 how many -- roughly how much did all of the people in MR. LEASURE: And you're instructing him 2.4 not to provide that information? 2.4 the public sale pay to Kik for Kin tokens? THE WITNESS: I believe it was \$48 million. 25 MR. GIBBS: I am indeed. 25

	Page 544	Page 546
1	MR. MITCHELL: Okay.	1 A No.
2	THE WITNESS: So it looks like roughly a	2 Q But it's possible that Peter, Eileen, if
3	third.	3 she was there, maybe Nancy, somebody on working for
4	(Simultaneous speakers.)	4 Kik may have?
5	MR. MITCHELL: And roughly how many people	5 A Yes.
6	purchased Kin tokens in the public sale?	6 Q Okay. And you would expect if someone did,
7	THE WITNESS: 10,000.	7 it might be one of those people?
8	MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So	8 A Yes.
9	THE WITNESS: Roughly a third.	9 Q Okay. Thanks. I'll take it back.
10	MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. That's what I'm	10 A No problem.
11	getting at. And the next highest country by	11 Q In the I want to ask a few sort of basic
12	participant is the United Kingdom, which only has 758?	structural questions about the private and public sale.
13	THE WITNESS: Correct.	13 A Sure.
14	MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. That's all I	14 Q Kik raised dollars from the private sale,
15	have.	15 right?
16	BY MR. LEASURE:	16 A Correct.
17	Q I'll take that back from you. Thank you.	17 Q Where did it put those dollars?
18	MR. MITCHELL: Just one more question.	18 A Where did it put those dollars? Into a
19	Blakes sent Exhibit 186 to the OSC for Kik,	19 bank account?
20	on Kik's behalf?	20 Q Do you know where?
21	THE WITNESS: Sent this?	21 A I do not recall where.
22	MR. MITCHELL: The letter. The letter	22 Q That's fine. And were they were all of
23	that's Exhibit 186.	23 the dollars put into one bank account?
24	THE WITNESS: I would assume so, but I'm	24 A I don't know.
25	not sure.	25 Q Were they do you know if a separate
		C
	Page 545	Page 547
1	MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Well, the first	1 account was if the proceeds were segregated into
2	sentence of the letter is, "I write on Kik's behalf,"	2 different accounts by each unique purchaser?
3	correct?	3 A I do not know.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.	4 Q Okay. You don't know either way?
5	MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay.	5 A No, not that I recall.
6	THE WITNESS: I don't recall seeing this	6 Q Would you expect them to be segregated by
7	letter.	7 Purchaser 1, Purchaser 2, Purchaser 3?
8	BY MR. LEASURE:	8 A No.
9	Q At this time, did was Blakes was	9 Q Would you expect them to be pooled
10	Kik's law firm, right?	10 together?
11	A Right.	11 A I would expect them to be put into a bank
12	Q Did they have a point person within Kik who	12 account. I'm not sure if that would be separate bank
13	dealt with Blakes?	13 accounts or one bank account. I'm not sure.
14	A I'm not sure. I'm not sure if they would,	14 Q You don't know either way?
15	for example, work with Peter. It might have been, or	15 A Right.
16	they might have worked with Nancy who worked with	Q Who owned who controlled those that
17	Peter. I'm not sure.	bank account or bank accounts after the private sale?
18	Q Was I can't remember when Eileen came on	18 A Kik Interactive, Inc., the company.
19	board for Kik. Had she came on board at this point?	19 Q Okay. Sure. So let's say a private sale
20	A I can't remember when exactly she came on	purchaser and let me back up a bit. And Kik, I
21	board.	21 think you've testified earlier, used that money in part
22	Q Okay.	for the Kin project and part to fund Kik into Kik's
23	A But I think it was before November 2nd.	23 messenger app business; is that right?
24	Q Okay. So it sounds like you didn't you	24 A Yes.
25	don't recall reviewing this letter, right?	Q So Kik directed how that money would be

Page 548 Page 550 1 spent? 1 knowledge. I just -- please, if you're going to keep 2 A Correct. 2 us here, focus on things that you actually need to ask 3 Q Okay. Let's say I'm a private sale 3 him as opposed to things you already know, please. 4 4 BY MR. LEASURE: purchaser and I wanted to direct how my money -- the 5 portion of that -- of my contribution that's in that 5 Q Who controlled the Kik -- the public sale 6 bank account would be directed or spent. 6 proceeds after the public sale? 7 7 Could I do so? A The revenue from the public sale, who 8 8 A You could try to influence it, I guess, but controlled that revenue? 9 not -- like, at the end of the day, the final decision 9 Q Yeah. 10 10 was ours. Yes. A Kik. 11 Q Sure. I could influence it by calling you 11 Q Okay. So if I'm a Kin purchaser and I 12 up and saying, "Hey, Ted, I'd like you to do this"? 12 said, "Hey, I'd like you to spend this on XYZ," that 13 A Yeah. "Have you thought about," or 13 wasn't my right to direct how that money would be 14 whatever, yeah. 14 spent? 15 Q Right. But I couldn't directly control the 15 A Correct. 16 16 money in that account? Q And would you say Kik pooled the proceeds 17 A Correct. 17 from the public sale? 18 18 Q Okay. Same questions for the public sale. A I would say best of my knowledge, the way 19 19 They paid Ether, right? the smart contract worked, is you send Ether to the 20 A They paid Ether to buy Kin, correct. 20 smart contract. The smart contract sent Kin to you. 21 21 Q Better way of putting it. Thank you. And then that Ether came to us. I'm not sure if that 22 And Kik exchanged the majority of that 22 was in one wallet or many wallets, but certainly came 2.3 Ether as -- into dollars at some point? 23 under our control, yes. 24 A We sold Ether for dollars over time, yes. 24 Q Got it. Kin created -- sorry. 25 I believe we still have some of that Ether. 25 As part of the Kin project, Kik created 10 Page 549 Page 551 Q Okay. So it has both -- the proceeds of 1 1 trillion Kin tokens; is that right? 2 that are both some Ether, some dollars? 2 3 3 Okay. Were each of them equal? 4 4 Q And did -- has it pooled that -- let's say A Yes. 5 the Ether, is it held in one wallet? 5 Q Did any -- none of them had, like, special 6 A I'm not sure. 6 or additional value? Q Is it held in separate wallets, segregated? 7 A No. 7 A I don't know how it's held. 8 8 Q If the value of one of them went up in the 9 9 Q Okay. Is it held in -- do you know if market, would you expect the value of all the others to there is 10,000 wallets for each Kin purchaser's 10 10 go up by the same amount? A What is the value? The value is --11 contribution? 11 A That feels like it would be impractical, 12 12 Q Let's say --13 but not impossible. I don't know. 13 A I'm just going to answer. Like, there's 14 14 Q You don't know? no, like, magical thing that makes one worth the same 15 A We could find out. Yeah. 15 as all the others at any point. It's -- you know, all 16 Q It would be more practical to put it in one 16 Kin are created equal. And so if somebody says, "I 17 wallet, would you agree with that? 17 want to buy Kin and I'm willing to pay this price," 18 18 A I don't know. Yeah, I guess so. Sure. then, you know, maybe somebody says, "Okay. I'll sell 19 Q Okay. 19 you my Kin for that," but then it's gone, you know. 20 MR. GIBBS: Jeff, I don't mean to 20 O Right. 21 interrupt, but can I just make a request, please? 21 So does that make the other Kin worth that 2.2 We're really late in the second day. People have 22 much? No, not necessarily. 23 flights to make. And you've just spent ten minutes 23 Q I understand your point. All Kin were --24 asking him a bunch of questions about facts you already 24 A There's no magical mechanism. 25 know that are undisputed and where he has no unique 25 Q All Kin were created equal.

Page 552 Page 554 1 A Yes. 1 document? 2 Q If I were to offer to pay more for Kin held 2 A Not immediately. I'm looking at the title. 3 3 by Kik than Kin held by somebody else, that would be Based on the title on the first page, I don't recognize 4 4 irrational? 5 A But possible. 5 Q Okay. 6 Q It's possible. 6 A I see that there are Bates numbers, so that 7 7 A Right. gives me a hint of what it might be, but I don't 8 8 Q But a rational person would treat all Kin recognize it. 9 as the same value? 9 Q Okay. Did you review any document like 10 A A rational person would say, "Hey, I want 10 this at any point? 11 to buy Kin. Who wants to sell Kin," and then buy it 11 A It's possible, but I don't recall reviewing 12 from the person who is offering it for the lowest 12 this document when I look at this right now. 13 13 Q Okay. Okay. I'll take it back. price. 14 Q Okay. And some Kin was held by the public 14 Is Kin tradable for other tokens? 15 after the public sale? 15 16 A Yes. 16 Q And we're -- if I wanted to do that, what 17 Q And some by the Foundation? 17 would I do? 18 18 A You have different options. You could find A Yep. 19 somebody who -- on the street, you know. 19 Q And some by Kik, right? 20 A Correct. 20 Q Sure. 21 21 A "Hey, I have Kin. You have Bitcoin. Do And those were all equal? 22 A All the Kin that they held were equal. 22 you want to trade?" That's possible. There's also 23 Correct. 23 exchanges that help make that process more efficient 24 Q All three of those --24 for people who want to do that. I'm not exactly sure 25 A Were technologically equal, yes. 25 which exchanges you can do that with Kin today. Page 553 Page 555 Q Okay. And you would have -- you have no 1 Q Okay. Generally in the crypto space, there 1 2 reason to think they'd be of different value just 2 are exchanges that allow people to exchange a 3 because they were held by Kik versus the public? 3 cryptocurrency for another? 4 A No. 4 A Correct. 5 5 Q Or a cryptocurrency for, if I can use the Q Okay. 6 MR. MITCHELL: Would a rise and demand 6 term, fiat currency, like a dollar? 7 affect the value of Kik's Kin differently than it would 7 8 affect the value of the public's Kin? 8 Q Okay. You don't know which exchanges Kin 9 THE WITNESS: No, not logically. It's 9 is listed on? 10 possible, but no, not logical. 10 A I know it was on Bancor, for example. But BY MR. LEASURE: outside of that, I don't know specifically. I don't 11 11 12 Q I'm going to introduce you very quickly a 12 recall. 13 document, Exhibit 206. 13 Q I apologize. B-a-n --14 14 A Bancor. B-a-n-c-o-r. (SEC Exhibit No. 206 was marked for 15 identification.) 15 Q Thank you. We talked earlier, I think you 16 BY MR. LEASURE: 16 testified, correct me if I'm wrong, that it's important 17 Q Take a look at it. I'm going to ask you a 17 for the Kin project to allow people to have liquidity 18 very short series of questions. The first one of which 18 in Kin for other instruments? 19 is: Do you recognize this document? 19 A Correct. 20 A I do not, not immediately. 20 Q Okay. And getting listed on exchanges would help with that liquidity? 21 Q Okay. 21 2.2 A I'm not actually sure what this is, RFA. 2.2 A Correct. 23 I'm not even sure what "RFA" stands for. Do you want 23 Q Okay. And do you hope that Kin will be 24 me to read it, or what's your --24 listed on exchanges? 25 Q Eyeballing it, you don't recognize this 25 A At some point, for Kin to achieve the

Page 556 Page 558 1 vision it wants to achieve, it would accelerate its 1 Do you see that? 2 ability to do that by being listed on more exchanges 2 A I do. 3 3 than it is today. Q And the Kin Foundation Twitter handle 4 4 responds, "We have been given indication from multiple Q Okay. And people who hold Kin -- we talked 5 about this earlier. People who hold Kin have been 5 exchanges that they plan to list the token. No 6 asking when will Kik be listed -- when will Kin be 6 specifics available yet, though." 7 7 listed on exchanges? A Yep. 8 8 A Correct. Q Let me pause there. Do you know who wrote 9 9 that Tweet from the Kin Foundation? Q Okay. I'm going to show you a document I'm 10 10 marking Exhibit 207. A I do not. 11 (SEC Exhibit No. 207 was marked for 11 Q Okay. You suspect it might be -- is it 12 identification.) 12 fair to say it might be someone -- an employee in BY MR. LEASURE: 13 13 Israel who managed that account? 14 Q Take a look at Exhibit 207 and let me know 14 A Might have been somebody in Israel. Might 15 when you're ready. This one is shorter. I'll 15 have been somebody in the U.S., in the New York office. 16 Could have been actually somebody in Waterloo. There's 16 represent to you this document, Exhibit 207, does not 17 have a Bates stamp. I'll represent to you this is a 17 somebody on the community team today who's in Waterloo. 18 18 Tweet --So it's hard for me to say who exactly. 19 19 A Yep. But a Kik employee would have wrote this. 20 Q -- that we at the SEC saw on Twitter and 20 Q Yeah. But it wasn't you? 21 21 printed out. It looks like in August of 2018. The A No, not to my recollection. 22 Tweet appear -- just to recognize it, the Tweets here 22 Q Fair enough. When they -- whoever it is, 23 appear to be dated September 17 and September 18 of 23 when the Kin Foundation Twitter handle says they have 24 24 been -- that, "We have been given indication from 25 25 A Uh-huh. multiple exchanges that they plan to list the token," Page 557 Page 559 1 Q Do you see that at this point? 1 let me pause there. 2 2 A Yep. Do you know what exchanges are being 3 O And the Twitter account is -- one of the 3 referred to? 4 4 A I do not. Twitter accounts here is Kin Foundation. 5 5 Do you see that? Q Had Kik gotten -- this is prior to the 6 A I do. 6 public -- the completion of the public sale, correct? 7 7 Q Let me -- let me just ask a few basic A I can't recall when the public sale 8 completed, but I'll take -- if you're saying it did, 8 things. Have you seen this Tweet before? 9 9 A I don't recall seeing this Tweet before. then yes. 10 Q Okay. Kin Foundation had a Twitter account 10 Q Right. That's fine. And it's around the 11 in September of 2017? 11 time of the public sale, in any event, right, September 12 12 A Yes. of 2017? 13 13 A Yes. Q How -- who ran that? 14 14 A I'm not exactly sure, but I think it was a O That's fair? 15 team in Israel if I had to guess. 15 A That's fair. 16 Q Okay. That they would post things or reply 16 Q Okay. Had Kik gotten indication or been in 17 to Tweets to them on that Twitter handle? 17 communications with exchanges at that point? 18 18 A Yes. A I can't recall what conversations exactly 19 19 had happened at that point, but I -- you know, when a Q Okay. 20 MR. MITCHELL: Were those Kik employees? 20 new cryptocurrency gets created, they would go on to THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 21 exchanges. So I have no reason to believe that there BY MR. LEASURE: 2.2 22 wouldn't be multiple exchanges who had told us -- had 23 23 indicated to us that they plan to list Kin as a token Q Okay. And just to read out what we're 24 seeing here, a Twitter user says, "Hey," emoticon, "any 24 on their exchange. 25 news on the exchanges Kin will be available on?" 25 Q You don't know what exchanges they were or

Page 560 Page 562 1 if that communication happened? 1 a bell. 2 A No. 2 A Sure. 3 3 Q Okay. I'll take that back. Has Kik made Q Kraken, K-r-a-k-e-n? 4 efforts to get Kin listed on exchanges? 4 A I don't remember a specific conversation, 5 A Yes. 5 but it wouldn't surprise me. 6 Q Tell me about that. 6 Q Binance. 7 7 A For Kin to work as a business model for A Yep. 8 8 developers, they would need to be able to sell Kin in There has been --9 some cases on exchanges for dollars to pay for their 9 A We had conversations with them. Again, I 10 wasn't involved in many of these conversations. I'm expenses. That's what made it a fundamentally new 10 11 business model. And so as part of that, we -- you 11 not sure if I was -- I did meet someone from an 12 know, there's sort of better exchanges and worse 12 exchange at ConsenSys this year in 2018, but I recall 13 exchanges, more popular exchanges and less popular 13 who that was. 14 exchanges. 14 Q Okay. Coinrail? I think they might be a 15 And so I think this was a period where what 15 Korean exchange. 16 exactly -- how cryptocurrencies and exchanges would 16 A That one doesn't ring a bell. 17 work together was rapidly changing. Whereas, you know, 17 Q Okay. What have you been involved in 18 very shortly before this, it seemed like, from our 18 vourself? 19 point of view, from my point of view, exchanges would 19 A What have I been involved with when it 20 just list tokens on their own. 20 comes to exchanges? 21 Whereas today, you know, exchanges won't 21 Q Yes, please. 22 list tokens without sort of direct contact and 22 A I've been getting updates from different 23 discussion with the projects themselves. 23 members of the team who have been working with 24 Q And so you expected that prior -- prior to 24 exchanges. I've had a couple of conversations with 25 exchanges or people that work at exchanges themselves. the public sale, your expectation was exchanges would 25 Page 561 Page 563 1 just start listing and allowing trading in Kin? 1 Q In this post -- I take it it's a somewhat 2 2 new world for exchanges than it was before the public 3 And that's changed since the public sale? 3 sale. I think that's what you testified to, that they 4 A For the entire industry, yes. 4 want contact from the project. 5 5 A Correct. Q Oh, right. Not just for Kin? 6 6 Q What do they want? 7 7 A I think they want many different things. Q And they expect contact from, you said, the 8 8 For example, they want to see the utility. They project itself? 9 A Yes. 9 also -- in certain cases, I seem to recall they want 10 And that would be, like, the Kin project? 10 legal opinions as well. Any project that. The people whose 11 11 Q That the tokens aren't securities? 12 brainchild this was. 12 A Yes. Q Okay. And in this -- in the Kin case, that 13 13 Q Has Kik provided them that? 14 14 A I'm not sure what exactly we have provided would be you or people at Kik? 15 A Correct. 15 to exchanges. But I seem to recall that there was some 16 Q Got it. And so Kik has reached out to 16 technical reason, nothing to do with crypto or 17 exchanges as part of that? 17 securities, but just to do with the definition of a 18 A Correct. 18 legal opinion of why it was difficult to give a legal 19 O Which ones? 19 opinion. 20 A I know we reached out to Bittrex. We've 20 Q Can you amplify that? had conversations with them. We've had conversations 21 21 What do you know about that? 22 with Coinbase. Beyond that, no names immediately come 2.2 MR. GIBBS: I want to caution you again. 23 to mind. But I know we've had conversations with many. 23 If you can answer the question without revealing the 2.4 Q Okay. Let me just give you a few other 24 content of communications with counsel, by all means, 25 names to see if any -- if contact with them might ring 25 do. Otherwise, don't.

	Page 564		Page 566
1	THE WITNESS: Yes. I had conversations.	1	Other than well, let me ask just one
2	My understanding of this would come from conversations	2	followup question. Other than the video to Bittrex,
3	with Eileen, who was our internal counsel.	3	are you aware of any other sort of something similar
4	BY MR. LEASURE:	4	and evidence of utility that Kik has provided to an
5	Q Understood. Again, that caution applies.	5	exchange?
6	Do you know what an opinion letter from a law firm is?	6	THE WITNESS: Nothing comes to mind.
7	A I do not.	7	MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: What about evidence of
8	Q Okay. Has Kik given to your knowledge,	8	utility that Kik has provided to anyone any other
9	has Kik given an opinion letter to an exchange?	9	sort of entity or thing regarding the Kin token?
10	A Not to my knowledge.	10	THE WITNESS: Nothing comes to mind.
11	Q Have exchanges asked for one?	11	MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Thank you.
12	A I can't say for sure, but I feel like they	12	BY MR. LEASURE:
13	have asked for it.	13	Q Bittrex. You have did you have contact
14	Q Okay. And do you know why Kik hasn't given	14	with them?
15	an opinion letter to an exchange?	15	A I did speak to the CEO of Bittrex.
16	MR. GIBBS: Same instruction.	16	Q Who is that?
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's attorney-client	17	A I can't remember his name.
18	privilege.	18	Q Let me give you I see an e-mail between
19	MR. LEASURE: And you're instructing him	19	you guys in September of 2017, just to be just to
20	not to answer that?	20	move this along.
21	MR. GIBBS: I am.	21	A Sure.
22	MR. LEASURE: Okay.	22	Q A person named Bill Shihara, S-h-i
23	MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: While you're looking	23	A That's the one, yeah.
24	for documents, I just want to make sure I understood	24	Q h-a-r-a. I see an e-mail setting up a
25	your testimony. Did you say that the exchanges were	25	discussion in September of 2017.
23	your estimony. But you say that the exchanges were	23	discussion in September of 2017.
	Page 565		Page 567
1	also looking for and I'm not trying to misquote you.	1	A Yep.
2	I'm just trying to bring you back for evidence of	2	Q Can you give me any color about that
3	utility or something like that, something to effect of	3	discussion, what happened?
4	that?	1 ,	discussion, what implement
-		4	A I can't recall specifically, but my best
5	THE WITNESS: Yes. I think exchanges	5	
	THE WITNESS: Yes. I think exchanges wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and		A I can't recall specifically, but my best
5	_	5	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.
5 6	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and	5	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?
5 6 7	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.	5 6 7	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.
5 6 7 8	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?	5 6 7 8	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?
5 6 7 8 9	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.	5 6 7 8 9	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened
5 6 7 8 9	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who	5 6 7 8 9	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.
5 6 7 8 9 10	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.	5 6 7 8 9 10	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?  A I don't know.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?  A I don't know.  Q Did they and did they ask for a legal
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I think we took a video of it	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?  A I don't know.  Q Did they and did they ask for a legal opinion, anything like that?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I think we took a video of it and sent it to them.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?  A I don't know.  Q Did they and did they ask for a legal opinion, anything like that?  A I can't recall.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I think we took a video of it and sent it to them.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So there's a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?  A I don't know.  Q Did they and did they ask for a legal opinion, anything like that?  A I can't recall.  Q Coinbase  A Yeah.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I think we took a video of it and sent it to them.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So there's a video that you sent to Bittrex?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?  A I don't know.  Q Did they and did they ask for a legal opinion, anything like that?  A I can't recall.  Q Coinbase  A Yeah.  Q Has there been recent I'll represent to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I think we took a video of it and sent it to them.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So there's a video that you sent to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, but I'm not 100 percent sure.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?  A I don't know.  Q Did they and did they ask for a legal opinion, anything like that?  A I can't recall.  Q Coinbase  A Yeah.  Q Has there been recent I'll represent to you we got I think from a letter from counsel, we
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I think we took a video of it and sent it to them.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So there's a video that you sent to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, but I'm not 100 percent sure.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Has that video	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react? A They thought it was awesome. Q That's great. And what happened next? A From what I can tell, nothing happened next. Q And why is that? A I don't know. Q Did they and did they ask for a legal opinion, anything like that? A I can't recall. Q Coinbase A Yeah. Q Has there been recent I'll represent to you we got I think from a letter from counsel, we heard that there was some recent-ish, maybe in the last
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I think we took a video of it and sent it to them.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So there's a video that you sent to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, but I'm not 100 percent sure.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Has that video been provided, Counsel?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?  A I don't know.  Q Did they and did they ask for a legal opinion, anything like that?  A I can't recall.  Q Coinbase  A Yeah.  Q Has there been recent I'll represent to you we got I think from a letter from counsel, we heard that there was some recent-ish, maybe in the last couple months, interactions with Coinbase.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I think we took a video of it and sent it to them.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So there's a video that you sent to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, but I'm not 100 percent sure.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Has that video been provided, Counsel?  MR. MITCHELL: Yes, I think so.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?  A I don't know.  Q Did they and did they ask for a legal opinion, anything like that?  A I can't recall.  Q Coinbase  A Yeah.  Q Has there been recent I'll represent to you we got I think from a letter from counsel, we heard that there was some recent-ish, maybe in the last couple months, interactions with Coinbase.  Does that ring a bell for you?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I think we took a video of it and sent it to them.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So there's a video that you sent to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, but I'm not 100 percent sure.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Has that video been provided, Counsel?  MR. MITCHELL: Yes, I think so.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: It has?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react? A They thought it was awesome. Q That's great. And what happened next? A From what I can tell, nothing happened next. Q And why is that? A I don't know. Q Did they and did they ask for a legal opinion, anything like that? A I can't recall. Q Coinbase A Yeah. Q Has there been recent I'll represent to you we got I think from a letter from counsel, we heard that there was some recent-ish, maybe in the last couple months, interactions with Coinbase. Does that ring a bell for you? A It does.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wanted to see that you could buy a cryptocurrency and use it, and we demonstrated that to exchanges.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: To which ones?  THE WITNESS: I can't recall specifically.  I think Bittrex, we showed that to. I can't recall who else.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Do you remember how you did that, how you demonstrated that to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I think we took a video of it and sent it to them.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. So there's a video that you sent to Bittrex?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, but I'm not 100 percent sure.  MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Has that video been provided, Counsel?  MR. MITCHELL: Yes, I think so.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I can't recall specifically, but my best guess is I explained the vision of Kin to him.  Q And how did they react?  A They thought it was awesome.  Q That's great. And what happened next?  A From what I can tell, nothing happened next.  Q And why is that?  A I don't know.  Q Did they and did they ask for a legal opinion, anything like that?  A I can't recall.  Q Coinbase  A Yeah.  Q Has there been recent I'll represent to you we got I think from a letter from counsel, we heard that there was some recent-ish, maybe in the last couple months, interactions with Coinbase.  Does that ring a bell for you?

	Page 568		Page 570
1	I do know that their CTO reached out somewhat recently,	1	Q Okay. Do you know what exchanges?
2	I would say, in the last two weeks about Kin.	2	A I do not. A lot of them.
3	O Okay. Let's back up. What is Coinbase?	3	Q A lot of them. Has he had success so far?
4	A Coinbase is a place to buy, sell, and	4	A I'm not sure.
5	manage cryptocurrencies, is how I'd describe it.	5	Q Well, I mean, has a major exchange listed
6	Q So it's an exchange, like the other ones	6	Kin?
7	we've been describing?	7	A Not yet.
8	A Yep.	8	Q And I take it his efforts are to get larger
9	Q Okay. And there was outreach from Coinbase	9	exchanges to list Kin?
10	to Kik?	10	A Correct.
11	A Yes.	11	Q Okay. So other than Kik and its role and
12	Q What do you know about that outreach?	12	the Kin Foundation, are you aware of anyone else
13	What were they saying?	13	reaching out to exchanges to get Kin listed?
14	A That they were looking at listing Kin and	14	A I am not.
15	they wanted to learn more.	15	Q Okay.
16	Q And what did they want to learn?	16	A That's not true, actually.
17	A I'm not sure.	17	Q Okay.
18	Q Did Kik give them information?	18	A I have heard in the Reddit community people
19	A I'm not sure.	19	reaching to exchanges to get Kin listed.
20	Q Okay. Did Kik file an application to be	20	Q And do exchanges come back to them? Just
21	listed?	21	from what you're seeing in Reddit, do exchanges have a
22	A I believe we did, yes.	22	dialog with those people?
23	Q And did that application go into the	23	A It looks like they do, yes.
24	securities laws, anything like that?	24	Q Do those people provide legal analyses to
25	A I'm not sure.	25	the exchanges?
23	A Thirlot suic.	23	the exchanges.
	Page 569		Page 571
1	Q Don't know. Do you know who prepared it?	1	A I don't know.
2	A I would think Eileen, but I'm not sure.	2	Q Okay. A few quick questions about the
3	Q Did you review it before it was sent?	3	Foundation. The Foundation has a series of agreements
4	A No, I don't think so.	4	with Kik Interactive; is that right?
5	Q Okay. Are you so Kik sorry. I guess	5	A Correct.
6	Kik as the face or the sponsor of the Kin project is	6	Q What do those agreements provide for?
7	talking to exchanges has been talking to exchanges,	7	A So the Kin Foundation today, as I
8	correct?	8	understand it, has two members and two board members,
9	A Correct.	9	myself and William. We have one consultant, which is
10	Q Okay. How about are you aware of other	10	Juan. And I believe we have our own counsel, but I'm
11	parties trying to get Kin listed on exchanges and	11	not sure, separate counsel.
12	reaching out to exchanges?	12	So there's many things that the Foundation
13	A I am aware that the Kin Foundation hired a	13	needs to do that it doesn't yet have employees to do.
14	consultant to reach out to exchanges, to get Kin listed	14	And so I believe the contract is contracting Kik to do
15	on exchanges.	15	those things for it.
16	Q Who was the consultant?	16	Q Okay.
17	A His name is his first name is Juan. I	17	A But I'm not exactly sure.
18	can't remember his last name.	18	Q That's fine. And we can read the contract
19	Q Is he affiliated with a company or it's	19	and see. But the Foundation doesn't have employees and
20	he's a solo person?	20	needs things to be done on its behalf?
20	A He is a consultant hired by the Kin	21	A Correct.
21	11 The is a consultant inited by the Kill	22	Q And it contracted with Kik Interactive to
21	Foundation		
22	Foundation.		_
22 23	Q Okay. And has he been reaching out to	23	provide those employees and those services?
22 23 24	Q Okay. And has he been reaching out to exchanges on behalf of the Kin Foundation?	23 24	provide those employees and those services?  A In some cases, yes.
22 23	Q Okay. And has he been reaching out to	23	provide those employees and those services?

Page 572 Page 574 1 things on its own? 1 that work is -- are those being done for the Kin 2 A For example, we contracted with Juan to 2 Foundation, just the part where the decision has to be 3 approach exchanges, and it did that on its own, yes. 3 made whether to do the investment? 4 4 Q Right. It reaches its own contracts with THE WITNESS: Sorry. Say that again. 5 others? 5 MR. MITCHELL: In what you just described, 6 A Yeah. 6 what part of -- what work is being done there for the 7 7 Q Okay. Has the Foundation to date paid Kik Kin Foundation under those agreements? for services Kik has rendered to the Foundation? 8 8 THE WITNESS: What work in that scenario is 9 A I don't believe so. 9 being done by Kik? 10 10 Q Does it owe Kik money? MR. MITCHELL: For the Kin Foundation. 11 A I believe there was a loan agreement 11 THE WITNESS: Oh, that's what I'm saying. between Kik and Kin, yes. 12 It's sort of a complicated thing to -- you know, what's 12 13 Q And how will the Foundation pay Kik back, 13 been done by Kik for itself to grow the value of its 14 if you know? 14 Kin and therefore the Kin of everybody else versus 15 A It has the same business model as everybody 15 what's being done for the Kin Foundation specifically, 16 else in the ecosystem, which is it holds a large piece 16 which is also trying to grow the Kin economy for itself 17 of that asset and it can sell that -- pieces of that 17 and for everyone else. 18 18 asset for dollars. MR. MITCHELL: So the people you were 19 19 Q Got it. So the idea is if it needs to pay describing just then who might be out talking to 20 Kik for services rendered, it can sell some Kin? 20 possible partners --21 21 THE WITNESS: Yep. MR. MITCHELL: -- who is paying them? 22 Q And pay Kik the proceeds of that? 22 23 A Yeah. Kik or anybody else. 23 THE WITNESS: Kik. 24 Q Okay. And so Kik employees currently are 24 MR. MITCHELL: And is Kik getting 2.5 working under this agreement for the Foundation? 2.5 reimbursed for that? Page 573 Page 575 A I think it's -- well, if I step back for a THE WITNESS: No, not to my knowledge. 1 1 2 second, I think it's -- part of it is a question in 2 MR. LEASURE: I think we need to take a 3 what exactly the Kin Foundation is responsible for. 3 disc or tape break. 4 And so I think, fundamentally, what the Kin Foundation 4 MR. MITCHELL: Oh, sorry. 5 5 is responsible for is the fair and productive spending MR. LEASURE: We'll go off the record. 6 of its 6 trillion Kin. 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends Disc Number 3. 7 There is other ideas in the past of 7 Going off the record. The time is 4:10 p.m. 8 8 different things they could be responsible for. But at (Recess.) 9 the end of the day, I think that is what it is 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins Disc 10 responsible. For example, we talked about renaming the 10 Number 4. We are back on the record. 11 Kin Foundation, the Kin reserves. So when I look at The time is now 4:13 p.m. 11 12 that, the reason I give that context, there were 12 MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Livingston, during the 13 employee working on that, like, largely, that's just 13 break, did you have any substantive conversations with 14 spending decisions that William and I say yes or no to. 14 the staff about the case? 15 15 Q Okay. THE WITNESS: I did not. 16 16 A So Kik employees are doing many things in MR. MITCHELL: Has Kik done work for the 17 the ecosystem. Some of them are finding partners, 17 Kin Foundation that it expects to get reimbursed for 18 18 talking to them about, you know, why Kin is great, et under the services agreement? 19 cetera, and then bringing them to the Foundation and 19 THE WITNESS: It's unclear to me what 20 saying, "Hey, if you give them this much Kin, then 20 work -- because it's just a brand new thing. Nobody 21 maybe they will develop for the Kin ecosystem. Do you has ever done this before -- what work Kik is doing for 21 22 want to do that?" And William and I can make the 22 itself and what work it is doing for the Foundation. 23 decision, yes or no. 23 MR. MITCHELL: Why is that unclear to you? 24 O Got it. 24 THE WITNESS: For example, Kik is paying 25 MR. MITCHELL: In that example, what of 25 employees to go out and find developers to integrate

#### Page 576 Page 578 1 Kin. Is Kin doing that for the Foundation and it 1 for itself for its own selfish participation in the 2 should get reimbursed or is Kik doing that for itself 2 ecosystem and what Kik should get reimbursed for that 3 3 because it holds 3 trillion Kin? It's unclear. the Kin Foundation -- work it's doing for the Kin 4 4 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Has the Foundation Foundation. 5 instructed Kik to do that work? 5 MR. MITCHELL: And are people working those 6 THE WITNESS: It's unclear to me. I'm not 6 issues out? 7 7 THE WITNESS: I think we're iterating sure. 8 8 through the options. MR. MITCHELL: I guess I don't understand. 9 If there are only two board members at the Foundation, 9 MR. MITCHELL: Who's the "we"? 10 10 THE WITNESS: Myself and William largely how could the Kik -- how could the Foundation have 11 given Kik that instruction but you not know about it? 11 and -- I think the lead would be myself and William, 12 THE WITNESS: I guess what I meant by that 12 but also in discussions with employees from Kik as 13 13 well. was I'm not sure what's in the services agreement. I 14 wasn't involved in the drafting of that. I don't 14 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Are any other Kin 15 recall personally William and I -- or I taking a vote 15 owners doing work for the Foundation? 16 16 THE WITNESS: Are any -- maybe if the to go tell Kik to do this. 17 17 MR. MITCHELL: And what about -- have you question is: Are any other Kin owners meeting with 18 18 William and I in sort of a board meeting setting? No. and William taken a vote or in any way told Kik to do 19 19 Well, only Juan -- I believe Juan is an owner of Kin. any specific work? 20 THE WITNESS: I think what William and I 20 He may be. But other than him, I would say no. 21 have done is Kik employees have come to us with 21 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: But he's meeting as a 22 proposals for partners and the Kin they would get to 22 consultant, right? 23 join the ecosystem in lieu of having the Kin Rewards 23 THE WITNESS: Correct. 24 Engine at this point. And William and I have 24 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: So -- but I guess the 25 instructed them whether we agree or disagree with that 25 Kin Foundation doesn't have any services agreement or Page 577 Page 579 1 any other sort of agreement with any other Kin owners, 1 proposal. 2 MR. MITCHELL: Anything other than those 2 correct? 3 kinds of interactions? 3 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 4 THE WITNESS: Not that I can think of. 4 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Only with Kik? 5 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Now, let me just 5 THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge, 6 put -- have you put on your Kik CEO hat. Is Kik -- are 6 yes. 7 there any Kik employees who are tracking their hours to 7 BY MR. LEASURE: 8 8 say, "This amount of work I'm doing, I'm doing for the Q Was there ever a consideration of hiring a 9 Foundation under the services agreement"? 9 CEO for the Foundation? 10 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. It's 10 A Yes. 11 possible, though, but not to my knowledge. Q Tell me about that. 11 12 MR. MITCHELL: Is anybody planning to do 12 A I think it's been unclear and is still 13 13 unclear what exact role the Kin Foundation will play that? 14 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge, but it's 14 over the next five or ten years. Lots of different 15 possible. 15 crypto projects have tried lots of different ways, many 16 MR. MITCHELL: It's possible that it might 16 of which haven't worked or have been damaging to the 17 happen in the future? 17 overall success of the project. 18 THE WITNESS: Or that people might be doing 18 And so we're trying to figure out what is 19 it today. 19 the best -- what is the role at the end of the day of 20 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Is there any plan for 20 the Kin Foundation. That, I think, has been the Kik to sort of assemble a bill and say, "This is the primary discussion. Like, "Should we be doing this or 21 21 22 work that Kik did, and we'd like to get reimbursed for 22 should we be doing that?" I think where I have 23 23 landed -- but, again, this is an ongoing discussion --24 THE WITNESS: There is evolving plans on 24 is the Kin Foundation should manage the spending of its 6 trillion Kin reserves. That's what it should do. 25 what would be fair. It's unclear what Kik should pay 25

Page 580 Page 582 1 Some of that, I think, over time will be 1 there who now accept or are working on integrating Kin 2 the Kin Reward Engine. That will be payment to 2 into their platforms? 3 3 partners. That will be bounties to developers for A Yes. 4 4 improving things like the blockchain. There will be Q Okay. And Kik has a group -- as part of 5 5 the Kin side of its -- of its business, has a group many different ways that it will spend that 6 trillion 6 Kin. It's unclear at this point what the exact right 6 working to identify and encourage developers to join 7 7 structure inside the Kin Foundation should be to the Kin ecosystem? 8 8 accomplish that. It's unclear right now if that will A Correct. 9 Q Okay. And so there have been some 9 require a CEO or not. 10 milestones on the way of developers signing on in 2018, 10 Q It's unclear to you if it requires active 11 day-to-day managers solely wearing the Foundation hat? 11 12 A Yes. Correct. 12 13 Q Okay. So we've covered the blockchain, 13 Q Whereas that work -- a lot of that work 14 Kinnit, getting developers on board. I'm trying to 14 might just stay within Kik, and maybe come up with a 15 hear from your perspective other key milestones in the 15 billable structure or some other long-term resolution 16 Kin project for 2018. 16 for that relationship? 17 A I think -- so Kinnit became the first app 17 A It's unclear. Like, at some point, you 18 ever to get approved by Apple for the iOS app store 18 know, I think many -- like, for example, we talked 19 where you could both earn and spend a cryptocurrency in 19 about the Kin Foundation putting up RFPs for different 20 the app. 20 things that, you know, we want a developer program run 21 Q Oh, I see. So getting the Apple iOS store 21 in Korea. And if you, you know, submit the winning 22 approval was a big deal? 22 bid, we'll give you, you know, 50,000 Kin or whatever 23 A Yeah. I believe it was the first in the it might be. It's unclear if that's something that 23 24 24 William and I can do. 25 Q Okay. I'm sorry. I want to keep hearing 25 Should we hire a CO to do that? Can that Page 581 Page 583 1 1 be crowd-sourced? We're not sure at this point. the milestones from your perspective. 2 2 A I think the other big milestone is Q Okay. So it's still a work in progress, 3 what the structure will look like? 3 developers going live with apps that integrate Kin. 4 4 Q Okay. A Yes. 5 5 Q Okay. I want to turn to where we -- events A I believe there's roughly ten apps in the 6 after the public sale, the key, sort of, milestones in 6 iOS and Android app stores, Playstore, live today that 7 7 you can go download where you can earn and spend Kin. your mind for the Kin project after the public sale. 8 8 Q Okay. And the goal is obviously to get 9 9 more? Q We talked a lot about the blockchain, the 10 10 Stellar fork. A Yes. 11 11 A Uh-huh. Q What else in terms of key milestones from 12 12 Q I think I see that there was -- there's your perspective? something called Kinnit --13 A From my perspective, those are the key 13 14 14 A Yep. milestones 15 15 Q Okay. Let me show you -- I think it's Q -- that came about. 16 What can you tell about Kinnit? 16 another Medium post. 17 A Kinnit was an app developed by Kik 17 A Okay. 18 18 Q I'll walk you through it. I'm marking this employees in Israel as an example of an open-sourced 19 19 as Exhibit 208. app you can build and integrate in Kin. 20 20 (SEC Exhibit No. 208 was marked for Q And the hope is by doing that, other apps 21 21 might be encouraged to accept Kin in their app? identification.) 22 BY MR. LEASURE: 22 A That it would lower the barriers to 23 23 adoption by other developers, yes. Q There you go. Take a look and let me know 2.4 Q Okay. And other developers -- I think you 24 when you're ready. Exhibit 208, which does not have a 25 25 identified today that there are other developers out Bates stamp, I'll represent to you is a Medium post or

Page 584 Page 586 1 a document I found on the Medium website that I printed 1 A I would agree. 2 off on November 1st, 2018. 2 Q Okay. In terms of -- we talked about the 3 3 A Okay. blockchain a lot yesterday. 4 4 A Uh-huh. Q And it has a date of September 26th. And 5 my understanding from data is that that's September 5 Q I don't want to re-go back there. I think 6 26th, 2018. It says, "Kin, One Year In." 6 we talked about expanding the ecosystem to other apps, 7 correct, through Kinnit and getting other apps to 7 A Uh-huh. 8 8 Q Did you write this? launch Kin in their app, in their platforms, right? 9 A Right. 9 A I believe I wrote a first draft of this, 10 Q Launch Kin inside Kik, what happened in 10 then I worked with other people to edit it, and then it 11 was posted under my name. 11 2018 on that? 12 A We -- in 2018, we integrated the SDK -- the 12 Q Okay. Why did you -- why did you -- did 13 new SDK that integrated into the new fork of Stellar 13 you want -- I take it you wanted this posted. 14 blockchain. We created a marketplace inside of Kik 14 A This was a recommendation from the 15 that allowed you to earn Kin and see your Kin and see 15 marketing team that -- to be honest, this was not on my 16 all of your transactions on that new fork of Stellar 16 raider, but it felt like -- the logic was that it was a 17 blockchain. And we integrated a way to purchase chat 17 one-year anniversary. And as such, we should 18 themes inside of Kik with Kin. 18 commemorate it on behalf of the community by me, the 19 Q Got it. 19 brainchild -- this being my brainchild, by writing this 20 MR. MITCHELL: That's inside the messaging 20 21 app? 21 Q And is it fair to say at least the 22 THE WITNESS: Correct. 22 marketing team wanted you and other people at Kik to be 23 MR. MITCHELL: And does Kik the -- Kik, the 23 out there publicly talking about the Kin project still? 24 company, controls the messaging app? 24 A I think they just thought it would be a 25 THE WITNESS: Correct. 25 nice thing to do. Page 585 Page 587 1 MR. MITCHELL: Did people outside Kik, the 1 Q For the Kin community? 2 2 company, make changes to the messaging app? 3 Q Okay. To hear from the founder of the 3 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 4 4 BY MR. LEASURE: project? 5 5 A Yes. Q It might be helpful if I can point you to 6 Q Okay. So, again, under the title "Kin, One 6 the second page. There's a sort of diagram there 7 7 showing what appears to me to be a winding road. Year In," it says, "It's been one year since the Kin 8 8 token distribution event. Since then, we have been Do you see that? 9 9 A I do. following a three-step strategy to make Kin the most Q Okay. Did you write this? 10 used cryptocurrency in the world. One, create a 10 11 11 scalable blockchain; two, launch Kin inside Kik; three, A I did not. 12 expand the ecosystems to other apps. We have made 12 Q Did you review this before it was 13 13 published? great progress on three." 14 14 A I did not. Let me pause there. Is that accurate? 15 15 A Yes. Q Okay. Who wrote this? 16 Okay. And is the "we," are you reflecting 16 I assume somebody from the marketing team. 17 both Kik and the Kin project? I want to understand 17 Q Okay. Who led that at that time? 18 what's within the "we." 18 A I think this would have been created by the 19 A I think when I refer to "we," I mean the 19 communications team, which would be Rod at the time, 20 ecosystem broadly, all of the participants. 20 21 21 Q Okay. And your -- whether the CEO or not, Q Okay. I just want to point to a few things 22 2.2 you're a player in that ecosystem -and tell me if you can give me context on what might be 23 A Correct. 23 being referred to here. 2.4 Q -- and a key player in that system, would 24 A Sure. 25 25 you agree? Q First, on April 13th -- I take it this is

Page 588 Page 590 1 2018, the dates listed here. 1 Q -- "Kin blockchain launches." 2 Does that seem right to you? 2 3 A April 13th. 3 Q That's the fork we've been discussing 4 4 Q Do you see that? It's on the second line. already today? 5 A Yes, I do see that. 5 A Correct. 6 Q Okay. And is that -- do you believe that's 6 Q Okay. On June 6th -- there's 06/07. 7 7 referring to events in 2018? Do you see that? A I do. 8 8 A Correct. 9 Q Okay. It references the first Kin 9 Q "Kin blockchain reaches 1.2 million 10 ambassadors event held in New York City? 10 transactions per day at a rate of 20 transactions per 11 A Yen. 11 12 12 Do you see that? Q What can you tell me about that? 13 A Kik employees put together an event to 13 A Yep, I do. 14 identify the most active people in various social media 14 Q And do you know what those transactions --15 channels and to invite them to New York. 15 like, if you had to characterize it as transactions, 16 16 Q Did you go? are you able to characterize what they were? 17 17 A I did. A I believe they were test, earn, and spend 18 18 Q Okay. And this -- and when you say active transactions. 19 19 people in social media channels, are these developers Q Oh, okay. We talked earlier about tests on 20 or are these just sort of active social media users? 20 the Kin blockchain. Are those those? 21 21 A It's unclear which are developers and which A Yep. 22 are not or even what are their professions. Just that 22 Q So this was showing that the Kin blockchain 23 they were very passionate and knowledgeable about Kin, 23 has greater capacity to handle a lot of transactions? 24 the Kin project broadly. 24 A Correct. 25 Q And they were -- oh, so they were people 25 Q Got it. Page 589 Page 591 who were enthusiastic about Kin? MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Can I just ask a dumb 1 1 2 A Correct. 2 question? What is a test transaction? 3 Q Sorry. I apologize. And what was the 3 THE WITNESS: It's a transaction like, you 4 4 know, I send you one Kin and you send me back one Kin. purpose of having that event? 5 5 A I think it was an event to -- you know, you Like, it's just programmatically doing that a bunch of 6 had all of these people who loved Kin, were 6 7 evangelizing Kin, were contributing to Kin, you know, 7 MR. MITCHELL: The test part is -- the people that went on to build apps. And we thought it 8 8 purpose is to test the blockchain as opposed to 9 would be cool -- my recollection is we thought it would 9 actually buy yourself something? 10 be a cool way to bing them together and have them meet 10 THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. LEASURE: Got it. 11 11 12 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: So I think I asked you 12 Q Okay. And then with the hope of them being enthusiastic about -- continuing to be enthusiastic 13 13 yesterday how many transactions were occurring on the 14 Stellar blockchain per day. I think you gave me a 14 about Kin, right? 15 A They were already very enthusiastic about 15 pretty high number. 50,000, somewhere in that 16 Kin. I think this is more of about, you know, "Hey, 16 magnitude. Am I getting that right? Maybe it was an 17 we're all a community doing this together. We should 17 even higher number than that. all meet each other. And you" -- "we should meet each 18 THE WITNESS: I don't recall giving that 18 19 other. You guys should meet me. I want to meet you," 19 number. I recall saying I believe roughly 10,000 20 et cetera. 20 people did a transaction, yesterday. 21 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. 21 Q Networking? 2.2 A Coming -- I don't know about networking. 22 THE WITNESS: And depending on how many 23 Coming together as a community and meeting in person. 23 transactions they made each, you could do the math for 24 Q Okay. Meeting people. "05/08" --24 yourself. 25 A Yep. 25 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay.

Page 594 Page 592 1 THE WITNESS: But I'm not sure how many 1 about this yesterday -- spearheaded it was Natasha. 2 each day. 2 3 3 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Yeah. My memory is A But she has since gone on mat leave 4 4 sort of irrelevant here. I'm just trying to -- so when recently, so I'm not sure who it is today, but we could 5 you say that approximately 10,000 people did a 5 find out. 6 transaction on the blockchain per day, is that -- are 6 Q Fair enough. Last, I just want to ask 7 7 those test transactions? about an acronym I'm not sure I recognize. Under -- at 8 8 THE WITNESS: Those are real transactions. the end of this diagram, there's 14,281 MAWs. 9 That is real earning and spending transactions in one 9 A Yep. 10 10 Q What's that? of the ten apps that is available publicly today. 11 MR. SCHLEGELMILCH: Okay. Thank you. I 11 A That acronym is a new acronym that we're 12 understand now. 12 playing around with, which is monthly active wallets, 13 BY MR. LEASURE: 13 as opposed to monthly active users. I think the thing 14 Q Moving further down on this diagram, 14 we're trying to demonstrate with Kin is you see lots of 15 there's "06/27, Kik integrates Kin." Do you see that? 15 cryptocurrencies where there are lots of transactions. 16 A I do. 16 But how many of those transactions are 17 17 Q What's that referring to? actually people earning or spending the cryptocurrency 18 A I think that's Kik integrating the new Kin 18 versus buying it or selling it? So this 14,000 says 19 19 14,000 people in the last 30 days actually earned or 20 Q Got it. Okay. "07/11, Kin developer 20 spent the cryptocurrency. 21 21 program launches." Q Got it. Okay. I can point you to a few 22 A Yep. 22 places. But throughout this document, it describes 23 Q What's that program? 23 what Kin has done. Let me give you an example. The 24 A That was a program to find and mentor other 24 bottom of page 3 of 6, under the section "Expand the 25 developers around the world to integrate Kin into the 25 Ecosystem to Other Apps." Page 593 Page 595 app they already had or wanted to build. 1 1 Do you see that section? 2 2 Q And was this developer program part of the A Yep. 3 Kin part of Kik Interactive, Inc.? 3 Q And the second paragraph begins, "Kin 4 worked with large partners, like Blackhawk Network," et 4 A It was put together by Kik employees who 5 5 were part of the Kin part of Kik Interactive, Inc., cetera. 6 6 A Yep. 7 Q Thank you. And it looks like on 08/15, 7 Q In using Kin like that, is that shorthand 8 they found -- they identified 40 participants and 8 for the Kin team working in Kin Interactive -- Kik 9 accepted them? 9 Interactive? 10 A Yes. 10 A I think it depends on the context. I think Q And do you know how they identified them? 11 11 this is one of the parts that's sort of confusing about A They put out applications. I think they 12 12 crypto, is like who is Kin, what is Kin. And, you got something, like, 200 applications. They reviewed 13 13 know, if you were to explain the nuance every time of 14 those applications. And then based on their own 14 who exactly you mean within Kin, it would be a very 15 15 criteria, they chose 40. I can't -- I don't -- sitting complicated and wordy thing to explain. 16 here, I don't recall what those criteria were or being 16 So here, when Kin worked with large 17 aware of them at the time. 17 partners, you know, that's referring to Kik employees 18 18 working on Kin worked with large partners. Q Aside from the specific criteria, do you 19 know generally what they were looking for? 19 Q Okay. I got it. And then let me try one 20 A I think they were looking for -- my guess 20 more just to see if there is nuance and we can unpack 21 would be -- and I don't know. My guess would be smart 21 it. Page 4 of 6, "Finally, Kin has launched the Kin 22 developers who could build good apps. 2.2 developer program." 23 23 Do you see that? Q And if we wanted to know more about that, 2.4 who within Kik would we ask? 24 A Yep. 25 25 A I think the woman who -- I think we talked Q Is that, again, Kik employees working on

	Page 596		Page 598
1	behalf of the Kin project?	1	THE WITNESS: Okay.
2	A Yes.	2	MR. MITCHELL: The next line says "Kin Kong
3	Q Okay. I think I'm done with this document.	3	Bot."
4	MR. MITCHELL: So I want to ask you a	4	THE WITNESS: Yep.
5	couple of questions about some of these partners. And	5	MR. MITCHELL: What is that?
6	I'm going to do it really quickly because Patrick sent	6	THE WITNESS: I believe that was a bot
7	us a letter that has I'm going to give you what's	7	developed by Kik employees.
8	marked as 209. This is just a letter earlier from this	8	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So do you have do
9	week. And you can see it has a chart at the bottom.	9	you know this the line just we was that
10	(SEC Exhibit No. 209 was marked for	10	there's a distribution to the Kin Kong Bot.
11	identification.)	11	Do you know is there some separate legal
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.	12	entity other than Kik?
13	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So you have the	13	THE WITNESS: I don't no, not in this
14	original. I've given copies to you lawyers. Do you	14	case.
15	see the chart have you ever seen this letter before?	15	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Do you know
16	THE WITNESS: I have not.	16	there's a number there. Do you know whether there
17	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Great.	17	is whether Kin tokens were given out to people
18	THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.	18	through the Kin Kong Bot or who got Kin who got
19	MR. MITCHELL: There's a chart on the	19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I believe they were
20	bottom. We just asked to get a list of the	20	given to users through the Kin Kong Bot.
21	distributions of Kin tokens.	21	MR. MITCHELL: More like Kik app users?
22	THE WITNESS: Okay.	22	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if it was in Kik
23	MR. MITCHELL: So this is great, because it	23	or in a separate app. I can't recall.
24	just says, like, entity names. And I just want to run	24	MR. MITCHELL: Okay.
25	through them and ask your sort of understanding of who	25	THE WITNESS: It might have actually been
	Page 597		Page 599
1	Page 597 they are.	1	Page 599 developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the
1 2		1 2	
	they are.		developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the
2	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.	2	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.
2	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the	2 3	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an
2 3 4	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a	2 3 4	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?
2 3 4 5	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.	2 3 4 5	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?	2 3 4 5 6	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: No to my knowledge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?  THE WITNESS: It doesn't. I believe we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?  THE WITNESS: Avatar, like the profile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?  THE WITNESS: Avatar, like the profile picture and sort of creating a digital avatar, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?  THE WITNESS: It doesn't. I believe we also gave it to Kik users as part of the token sale, but I'm not sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?  THE WITNESS: Avatar, like the profile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?  THE WITNESS: It doesn't. I believe we also gave it to Kik users as part of the token sale, but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: In September?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?  THE WITNESS: Avatar, like the profile picture and sort of creating a digital avatar, a digital representation of who you want to portray as yourself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?  THE WITNESS: It doesn't. I believe we also gave it to Kik users as part of the token sale, but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: In September?  THE WITNESS: In September, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?  THE WITNESS: Avatar, like the profile picture and sort of creating a digital avatar, a digital representation of who you want to portray as yourself.  MR. MITCHELL: And is there there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?  THE WITNESS: It doesn't. I believe we also gave it to Kik users as part of the token sale, but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: In September?  THE WITNESS: In September, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So then this is going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?  THE WITNESS: Avatar, like the profile picture and sort of creating a digital avatar, a digital representation of who you want to portray as yourself.  MR. MITCHELL: And is there there is is there an entity that's running that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?  THE WITNESS: It doesn't. I believe we also gave it to Kik users as part of the token sale, but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: In September?  THE WITNESS: In September, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So then this is going to get a little repetitive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?  THE WITNESS: Avatar, like the profile picture and sort of creating a digital avatar, a digital representation of who you want to portray as yourself.  MR. MITCHELL: And is there there is is there an entity that's running that?  THE WITNESS: There is an independent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?  THE WITNESS: It doesn't. I believe we also gave it to Kik users as part of the token sale, but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: In September?  THE WITNESS: In September, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So then this is going to get a little repetitive  THE WITNESS: This is after the token	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?  THE WITNESS: Avatar, like the profile picture and sort of creating a digital avatar, a digital representation of who you want to portray as yourself.  MR. MITCHELL: And is there there is is there an entity that's running that?  THE WITNESS: There is an independent company. I'm not sure their legal name, but I assume
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?  THE WITNESS: It doesn't. I believe we also gave it to Kik users as part of the token sale, but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: In September?  THE WITNESS: In September, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So then this is going to get a little repetitive  THE WITNESS: This is after the token  MR. MITCHELL: This is going to get a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?  THE WITNESS: Avatar, like the profile picture and sort of creating a digital avatar, a digital representation of who you want to portray as yourself.  MR. MITCHELL: And is there there is is there an entity that's running that?  THE WITNESS: There is an independent company. I'm not sure their legal name, but I assume it's something like IMVU, Inc.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they are.  THE WITNESS: Okay.  MR. MITCHELL: So first is: Do you see the first line, it says that there were 9,000 there's a recipient column and a date and a description.  Do you see this?  THE WITNESS: I do.  MR. MITCHELL: So under the "Recipient" column, do you see the 9,000 Kik users?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Earlier, we had talked about Kik giving Kin to power users.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: Does this refresh your recollection about when that happened?  THE WITNESS: It doesn't. I believe we also gave it to Kik users as part of the token sale, but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: In September?  THE WITNESS: In September, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. So then this is going to get a little repetitive  THE WITNESS: This is after the token	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	developed at a hackathon. I can't recall what the specifics are on this one.  MR. MITCHELL: Gotcha. There's not an entity called Kin Kong Bot?  THE WITNESS: No.  MR. MITCHELL: All right.  THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.  MR. MITCHELL: Next line, IMVU.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What the description, I can just read. It says it's a partner.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What is IMVU?  THE WITNESS: It's an avatar community app.  MR. MITCHELL: Avatar, like the movie?  THE WITNESS: Avatar, like the profile picture and sort of creating a digital avatar, a digital representation of who you want to portray as yourself.  MR. MITCHELL: And is there there is is there an entity that's running that?  THE WITNESS: There is an independent company. I'm not sure their legal name, but I assume

	Page 600		Page 602
1	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. If I had to	1	IMVU.
2	guess, I think it's in California, but I'm not sure.	2	THE WITNESS: I believe they received that
3	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. And did they get Kin	3	from Kik.
4	tokens?	4	MR. MITCHELL: Developer program. There's
5	THE WITNESS: I believe so.	5	a do you see a line for developer program?
6	MR. MITCHELL: Why?	6	THE WITNESS: Yep.
7	THE WITNESS: To as their compensation	7	MR. MITCHELL: Was there a group of
8	for joining the Kin ecosystem, and also I believe to	8	different developers that received tokens?
9	kick start their Kin economy inside their app.	9	THE WITNESS: Yep.
10	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Flip to the next	10	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. And why did they get
11	page. Perfect365. What's that?	11	them?
12	THE WITNESS: It is a fashion app.	12	THE WITNESS: For the same reason.
13	MR. MITCHELL: Where I'm sorry.	13	MR. MITCHELL: Okay. It was
14	Run by some other entity other than Kik?	14	compensation
15	THE WITNESS: Correct.	15	THE WITNESS: Compensation and to kick
16	MR. MITCHELL: Where?	16	start their Kin economy in their apps.
17	THE WITNESS: I believe in China is where	17	MR. MITCHELL: Great. From where?
18	they're headquartered.	18	THE WITNESS: From the Kin Foundation.
19	MR. MITCHELL: And did they get Kin tokens?	19	MR. MITCHELL: Are these transactions
20	THE WITNESS: They did.	20	actually coming out of Kin's wallet?
21	MR. MITCHELL: Why?	21	THE WITNESS: The Kin Foundation's wallet,
22	THE WITNESS: For the same reason as IMVU.	22	I believe so, yes.
23	MR. MITCHELL: All right. Lykke,	23	MR. MITCHELL: And, obviously, you don't in
24	L-y-k-k-e, do you see that?	24	your head know the developer the names of those
25	THE WITNESS: Correct.	25	developers, but do you think there's someone at Kik who
	Page 601		Da (02
1	_	,	Page 603
1	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?	1	has a list of those developers?
2	MR. MITCHELL: What's that? THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.	2	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the
2	MR. MITCHELL: What's that? THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange. MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade	2 3	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.
2 3 4	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?	2 3 4	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the
2 3 4 5	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the United States?
2 3 4 5 6	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm	2 3 4 5 6	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in Switzerland.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz, M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz, M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in  Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz, M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: I believe they did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz, M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in  Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the 40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz, M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in  Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: I believe they did.  MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in  Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: I believe they did.  MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them from Kik or from the Foundation or from someone else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.  MR. MITCHELL: What kind of app?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: I believe they did.  MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them from Kik or from the Foundation or from someone else?  THE WITNESS: I believe from the Foundation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in  Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: I believe they did.  MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them from Kik or from the Foundation or from someone else?  THE WITNESS: I believe from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.  MR. MITCHELL: What kind of app?  THE WITNESS: I think it's a comedy app,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in  Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: I believe they did.  MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them from Kik or from the Foundation or from someone else?  THE WITNESS: I believe from the Foundation.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Why?  THE WITNESS: I believe as payment to list	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.  MR. MITCHELL: What kind of app?  THE WITNESS: I think it's a comedy app,  but I'm not sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in  Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: I believe they did.  MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them from Kik or from the Foundation or from someone else?  THE WITNESS: I believe from the  Foundation.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Why?  THE WITNESS: I believe as payment to list  Kin on their exchange, but I'm not sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.  MR. MITCHELL: What kind of app?  THE WITNESS: I think it's a comedy app,  but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: And they got they  received Kin tokens?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MITCHELL: What's that? THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange. MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it? THE WITNESS: I believe it's in Switzerland. MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens? THE WITNESS: I believe they did. MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them from Kik or from the Foundation or from someone else? THE WITNESS: I believe from the Foundation. MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Why? THE WITNESS: I believe as payment to list Kin on their exchange, but I'm not sure. MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Let me just flip	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.  MR. MITCHELL: What kind of app?  THE WITNESS: I think it's a comedy app,  but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: And they got they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MITCHELL: What's that? THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange. MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it? THE WITNESS: I believe it's in Switzerland. MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens? THE WITNESS: I believe they did. MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them from Kik or from the Foundation or from someone else? THE WITNESS: I believe from the Foundation. MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Why? THE WITNESS: I believe as payment to list Kin on their exchange, but I'm not sure. MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Let me just flip go back up two lines two earlier lines. Perfect365,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.  MR. MITCHELL: What kind of app?  THE WITNESS: I think it's a comedy app,  but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: And they got they  received Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MITCHELL: What's that? THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange. MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it? THE WITNESS: I believe it's in Switzerland. MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens? THE WITNESS: I believe they did. MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them from Kik or from the Foundation or from someone else? THE WITNESS: I believe from the Foundation. MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Why? THE WITNESS: I believe as payment to list Kin on their exchange, but I'm not sure. MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Let me just flip	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.  MR. MITCHELL: What kind of app?  THE WITNESS: I think it's a comedy app,  but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: And they got they  received Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: From whom?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange.  MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yes.  MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it?  THE WITNESS: I believe it's in  Switzerland.  MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: I believe they did.  MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them from Kik or from the Foundation or from someone else?  THE WITNESS: I believe from the  Foundation.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Why?  THE WITNESS: I believe as payment to list  Kin on their exchange, but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Let me just flip  go back up two lines two earlier lines. Perfect365, whose Kin did they receive?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.  MR. MITCHELL: What kind of app?  THE WITNESS: I think it's a comedy app,  but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: And they got they  received Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: From whom?  THE WITNESS: Kin Foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. MITCHELL: What's that? THE WITNESS: Lykke is an exchange. MR. MITCHELL: It's a place people trade tokens? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. MITCHELL: And did they get I'm sorry. Where is it? THE WITNESS: I believe it's in Switzerland. MR. MITCHELL: And did Kik sorry. Let me go on this one. Did they get Kin tokens? THE WITNESS: I believe they did. MR. MITCHELL: From did they get them from Kik or from the Foundation or from someone else? THE WITNESS: I believe from the Foundation. MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Why? THE WITNESS: I believe as payment to list Kin on their exchange, but I'm not sure. MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Let me just flip go back up two lines two earlier lines. Perfect365, whose Kin did they receive? THE WITNESS: I believe the Kin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	has a list of those developers?  THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe those are the  40 developers referenced in the previous document.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Any of them in the  United States?  THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.  MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Madlitz,  M-a-d-l-i-t-z.  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: What's that?  THE WITNESS: It's another app company.  MR. MITCHELL: Where is it?  THE WITNESS: It's based in Toronto, I  believe.  MR. MITCHELL: What kind of app?  THE WITNESS: I think it's a comedy app,  but I'm not sure.  MR. MITCHELL: And they got they  received Kin tokens?  THE WITNESS: Yep.  MR. MITCHELL: From whom?  THE WITNESS: Kin Foundation.  MR. MITCHELL: Why?

Page 604 Page 606 1 is a Kik employee, right? 1 Q Okay. How would Kin -- how would Kin do 2 THE WITNESS: Correct. 2 that? 3 MR. MITCHELL: And he got Kin tokens? 3 A I think the context of this -- these AMAs, 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, it looks like that. maybe it's helpful to understand, is it's my -- what I 4 5 MR. MITCHELL: Leaving aside the list, do 5 want to do is it's my opportunity to be transparent 6 you know whether he got Kin? 6 with the Kin community and to answer -- the original 7 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know. intent was to answer questions about the Kin vision. 8 So, you know, so that people could really understand 8 MR. MITCHELL: You don't know. That's 9 what I was thinking and why when I conceived of this. 9 fine. So sitting here today, do you know if he 10 The format I use is people post questions, 10 received -- if Philp has received tokens from either 11 Kik or the Foundation? 11 and I go to my way not to see the questions ahead of 12 time, so I'm answering them on the spot on video, where 12 THE WITNESS: I am not sure. 13 I'm seeing them for the first time. In terms of this 13 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. 14 question, you asked, well, how could Kin pull out of 14 BY MR. LEASURE: 15 the U.S. or how could Kik, Inc., pull out of the U.S.? 15 Q Let me go on. We talked earlier today 16 My answer to that would be based on --16 about public appearances you made talking about the Kin 17 would reveal conversations with counsel. 17 project prior to the public sale. 18 Q Does Kik have plans to pull out of the 18 A Should I still hold onto this? 19 **U.S.?** 19 Q No. I'll take it back. 20 A Today, no. A Yep. 20 21 Q I'm sorry. Does Kin have plans to pull out 21 Q After the public sale, you continued to 22 of the U.S.? I misspoke. 22 talk about the Kin project in public? 23 A Kin is a cryptocurrency that exists on the 23 A Yep. 24 blockchain. 24 Q Like, you've gone on Reddit or other social 25 Q Okay. 25 media channels? Page 605 Page 607 A And so any country that has the internet 1 A Yep. 1 2 will have Kin. Q Okay. Why are you doing that? 3 A To share my view of where Kin is at. 3 Q Okay. So to date, there are no plans? 4 4 A To pull out what out of the U.S.? Q Okay. And your -- is it fair to say you 5 5 Q To pull Kin and the Kin project out of the continue to put a face on the Kin project? 6 A I think I continue to be one of the more 6 U.S. 7 prominent faces of the Kin project, yes. 7 A If you're referring to Kin, the 8 8 cryptocurrency, it's impossible to pull Kin, the Q Okay. You do monthly "ask me anythings" on 9 Reddit? 9 cryptocurrency, out of any country, unless you shut 10 10 down the internet. Q Okay. 11 Q Okay. You do that for the same reasons we 11 12 just described? 12 How much of your time since the 13 A Yes. 13 public sale has been spent on the Kik messenger app Q Okay. I saw a monthly "ask me anything" 14 14 side of the business versus the Kin project side of the 15 you posted. I think it was posted May of 2018. 15 business? 16 A Okay. 16 A Roughly 50/50. 17 Q Again, I'm just going to characterize what 17 Q Okay. Since the public sale, aside from 18 I saw and just ask you if you recall doing that. 18 the distributions that we just walked through, that 19 A Okay. 19 Brent just walked through --20 A Uh-huh. 20 Q I think there was a question posed to you 21 about the SEC and the Kin project. And it appeared 21 -- has Kik sold any of its holdings of Kin? 22 22 A Not to my knowledge. that you stated that Kin could pull out of the U.S. or 23 23 out of certain jurisdictions. Q Okay. Is that -- and it receives more each 24 Does any of that ring a bell for you? 24 quarter. Do I have that right? 25 A It rings a bell. 25 A It vests more each quarter. But I think,

Page 608 Page 610 1 technically, it's already received them. 1 THE WITNESS: Because if I were a developer 2 Q I apologize. Vests more each quarter. 2 of another app and somebody came to me and said 3 3 A Yep. integrate our cryptocurrency, but at the end of the 4 Q So it could sell more each quarter as the 4 day, we control everything, so really you're not 5 vesting schedule continues? 5 building for an open economy, you're building for a 6 A Correct. 6 company's platform, that personally, I would not build 7 7 Q Does it have plans currently to sell more 8 8 Kin? And so it's important to me for myself, and 9 A At some point, Kik will, like everybody in 9 therefore, I felt like it was the right thing to do for 10 the ecosystem, need to sell Kin to get dollars to fund 10 everybody else, for there to be an independent body 11 its own operations. 11 responsible for the allocation of that 6 trillion Kin. 12 MR. MITCHELL: That's one of the things Q Sure. 12 13 A But when exactly we do that has not yet 13 that makes you -- makes this project different than 14 been decided. 14 Twitter, which can control its own data and its API and 15 Q Okay. 15 things like that? 16 THE WITNESS: There are many things that And in terms of the distributions --16 17 Brent just walked you through some distributions that's 17 make it different --18 happened over time, right? 18 MR. MITCHELL: Sure. 19 19 A Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: -- but this is one of the 2.0 Q Does Kik Interactive have plans to 20 things that makes it different, yes. 21 distribute more Kin to developers or other ecosystem 21 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. And you thought it 22 participants in the future? 22 would make it attractive to people, to get them to join 23 A There are potential strategies in the 23 and participate? 24 future where Kik might do that, but there's also 24 THE WITNESS: If somebody asked me to join 25 options where Kik does not do that. 25 a platform that didn't have an independent foundation Page 609 Page 611 1 or didn't have a plan to have an independent foundation 1 Q And how about on the Foundation side? 2 2 over time, I would not join. So how could I ask anybody Does the Foundation have plans? 3 A Yes, it does. 3 else to join such a platform? 4 MR. MITCHELL: Okay. 4 Q And do you expect in the future the 5 5 Foundation will continue to distribute Kin to members BY MR. LEASURE: 6 of the -- people participating in the ecosystem? 6 Q So we've covered a lot of different topics 7 A That's the whole point. 7 about Kik, the Kin project, and you, over the past two 8 8 Q Okay. days of testimony, right? 9 A Yes. 9 A Uh-huh. 10 MR. MITCHELL: Practical question. The 10 Q So as we're winding down, I just want to Foundation has a wallet that holds the Kin tokens? 11 11 offer you an opportunity. As the CEO of Kik and as THE WITNESS: Correct. 12 12 the -- I think the term is founder of Kin, is there 13 MR. MITCHELL: Who controls that? 13 anything else you think we need to know on our side as 14 THE WITNESS: I believe -- if the question 14 we continue our investigation about Kin? 15 15 is who technically would make the transfers if the Kin MR. LYNCH: I'm wondering, you know, if 16 Foundation board members would vote, I believe it's Kik 16 you're getting to the end. This is -- this seems like 17 employees. 17 the kind of last question you ask, you know, do you 18 18 want clarify anything. And usually, we'd just like to MR. MITCHELL: Did you ever consider sort 19 of not having a foundation, just -- just having Kik fill the 19 talk to him. 20 roles that you have that are currently being run by Kik 20 If you want him to answer, he can. But 21 21 and the Foundation? it seems like that's the question you're asking. 22 THE WITNESS: Not to my recollection. 2.2 MR. LEASURE: It is, but it's -- I just 23 MR. MITCHELL: I guess -- why not? I just 23 want to --2.4 don't -- I'm still trying to understand why the -- why 24 (Simultaneous speakers.) 25 25 it had the Foundation. THE WITNESS: That's usually what reporters

	Page 612		Page 614
1	ask at the end of the interview. But I'm not sure how	1	PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE
2	this is different to that.	2	
3	MR. LEASURE: No. Let me say this. Let me	3	In the Matter of: KIK INTERACTIVE
4	give him a chance to answer, then we'll go off the	4	Witness: Edward "Ted" Livingston
5	record and let you talk to your client. Is that fair?	5	File Number: HO-12869-A
6	THE WITNESS: It's hard for me to know how	6	Date: Thursday, November 8, 2018
7	to answer that question without knowing how these	7	Location: Washington, D.C.
8	things work.	8	20 Maion National Pro-
9	And I have never done one of these	9	This is to certify that I, Christine Boyce,
10	before, so I think I should not answer that	10	(the undersigned) do hereby certify that the foregoing
11	question.	11	transcript is a complete, true and accurate transcription
12	MR. LEASURE: Fair enough.	12	of all matters contained on the recorded proceedings of
13	We are going off the record.	13	the investigative testimony.
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.	14	the investigative testimony.
15	The time is 4:48 p.m.	15	
16	(A brief recess was taken.)	16	
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	17	(Dota)
18	record. The time is now 4:51 p.m.	18	(Proofreader's Name) (Date)
19	BY MR. LEASURE:	19	
20	O Back on the record after a brief break	20	
21	during which, Mr. Livingston, did you have any	21	
22	substantive conversations about this investigation with	22	
23	SEC staff?	23	
24	A I did not.	24	
25	Q Okay. We have no further questions for you		
23	Q Only. We have no further questions for you	25	
	Page 613		Page 615
1		1	Page 615 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
1 2	at this time. Should we have additional questions for	1 2	
	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.		REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or	2	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby
2	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two	2 3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a
2 3 4	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or	2 3 4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony
2 3 4 5	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.	2 3 4 5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at
2 3 4 5 6	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any	2 3 4 5 6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.	2 3 4 5 6 7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask  Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 4 of 4.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:  Official Reporter:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 4 of 4.  Going off the record. The time is 4:52 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:  Official Reporter:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 4 of 4.  Going off the record. The time is 4:52 p.m.  (Whereupon, at 4:52 p.m., the examination	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:  Official Reporter:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 4 of 4.  Going off the record. The time is 4:52 p.m.  (Whereupon, at 4:52 p.m., the examination was concluded.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:  Official Reporter:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 4 of 4.  Going off the record. The time is 4:52 p.m.  (Whereupon, at 4:52 p.m., the examination was concluded.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:  Official Reporter:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 4 of 4.  Going off the record. The time is 4:52 p.m.  (Whereupon, at 4:52 p.m., the examination was concluded.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:  Official Reporter:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 4 of 4.  Going off the record. The time is 4:52 p.m.  (Whereupon, at 4:52 p.m., the examination was concluded.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:  Official Reporter:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 4 of 4.  Going off the record. The time is 4:52 p.m.  (Whereupon, at 4:52 p.m., the examination was concluded.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:  Official Reporter:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 4 of 4.  Going off the record. The time is 4:52 p.m.  (Whereupon, at 4:52 p.m., the examination was concluded.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:  Official Reporter:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at this time. Should we have additional questions for you, we'll reach out to your attorneys.  Is there anything you wish to add or clarify to the testimony you've given over the past two days?  A There is not.  MR. LEASURE: Counsel, do you have any additional clarifying questions you wish to ask Mr. Livingston?  MR. GIBBS: We do not.  MR. LEASURE: All right. Thank you for your time. We're off the record.  THE WITNESS: Thank you.  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Disc 4 of 4.  Going off the record. The time is 4:52 p.m.  (Whereupon, at 4:52 p.m., the examination was concluded.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  I, Melinda Johnson, CSR, reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of 299 pages is a complete, true and accurate transcript of the testimony indicated, held on Thursday, November 8, 2018, at Washington, D.C. in the matter of: Kik Interactive.  I further certify that this proceeding was recorded by me, and that the foregoing transcript has been prepared under my direction.  Date:  Official Reporter: